

Engineering Notes

ENGINEERING NOTES are short manuscripts describing new developments or important results of a preliminary nature. These Notes cannot exceed 6 manuscript pages and 3 figures; a page of text may be substituted for a figure and vice versa. After informal review by the editors, they may be published within a few months of the date of receipt. Style requirements are the same as for regular contributions (see inside back cover).

Effect of Heating Rate on Thermal Decomposition Kinetics of Fiberglass Phenolic

K.N. Ninan*

Vikram Sarabhai Space Centre, Trivandrum, India

Introduction

FIBERGLASS phenolic laminates are used as an ablative thermal protection system of rockets and their thermal decomposition has been described by different Arrhenius type equations.^{1,2} The complexity of the decomposition process in the flight environment makes it impossible to evaluate the kinetic constants in tests conducted in exactly simulated flight conditions. However, thermogravimetry (TG) has been extensively used for determining the kinetic parameters for the thermal decomposition of the polymers used in such heat shield materials.^{1,2} The results from TG curves are known³ to be affected by a number of experimental variables, such as heating rate. Empirical correlations between procedural factors and kinetic parameters have evolved recently.^{4,5}

This Note presents the results of our TG studies (carried out at different heating rates) on the thermal decomposition of fiberglass phenolic laminate used as a heat shield in satellite launch vehicles. As the heating rates experienced by the heat shield in the flight environments are different from those employed in usual TG experiments, an attempt to correlate heating rate and the kinetic parameters, viz. energy of activation E and pre-exponential factor A , is made. Such correlations, we hope, would make TG studies more useful in evaluating the heat shield materials.

Experimental

Fiberglass phenolic laminate (67% glass and 33% phenolic) was used as a representative specimen of 10 ± 0.5 mg weight. The TG experiments were carried out with a DuPont 990 Thermal Analyzer in conjunction with a 951 Thermogravimetric Analyzer. The heating rates employed were 1, 2, 5, 10, 20, 50, and $100^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$. As the decomposition of the satellite heat shield occurs in the Earth's atmosphere, the furnace atmosphere used was also air purged at a rate of $50 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ min}^{-1}$.

Results and Discussion

The values of the temperature of inception of reaction (T_i), the temperature of completion of reaction (T_f), and the mass loss percentage obtained from the TG experiments are given in Table 1. It can be observed that T_i is not affected much by heating rate while T_f increases with increased heating rate.^{4,5} The carbonaceous residue of pyrolysis also gets oxidized by the purging air. This is in agreement with the average 34% mass loss in the TG experiments. Thus the kinetic parameters calculated from these experiments would be for the overall process of the oxidative degradation.

Kinetic Parameters

The kinetic parameters were calculated using the following three integral equations⁴: Coats-Redfern equation, MacCallum-Tanner equation, Horowitz-Metzger equation. The order parameter, n , was evaluated using the Coats-Redfern equation by an iteration method described in our earlier publication⁶ and was found to be zero. With this value of n , using each one of the three kinetic equations, E , A , and the corresponding correlation coefficient r were calculated from the TG curves, recorded at the seven heating rates. The results are summarized in Table 2.

The values of the energy of activation are comparatively low; but the slow rate of decomposition can be explained since the values of the pre-exponential factor (which is a measure of the probability that a molecule having energy, E , will participate in the reaction) are also very low. Such low values of A have been reported in the literature.⁷

From Table 2 it can also be seen that the regular trend in the kinetic parameters relative to changes in heating rate makes the data amenable to statistical treatment. Using a computer, different curve fits were done using various functions of the kinetic parameters and the best-fit curve was chosen. It was found that the activation energy vs heating rate could be best represented as a third-degree curve following the equation of the type:

$$\log E = C_1 - C_2\phi + C_3\phi^2 - C_4\phi^3$$

where C_1 to C_4 are empirical constants, different for the three equations, and ϕ is the heating rate. Similarly, A vs ϕ could

Table 1 Thermogravimetry results

Heating rate, $^\circ\text{C min}^{-1}$	T_i , $^\circ\text{C}$	T_f , $^\circ\text{C}$	Mass loss, %
1	310	495	33
2	320	555	35
5	335	595	35
10	330	615	34
20	350	690	35
50	330	760	35
100	340	900	33

Submitted May 7, 1983; revision received Nov. 12, 1985. Copyright © American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, Inc., 1985. All rights reserved.

*Head, Analytical and Spectroscopy Division, Propellants and Chemicals Group.

Table 2 Kinetic parameters

Heating rate, °C min ⁻¹	Kinetic equations								
	Coats-Redfern			MacCallum-Tanner			Horowitz-Metzger		
	<i>E</i> ^a	<i>A</i> ^b	<i>r</i>	<i>E</i> ^a	<i>A</i> ^b	<i>r</i>	<i>E</i> ^a	<i>A</i> ^b	<i>r</i>
1	77.72	8.253 × 10 ¹	0.9979	81.17	1.568 × 10 ²	0.9985	102.8	5.597 × 10 ³	0.9952
2	71.70	2.612 × 10 ¹	0.9984	75.77	5.568 × 10 ¹	0.9989	96.13	1.257 × 10 ³	0.9963
5	70.78	2.880 × 10 ¹	0.9960	75.40	6.718 × 10 ¹	0.9997	96.55	1.420 × 10 ³	0.9989
10	66.25	2.007 × 10 ¹	0.9976	71.09	4.890 × 10 ¹	0.9981	93.81	1.180 × 10 ³	0.9995
20	62.13	1.262 × 10 ¹	0.9953	67.36	3.280 × 10 ¹	0.9964	92.45	9.428 × 10 ²	0.9994
50	57.90	1.066 × 10 ¹	0.9959	63.76	3.070 × 10 ¹	0.9971	85.60	4.388 × 10 ²	0.9967
100	41.77	1.425 × 10 ⁰	0.9804	48.20	4.671 × 10 ⁰	0.9907	64.70	2.297 × 10 ¹	0.9725

^aIn KJ mole⁻¹. ^bIn sec⁻¹.

