Chem. Pharm. Bull. 15(3) 363 ~ 366 (1967)

UDC 547.831.1.07;547.751.07

## Reaction of Aromatic N-Oxides with Indoles in the Presence of an Acylating Agent

The recent report by Colonna and Bruni<sup>1)</sup> on the reaction of indoles with activated aromatic N-oxides prompts us to communicate our results from a similar study. As an extention of researches on the reaction of aromatic N-oxides with enamines,<sup>2)</sup> we applied indoles to quinoline and pyridine N-oxides in the presence of an acylating agent, and obtained the results shown in Table I under refluxing conditions, while no reaction was observed at room temperatures. Some representative reactions are shown in Chart 1.

Table I. Reaction of Indoles with Aromatic N-Oxides in the Presence of an Acylating Agent

| Indole Indole  | Aromatic<br>N-oxide<br>Quinoline | Acylating agent  BzCl | Solvent           | Reflux<br>period (hr.) |     | Product <sup>a)</sup><br>Yield (%) |                  |      |
|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----|------------------------------------|------------------|------|
|                |                                  |                       | CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 2                      | Ia  | (67)                               |                  |      |
|                |                                  | TsCl                  | "                 | 2                      | Ia  | (57),                              | $\prod b)$       |      |
|                |                                  | $Ac_2O$               |                   | 10                     | Ιb  | (10)                               |                  |      |
| 1-Methylindole | <i>"</i>                         | BzC1                  | CHCl <sub>3</sub> | 7                      | Ιc  | (56),                              | Πa               | (10) |
|                |                                  | TsC1                  | "                 | 7                      | Ιc  | (12),                              | $\mathbb{N}^{c}$ | (55) |
|                | 4-Chloroquinoline                | BzC1                  | "                 | 3                      | Ιd  | (54)                               |                  | • •  |
|                | 2-Chloroquinoline                | "                     | "                 | 10                     | IIb | (65),                              | V                | (16) |
| 2-Phenylindole | Quinoline                        | "                     | "                 | 5                      | Ie  | (40)                               |                  |      |
| Indole         | Ethyl nicotinate                 | "                     | "                 | 2.5                    | VI  | (30)                               |                  |      |
|                | -                                | TsCl                  | "                 | 5                      | VI  | (5),                               | <b>∏</b> b)      | (17) |

specimen prepared from diindole and tosyl chloride.89

a) Satisfactory analyses were obtained for all the products.
b) II: The structure of II was confirmed by comparison with

b) III:

N
Ts
N
H

c) ▼: carbostyril.

3-(2-Quinolyl)indole (Ia), m.p. 190~191°, IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3300 (NH), 1603 (indole C=C), UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EIOH}$  m $_{\mu}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 215 (4.71), 267 (4.32), 302 (4.11), 355 (4.19), was proved to be identical with a specimen prepared from 1-indolylmagnesium bromide and 2-chloroquinoline in a very poor yield.<sup>4)</sup> 1-Acetyl-3-(2-quinolyl)-indole (Ib), m.p. 194~194.5°, IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1703 (NCOCH<sub>3</sub>), 1605 (indole C=C), might be formed by acetylation of Ia

in view of the reaction condition employed.

1–Methyl-3–(2–quinolyl) indole (Ic), m.p. 182 $\sim$ 183°, IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm $^{-1}$ : 1588 (indole C=C), UV  $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EIOH}$  m $_{\mu}$  (log  $\varepsilon$ ): 215 (4.74), 267 (4.31), 310 (4.11), 355 (4.21), showing the ultraviolet

<sup>1)</sup> M. Colonna, P. Bruni: Boll. Sci. Fac. Chim. Ind. Bologna, 23 (4), 401 (1965); C. A., 64, 17536 (1966).

<sup>2)</sup> M. Hamana, H. Noda: This Bulletin, 13, 912 (1965), 14, 762 (1966).

<sup>3)</sup> G. F. Smith: Chem. Ind., 1954, 1451; H. F. Hodoson, G. F. Smith: J. Chem. Soc., 1957, 3544.

<sup>4)</sup> G. M. Reinecke, H. Johnson, J. F. Sebastian: Tetrahedron Letters, 1963, 1183; J. C. Powers: J. Org. Chem., 30, 2534 (1965).

<sup>5)</sup> D. A. Shirley, P. A. Roussel: J. Am. Chem. Soc., 75, 375 (1951). They did not elucidate but only deduced the structure of WI; as shown above, we confirmed its structure by the Fischer's synthesis.

$$\begin{array}{c} R_3 \\ R_3 \\ R_4 \\ R_5 \\ R_6 \\ R_1 \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{acylating} \\ \text{agent} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{acylating} \\ \text{agent} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Ia}: R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Ib}: R_1 = Ac, R_3 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Ic}: R_1 = CH_3, R_2 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = CH_3, R_2 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_1 = R_1 = R_3 = R_3 = H \\ \text{Id}: R_1 = R_2 = R_1 = R_1 = R_1 = R_1 = R_2 = R$$

spectrum closely similar to that of Ia, was shown to be obviously different from 1-methyl-2-(2-quinolyl)indole (W) obtained from another routes. 1-Methyl-3-(4-chloro-2-quinolyl)indole (Id), m.p. 157.8~158°, resulted from a similar reaction of 1-methylindole with 4-chloroquinoline 1-oxide, was converted into Ic by catalytic reduction over palladium-charcoal. These facts are in agreement with the structures assigned to Ic and Id.

