

cross-section of our fictitious curved column, the constant of the fictitious bending foundation is (see Fig. 1)

$$C_B = \frac{Et^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left( 2 \int_{b/4}^{b/2} f''' ds \right) \frac{1}{w_0}; \quad (') = \frac{d}{ds}$$

$$= \frac{8}{6} \frac{Et^3}{(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\pi}{b} \right)^3 \quad (14)$$

and since the wavelength of the isolated column is obviously (see Fig. 1)

$$\bar{b} = b/2 \quad (15)$$

we can replace

$$t^3/24(1-\nu^2) - t(b/2)^5/1440 r^2 \quad (16)$$

and

$$t/2r^2 - 8t^3\pi^3/12(1-\nu^2)b^3$$

Inserting the following simple attenuated buckling mode

$$w = a^{-1s} e(\cos is + \sin is); \quad s = \varphi r$$

in the corresponding differential equation, a simple Galerkin procedure leads to

$$P^c = \frac{1}{2} (0.65 Et^2/r^2) \cong 0.325 E(t/r)^2 \quad (17)$$

Comparing the critical value obtained above with some of the experimental results, we find they are in good agreement with those reported by Fung and Sechler.<sup>17</sup> The most interesting point is that this value lies much lower than the value obtained by Friedrichs  $P_{\min}^c = 0.9 E(t/r)^2$  who has considered a transition condition from the buckled to the unbuckled region of the spherical shell which is somewhat similar to that corresponding to Eq. (19).

### Acknowledgment

This research was conducted as a part of research project No. AM/P11 which is supported by a grant from the University of Riyadh. The author wishes to express his thanks to his colleagues at the Faculty of Engineering for the pleasant research atmosphere provided.

### References

- <sup>1</sup>von Karman, T. and Tsien, H. S., "The Buckling of Spherical Shells by External Pressure," *Journal of the Aeronautical Sciences*, Vol. 7, 1939, p. 43.
- <sup>2</sup>Klöppel, K. and Jungbluth, O., "Beitrag zum Durchschlagproble dünnwandiger Kugelschalen," *Versuche und Bemessungsformeln, Der Stahlbau*, Vol. 22, 1953, p. 43.
- <sup>3</sup>Koiter, W. T., "General Equations of Elastic Stability for Thin Shells," *Donnell Anniversary Volume*, Houston, Tex., 1966.
- <sup>4</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "A Branching Solution for the Local Buckling of a Circumferentially Cracked Cylindrical Shell," *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences*, Vol. 16, 1974, p. 689.
- <sup>5</sup>Hutchinson, J. W., "Imperfection Sensitivity of Externally Pressurized Spherical Shells," *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, Vol. 34, 1967, p. 99.
- <sup>6</sup>Koiter, W. T., "The Nonlinear Buckling Problem of a Complete Spherical Shell Under Uniform External Pressure," *Proc. K. ned. Akad. Wet.*, series B., Vol. 72, 1969, p. 40.
- <sup>7</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "Local Post Buckling of Compressed Cylindrical Shells," *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers*, Pt. 2, Vol. 59, Sept. 1975.
- <sup>8</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "Localized Diamond Shaped Buckling Patterns of Axially Compressed Cylindrical Shells," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 13, June 1975, pp. 837-838.
- <sup>9</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "Exact Asymptotic Solution for the Initial Post Buckling of a Strut on a Linear Elastic Foundation," *ZAMM*, Vol. 54, 1974, p. 677.
- <sup>10</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "Asymptotic Post Buckling Solution of the Ring in an Elastic Foundation," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 13, Jan. 1975, pp. 113-114.

<sup>11</sup>Dym, C. L., *Introduction to Theory of Shells*, Pergamon Press, Oxford, England, 1974.

<sup>12</sup>Green, A. E. and Zerna, W., *Theoretical Elasticity*, Second Edition, Oxford University Press, Oxford, England, 1968.

<sup>13</sup>Pogorelov, A. V., *Cylindrical Shells during Post Critical Deformations*, Kar'kov U. Press, U.S.S.R., 1962.

<sup>14</sup>Kirste, L., "Abwickelbare Verformung dünn-wandiger Kreiszyylinder," *Österreich Ingenieur-Archiv*, Vol. 8, 1954, p. 149.

<sup>15</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "An Estimation of the Lower Stability Limit of the Free Edge Orthotropic Cylindrical Shell in Axial Compression," *ZAMM*, Vol. 55, 1975, p. 694.

<sup>16</sup>Hertz, H., *Die prinzipien der Mechanik in neuem Zusammenhang dargestellt*, Darmstadt Wissenschaftliche Buchgesellschaft, Darmstadt, W. Germany, 1963.

<sup>17</sup>Fung, Y. C. and Sechler, E. F., "Instability of Thin Elastic Shells," *Proceedings of the First Symposium on Naval Structural Mechanics*, Pergamon Press, 1960.

<sup>18</sup>Friedrichs, K. O., "On the Minimum Buckling Load for Spherical Shells," *Theodore von Karman Anniversary Volume*, 1941, p. 258.

<sup>19</sup>Flügge, W., *Stress in Shells*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1960.

<sup>20</sup>El Naschie, M. S., "Abschätzung der unteren Stabilitätsgrenze einer örtlich ausgebeulten zylindrischen Schale unter Axialdruck," *Die Bautechnik*, Nr. 5, 1976, p. 163.

<sup>21</sup>Ashwell, D. G., "On the Large Deflection of a Spherical Shell with an Inward Point Load," *Proceedings of the Symposium on the Theory of Thin Elastic Shells*, Editor: W. Koiter, North Holland Publishing Company, Delft, 1960, p. 43.