Table 3 Curve fit constants for correlation of *E* and *A* with heating rate

Kinetic equation	Correlation with log <i>E</i>					Correlation with <i>A</i>				
	<i>C</i> ₁	<i>C</i> ₂ × 10 ³	<i>C</i> ₃ × 10 ⁵	<i>C</i> ₄ × 10 ⁷	<i>F</i>	<i>K</i> ₁	<i>K</i> ₂ × 10 ⁻³	<i>K</i> ₃ × 10 ⁻³	<i>K</i> ₄ × 10 ⁻³	<i>F</i>
Coats-Redfern	1.8832	7.2475	14.783	10.160	111.0	2.4576	0.23336	0.59131	0.43803	167.6
MacCallum-Tanner	1.9035	6.0538	12.489	8.6406	107.1	9.7077	0.52231	1.3481	0.97287	61.5
Horowitz-Metzger	2.0003	2.8251	5.0240	4.0933	63.9	131.85	13.262	36.460	28.666	148.5

best be represented by a third-degree curve, following the equation of the type

$$A = K_1 + \frac{K_2}{\phi} + \frac{K_3}{\phi^2} + \frac{K_4}{\phi^3}$$

where *K*₁ to *K*₄ are empirical constants, different for the three equations.

The reliability of the curve fittings was evaluated by the *F* test.⁸ The values of *C*₁ to *C*₄ along with the corresponding Fisher constant *F*, the values of *K*₁ to *K*₄, and *F* for the three equations are given in Table 3. The critical value of the Fisher constant⁸ for the system at 99% confidence level is 28.7. From Table 3 it can be seen that the confidence level of all the correlations is above 99%. Similar quantitative correlations between kinetic parameters and heating rate have been reported in the case of certain reactions, while for other reactions, the kinetic constants do not depend on the heating rate.⁴ No universally acceptable theoretical explanation has been propounded for such systematic dependence.

Acknowledgments

The author thanks Mr. K. Krishnan for help in recording the TG curves and Mr. S. Pitchiah for help in computer programming. Thanks are also due to Dr. K.V.C. Rao, Mr. M.R. Kurup, and Dr. Vasant Gowariker for their encouragement.

References

- ¹Kurwicz, H. and Rogan, J.E., "Ablation," *Handbook of Heat Transfer*, Sec. 16, edited by R.M. Rohsenow and J.P. Hartnett, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1973, pp. 49-54.
- ²Mathieu, R.D., "Response of Charring Ablators to Hyperthermal Environment," Space Science Laboratory, General Electric, R63 SD 20, Feb. 1963, pp. 9-10.
- ³Wendlandt, W.W., *Thermal Methods of Analysis*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1974, pp. 8-36.
- ⁴Nair, C.G.R. and Ninan, K.N., "Thermal Decomposition Studies, Part X. Thermal Decomposition Kinetics of Calcium Oxalate Monohydrate-Correlations with Heating Rate and Sample Mass," *Thermochimica Acta*, Vol. 23, March 1978, pp. 161-169.
- ⁵Ninan, K.N. and Nair, C.G.R., "Thermal Decomposition Studies, Part XII. Kinetics of Dehydration of Calcium Oxalate Monohydrate.

Multiple Correlation with Heating Rate and Sample Mass," *Thermochimica Acta*, Vol. 37, April 1980, pp. 161-172.

⁶Ninan, K.N. and Krishnan, K., "Thermal Decomposition Kinetics of Polybutadiene Binders," *Journal of Spacecraft and Rockets*, Vol. 19, Jan.-Feb. 1982, pp. 92-94.

⁷Zimmermann, H. and Behnisch, H., "Thermogravimetric Investigations on the Kinetics of Thermal Degradation of Polyoxymethylenes," *Thermochimica Acta*, Vol. 59, Nov. 1982, pp. 1-8.

⁸Mack, C., *Essentials of Statistics for Scientists and Technologists*, Plenum Press, New York, 1967, pp. 106-115.

Turbulent Reynolds Analogy Factors of Stacked Large-Eddy Breakup Devices

A. Margrethe Lindemann*

NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, Virginia

Nomenclature

- A* = test (flat-plate) surface area
C_f = area-averaged skin-friction coefficient, $2D/A\rho_\infty U_\infty^2$
D = measured total drag
h = area-averaged heat-transfer coefficient, $Q/A(T_w/T_\infty)$
Q = measured heat-transfer rate
Re_θ = momentum thickness Reynolds number
T_w = wall temperature
T_∞ = freestream temperature
U_∞ = freestream velocity
x = streamwise distance from leading edge
 δ = boundary-layer thickness

Received Feb. 25, 1985; revision received May 18, 1985. This paper is declared a work of the U.S. Government and is not subject to copyright protection in the United States.

*Aerospace Engineer, Viscous Flow Branch, High-Speed Aerodynamics Division.