2-Phenyl-3-(2-quinolyl)indole (Ie), m.p. 273 $\sim$ 274°, IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nuol}$  cm $^{-1}$ : 3125 (NH), 1600 (indole C=C), was identified by direct comparison with an authentic specimen prepared by the Fischer's method.

$$\begin{array}{c|c} CH_2 & N & ZnCl_2 \\ \hline -NH-N=C & \\ \hline C_6H_5 & H & Ie \\ \end{array}$$

1-Methyl-3-(4-quinolyl)indole (IIa), m.p,  $146\sim147^{\circ}$ , IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1610, 1583, an isomer of Ic, was also obtained by catalytic reduction of IIb, m.p,  $140\sim140.5^{\circ}$ , IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1610, 1580, the main product of the reaction between 1-methylindole and 2-chloroquinoline 1-oxide. As 2-chloroquinoline 1-oxide reacts with enamines or their analogues to afford 2-chloro-4-substituted quinolines,  $e^{0}$  e.g. 2-(2-chloro-4-quinolyl)cyclohexanone from cyclohexanone enamine, IIa and IIb are most probably assumed to be 3-(4-quinolyl)-indole derivatives.

Of more interest is the formation of 1-benzoyloxy-2-hydroxy-4-(1-methyl-3-indolyl)-1,4-dihydroquinoline (V), m.p.  $293\sim293.5^\circ$ , IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nupl}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3200, 3100, 3150, 1723, 1690, besides Ib from the same reaction. The structure of V was deduced from its elemental analysis, infrared spectrum and the following sequence of reactions. Treatment of V with 10% ethanolic potassium hydroxide resulted in elimination of benzoic acid to give WI, which was converted by refluxing with phosphoryl chloride into Ib; conversely, Ib was transformed into WI with hot concentrated hydrochloric acid. The formation of V might be rationalized by hydrolysis of the corresponding 2-chloroquinoline derivative (K), which could be formed as an intermediate of the reaction.

Skatol did not react with quinoline 1-oxide under comparable conditions as noticed by Colonna and Bruni.<sup>1)</sup>

<sup>6)</sup> M. Hamana, H. Noda: This Bulletin, in press.

Attempted reactions between acyl-adduct of pyridine 1-oxide or 1-methoxypyridinium iodide and indoles under various conditions failed and the starting materials were recovered. However, application of ethyl nicotinate 1-oxide to quinoline 1-oxide resulted in formation of ethyl 2-(3-indolyl)pyridine-5-carboxylate (VI), m.p.  $169\sim170^\circ$ , IR  $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Nujol}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3250 (NH), 1690 (ester C=O), 1600 (indole C=C). The structure of VI was deduced from its conversion with potassium permanganate to pyridine-2,5-dicarboxylic acid, followed by transformation into the diethylester.

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kyushu University, Katakasu, Fukuoka

Masatomo Hamana (浜名政和) Itsumaro Kumadaki (熊懐稜丸)

Received November 22, 1966

(Chem. Pharm. Bull.) **15**(3) 366 ~ 369 (1967)

UDC 547.722.5.07

## 1,3-Dipolar Cycloaddition of 5-Nitro-2-furonitrile Oxide

As a part of a continuing study¹) of 5-nitrofuran antimicrobials, an interest in the 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition of 5-nitro-2-furonitrile oxide (I) has led to the synthesis of (5-nitro-2-furyl)isoxazole derivatives, which possess excellent antimicrobial activities. We now report examples of this reaction with various olefinic dipolar ophiles involving a series of enamines\* $^{1}$  (III) of aldehydes and ketones, although the reaction of enamines as the dipolar ophile had been reported²) recently using other dipolar species.

5-Nitro-2-furonitrile oxide (I) was generated by the addition of triethylamine to 5-nitro-2-furhydroxamoyl chloride<sup>3)</sup> (II) and isolated as an unstable liquid (IR  $\nu^{\text{liq}}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 2240). The cycloaddition, however, was conveniently effected by mixing equimolar amounts of I, the enamine (III) and triethylamine at room temperature to form easily amino-3-(5-nitro-2-furyl)-4,5-dihydroisoxazole (IV) as the exclusive product in a good yield. Structual assignment of the products was made on the basis of a study of

<sup>\*1</sup> The enamines employed in this experiment were prepared according to the procedure of Mannich (for the aldehyde enamines; C. Mannich, H. Davidsen: Ber., 69, 2106 (1963)) and Stork (for the ketone enamines; G. Stork, et al.: J. Am. Chem. Soc., 85, 207 (1963)). The following are new enamines; 1-piperidino-1-(4-pyridyl)ethylene (b.p<sub>3</sub> 107~110°, IR ν<sup>11q</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1600, 1545), 1-morpholino-1-(3-pyridyl)ethylene (b.p<sub>6</sub> 131~134°, IR ν<sup>11q</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1605) and 1-piperidino-1-(2-pyridyl)ethylene (b.p<sub>3</sub> 117~120°, IR ν<sup>11q</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>: 1585, 1563).

<sup>1)</sup> Part VI: Yakugaku Zasshi, 86, 1014 (1966); The present paper constitutes Part VII of the series entitled "Studies on Nitrofuran Derivatives."

<sup>2)</sup> a) M. E. Munk, Y. KiKim: J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 2213 (1964). b) M. E. Kuehne, S. J. Weaver, P. Franz: J. Org. Chem., 29, 1582 (1964).

<sup>3)</sup> R. Lenaers, F. Eloy: Helv. Chim. Acta, 46, 1067 (1963).