## Technical Comments

### Comment on "Optimum Low-Thrust Rendezvous"

T.N. Edelbaum\*

Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Inc.,  
Cambridge, Mass.

REFERENCE 1 contains two linearized solutions for optimum low-thrust orbital rendezvous with ideal power-limited rocket engines. The solution for circular orbits is equivalent to the one obtained by Gobetz<sup>2</sup> more than a decade ago. The solution for elliptical orbits is restricted to small changes in radius and true anomaly. It is thus limited to short duration maneuvers and is somewhat incompatible with the low-thrust assumption which implies long maneuver duration. The author also claims that his elliptical orbit solution is valid for small eccentricity and longer duration. However, it is probably less accurate for these cases than his circular orbit solution.

The apparent reason for the additional restrictive assumption in the elliptical case is the desire to reduce the problem to a constant coefficient linear system, which is integrable. If the problem is linearized around a target in an elliptic orbit, it requires integration of a time varying linear system. This is apparently why the author of Ref. 1 introduced his additional assumptions. However, by using eccentric anomaly as the independent variable, it becomes possible to integrate this time varying linear system in terms of elementary functions.<sup>3</sup> The resulting solution is valid for arbitrary eccentricity and duration within the linear approximation.

In addition, by using a particular set of orbital elements as variables, additional results have been obtained for the practically interesting case of long duration maneuvers. In this latter case the optimal thrust programs become orthogonal, so that the optimal thrust program for each element produces no

Received Aug. 5, 1976

Index category: Earth-Orbital Trajectories.

\*Staff Member, Associate Fellow AIAA.

change in the other elements. The optimal thrust program for changing  $n$  elements is the vector sum of the  $n$  programs for changing each element individually.

This important result has been utilized in several further papers. An analytical solution<sup>4</sup> for the long duration transfer between arbitrary coplanar or arbitrary coaxial ellipses was obtained by Krylov-Bogoliubov averaging.<sup>5</sup> This nonlinear solution contains some instructive examples of the occurrence of conjugate points on optimal trajectories.<sup>4,6</sup>

### References

- <sup>1</sup>Marinescu, A., "Optimal Low-Thrust Orbital Rendezvous," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 13, July 1976, pp. 385-392.
- <sup>2</sup>Gobet, F.W., "Linear Theory of Optimum Low Thrust Rendezvous Trajectories," *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, Vol. 12, March 1965, pp. 69-76.
- <sup>3</sup>Edelbaum, T.N., "Optimal Low-Thrust Rendezvous and Stationkeeping," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 2, July 1964, pp. 1196-1201.
- <sup>4</sup>Edelbaum, T.N., "Optimum Power-Limited Orbit Transfer in Strong Gravity Fields," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 3, May 1965, pp. 921-925.
- <sup>5</sup>Bogoliubov, N.N. and Mitropolsky, Y.A., *Asymptotic Methods in the Theory of Non-Linear Oscillations*, Hindustan Publishing Corp., Delhi, India, 1961.
- <sup>6</sup>Edelbaum, T.N., "Optimization Problems in Powered Space Flight," *Recent Developments in Space Flight Mechanics*, AAS Science and Technology Series, Vol. 9, 1966.

## Reply by Author to T.N. Edelbaum

Al. Marinescu\*  
*Institute of Fluid Mechanics  
 and Aerospace Constructions,  
 Bucharest, Romania*

**I**N connection with T.N. Edelbaum's comment on my paper<sup>1</sup> the following points might clarify the matter.

Received Aug. 5, 1976; revision received Oct. 5, 1976.

Index category: Earth-Orbital Trajectories.

\*Senior Scientist. Member AIAA.

1) T.N. Edelbaum's assertion concerning the solution for circular orbits given in Ref. 1 is not justified. Reference 2 published simultaneously with Ref. 3 is cited in order to show that Gobetz's variational problem differs from the variational problem in Refs. 1 and 3. The solution given in Ref. 1 completes the solution given by the author in Ref. 3 and one can speak of its equivalence with a solution given in Ref. 2 only on the basis of a comparative numerical application.

2) In Ref. 1 it is shown that the solution for elliptical orbits is valid both in the junction phase and in the terminal phase for maneuver durations compatible with the low thrust assumption.

The restriction for small changes of radius and true anomaly does not make incompatible the maneuver duration with low-thrust assumption as long as the magnitude of acceleration due to thrust does not exceed the imposed limits (at present  $10^{-6} - 10^{-3}g$ ). With these values of acceleration due to thrust, the long maneuver durations are obvious in the interplanetary transfer or in the rendezvous on remote orbits. This is not the case treated in Ref. 1. The author's assumptions have permitted the easy integration of the equations of extremals without introducing substantial errors.

The use of the eccentric anomaly as an independent variable, recommended by T.N. Edelbaum, does not lead easily to rigorous analytical solutions both for optimal thrust program and for optimal trajectory. This can be clearly observed in Ref. 4.

### References

- <sup>1</sup>Marinescu, A., "Optimal Low-Thrust Orbital Rendezvous," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 13, July 1976, pp. 385-392.
- <sup>2</sup>Gobet, F.W., "Linear Theory of Optimum Low Thrust Rendezvous Trajectories," *Journal of the Astronautical Sciences*, Vol. 12, March 1965, pp. 69-76.
- <sup>3</sup>Marinescu, A., "Contributions à l'étude de certaines manoeuvres optimales pour le rendezvous des engins sur des orbites circulaires," *Proceedings of the XVIth International Astronautical Congress*, Athens, 1965, pp. 41-58.
- <sup>4</sup>Tschauner, J., "Elliptic Orbit Rendezvous," *AIAA Journal*, Vol. 5, June 1967, pp. 1110-1113.