

# Readers' Forum

Brief discussions of previous investigations in the aerospace sciences and technical comments on papers published in the AIAA Journal are presented in this special department. Entries must be restricted to a maximum of 1000 words, or the equivalent of one Journal page including formulas and figures. A discussion will be published as quickly as possible after receipt of the manuscript. Neither the AIAA nor its editors are responsible for the opinions expressed by the correspondents. Authors will be invited to reply promptly.

## Comment on "Turbulent Flow Analysis of Erosive Burning of Cylindrical Composite Solid Propellants"

Robert L. Glick\*

Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana

IN Ref 1, the authors have proposed an entrance-region-flow-like model for erosive burning in solid propellant rockets. The developing flow region is modeled as a potential core bounded by viscous boundary layers (transpired) that are in turn bounded by the decomposing solid propellant. The viscous boundary layers are treated with parabolized equations of change and a two-equation ( $K, \epsilon$ ) turbulence model. Transition from developing to fully developed flow states is unified by employing integral momentum and continuity relations. The latter methodology is innovative; the results of Campbell and Slattery<sup>2</sup> suggest it is also effective. However, the results of other theoretical and experimental studies suggest that the developing portion of the flowfield does not fit the model.

Experiments by Yagodkin,<sup>3</sup> Dunlap et al.,<sup>4</sup> and Yamada et al.<sup>5</sup> have shown that for an undisturbed port flow a) there is no potential core (the velocity profile has the inviscid, rotational character calculated by Culick<sup>6</sup>); b) turbulence forms near the centerline (from classic hydrodynamic instability<sup>3,7,8</sup>) and propagates outward toward the decomposing solid propellant; and c) the time mean axial velocity profile retains its inviscid, rotational character even though turbulence fills the port. Comparison of these results with the model of Ref. 1 shows that the irrotational core concept is invalid and the direction of turbulence propagation is wrong. Experiments by Huesman and Eckert<sup>9</sup> show that the flow eventually transitions into a fully developed turbulent flow. The criterion for this transition is roughly  $\rho U_b D / \mu \sim 2230 + 55 \rho v_w D / \mu$ , which means that for fully developed flow  $x/D > 14$  (Shishkov<sup>10</sup> gives  $x/D \sim 20$ ). Consequently, the flowfield may contain four subdomains: (i) an initial laminar domain, (ii) a domain where turbulence is initiated near the centerline and spreads radially outward but does not interact with the propellant combustion phenomenon, (iii) a domain where turbulence interacts with the propellant combustion phenomena, and (iv) a fully developed turbulent flow domain. In domains (i)-(iii) the time mean velocity profile is roughly the rotational, inviscid profile of Culick.

If erosive burning is the result of a near surface turbulence/combustion interaction, there is no erosive burning until domain (iii). Moreover, the initial interaction will be by large scale turbulent surges. Matveev et al.<sup>11</sup> have shown that these can lead to negative erosive burning. Therefore, the disparity between the flow modeled by Ref. 1 and that

revealed by cold flow experiments may be important to observing negative erosion (since this also appears to be a nonsteady phenomenon, the way the propellant response is time averaged would be crucial to success). Because the rotational, inviscid flow may persist until  $x/D \sim 14$ , the time mean axial velocity profile at the port exit may change substantially during the burn. Calculations for a nozzleless rocket motor by Glick and Orr<sup>12</sup> suggest that these profile effects can alter performance by roughly 10% relative to one-dimensional predictions.

It should be noted that theoretical work by Beddini<sup>13</sup> provides a more realistic model of the internal flow than that of Ref. 1.

In summary, theoretical and experimental studies suggest that the flow model proposed by Ref. 1 is incorrect in the developing flow region. These errors may impact both erosive burning phenomena and performance ( $x/D < 20$ ).

### Acknowledgments

Research sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant AFOSR-82-0025 (managed by Dr. L. H. Caveny) and performed at the Thermal Sciences and Propulsion Center of the School of Mechanical Engineering..

### References

- <sup>1</sup>Razdan, M. K. and Kuo, K. K., "Turbulent Flow Analysis of Erosive Burning of Cylindrical Composite Solid Propellants," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 20, No. 1, Jan. 1982, pp. 122-128.
- <sup>2</sup>Campbell, W. D. and Slattery, J. C., "Flow in the Entrance of a Tube," *ASME Journal of Basic Engineering*, Vol. 85, Series D, March 1964, pp. 41-46.
- <sup>3</sup>Yagodkin, V. I., "Use of Channels with Porous Walls for Studying Flow which Occur During Combustion of Solid Propellants," *Proceedings of the 18th Astronautics Congress*, Vol. 3, 1967, pp. 69-79.
- <sup>4</sup>Dunlap, R., Willoughby, P. G., and Hermesen, R. W., "Flowfield in the Combustion Chamber of a Solid Propellant Rocket Motor," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 12, Oct. 1974, pp. 1440-1412.
- <sup>5</sup>Yamada, K., Goto, M., and Ishikawa, N., "Simulative Study on the Erosive Burning of Solid Rocket Motors," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 14, Sept. 1978, pp. 1170-1176.
- <sup>6</sup>Culick, F.E.C., "Rotational Axisymmetric Mean Flow and Damping of Acoustic Waves in a Solid Propellant Rocket," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 4, Aug. 1966, pp. 1462-1464.
- <sup>7</sup>Varapeav, V. V. and Yagodkin, V. I., "Flow Stability in a Channel with Porous Walls," *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Mekhanika Zhidkosti i Gaza*, Vol. 4, No. 5, Sept.-Oct. 1969, pp. 91-95.
- <sup>8</sup>Sviridenkov, A. A. and Yagodkin, U. I., "Flows in the Initial Sections of Channels with Permeable Walls," *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR, Mekhanika Zhidkosti i Gaza*, Vol. 11, No. 5, Sept.-Oct. 1976, pp. 43-48.
- <sup>9</sup>Huesmann, K. and Eckert, E.R.G., "Untersuchungen ueber die Laminare Stroemung und den Umschlag zur Einblasung durch die Rohrwand," *Waerme-und Stoffubertragung*, Bd. 1, 52, 1968.
- <sup>10</sup>Shishkov, A. A., *Gas Dynamics of Solid Propellant Rocket Engines: Engineering Methods of Calculation*, Mashinostroyeniye, Moscow, 1974.
- <sup>11</sup>Matveev, V. N., Frost, V. A., and Yumashev, V. L., "Flow with Large Scale Speed Fluctuations," *Fizika Goreniya i Vzryva*, Vol. 11, Sept.-Oct. 1975, pp. 710-715.
- <sup>12</sup>Glick, R. L. and Orr, C. E., "On the Idealized Performance of Nozzleless Rocket Motors," *AIAA Paper 80-1137*, 1980.
- <sup>13</sup>Beddini, R. A., "Analysis of Injection-Induced Flows in Porous Walled Ducts with Application to the Aerothermochemistry of Solid-Propellant Motors," Ph.D. Thesis, Rutgers University, Oct. 1981.

Received Feb. 17, 1982. Copyright © 1982 by Robert L. Glick. Published by the American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics with permission.

\*Senior Researcher, Rocket Research Group, School of Aeronautics and Astronautics. Associate Fellow AIAA.