(Chem. Pharm. Bull.) 19(8)1714—1721(1971)

Mechanism of Adsorption of Phenols by Carbon Black from Aqueous Solution^{1,2})

HIDEAKI UMEYAMA, TSUNEJI NAGAI, and HISASHI NOGAMI

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Tokyo³)

(Received April 3, 1971)

The adsorption of phenols by carbon black from aqueous solution was investigated to discuss the mechanism of interaction on the adsorbent surface, referring to the partition coefficient in *n*-octanol/water system, $P_{\mathbf{X}}$.

The shape of adsorption isotherm was discussed with respect to the position of substituents and also the degree of dissociation.

There was found a linear relationship between Langmuir constant a and log P_{X} . This result was explained thermodynamically on the assumption of a surface layer on the carbon black, concluding that the surface layer is considered to play the similar role to *n*-octanol layer in the partition experiment.

Free energy of adsorption calculated from Langmuir constant b seemed to be an apparent one and to be influenced predominantly by the free energy of binding.

Regarding the relationship between the adsorbed amount at an identical equilibrium concentration, M_c , and the equilibrium concentration at an identical adsorbed amount, C_m , the following equation was derived:

 $\log M_{\rm c} = (1/\lambda) \log (1/C_{\rm m}) + k$

where λ and k are constant.

Plotting log M_c against log P_X the derivatives were classified into groups I and II, suggesting the increase in adsorption of group I may be predominantly due to the tendency of the hydrophobic bonding, while that of group II may be influenced by the free energy of binding in addition to the tendency of the hydrophobic bonding.

In this series of works,⁴⁾ discussions have been made as to the adsorption of drugs by carbon black from aqueous solution on the basis of hydrophobic interaction and also as to the correlation between the adsorbability and the biopharmaceutical or pharmacological data. The term "hydrophobic" interaction mentioned above seems to have corresponded to the description by Jardetzky⁵⁾ that "hydrophobic" bonding includes van der Waals and pi-electron interactions. In other words, it means a general mutual bonding of the moieties more lipophilic than water.

Although the details of the adsorption mechanism has never been fully elucidated, it has been suggested that the adsorption of such aromatic compounds as phenols by active carbon is influenced by the oxygen-containing functional groups present on the surfaces of carbon⁶⁾ and that the orientation of these aromatic molecules on the interface is a factor in determining the shape of adsorption isotherms.⁷⁻⁹⁾ For example, a charge-transfer inter-

¹⁾ This paper forms Part XXII of "Physico-chemical Approach to Biopharmaceutical Phenomena." Preceding paper, Part XXI: N. Nambu, T. Nagai, and H. Nogami, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* (Tokyo), **19**, 1058 (1971).

²⁾ A part of this work was presented at the 90th Annual Meeting of the Pharmaceutical Society of Japan, Sapporo, July, 1970, No. OB10-1.

³⁾ Location: Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo.

⁴⁾ a) H. Nogami, T. Nagai, and H. Uchida, Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo), 17, 176 (1969); b) H. Nogami, T. Nagai, and S. Wada, *ibid.*, 18, 348 (1970); c) H. Nogami, T. Nagai, and N. Nambu, *ibid.*, 18, 1643 (1970); d) T. Oguma, T. Nagai, and H. Nogami, *ibid.*, 19, 124(1971); e) H. Umeyama, T. Nagai, H. Nogami, and T. Oguma, *ibid.*, 19, 412 (1971).

⁵⁾ O. Jardetzky, "Biopolymers," Symposia No. 1, 1964, pp. 501-514.

⁶⁾ R.W. Coughlin, F.S. Ezra, and R.N. Tan, J. Colloid & Interface Sci., 28, 386 (1968).

⁷⁾ C.H. Giles, T.H. MacEwan, S.N. Nakhwa, and D. Smith, J. Chem. Soc., 1960, 3973.

J.S. Mattson, H.B. Mark, Jr., M.D. Malbin, W.J. Weber, Jr., and J.C. Critenden, J. Colloid & Interface Sci., 31, 116 (1969).

⁹⁾ A. Frumkin and B. Damaskin, "International Congress of Polarography," Butterworths Scientific Publications, London, 1966, p. 263.

No. 8

action has been postulated by Mattson, *et al.*⁸⁾ In the present study, it was found that the free energy of adsorption calculated from Langmuir constant b was not correlated with logarithm of the partition coefficient in *n*-octanol/water system, as will be described later. Therefore, it makes confusing to express both the adsorption and the partition in the term "hydrophobic" interaction.

Originally, "hydrophobic" bonding of undissociated molecules such as phenols in the present cases should be due to the free energy change of escaping from water which is a func-

TABLE I. Langmuir Constants a and b, Adsorbed Amount at Equilibrium Concentration

 2×10^{-3} M, M_c , at pH 7.45 for No. 1–22 and pH 5.62 for No. 23–39, Apparent Free

Energy of Adsorption, $-RT \ln b$, Partition Coefficient to n-Octanol, P_x

Apparent Enthalpy, ΔH_{app} , Appareent Entropy, ΔS_{app} , All at 30°

According to UV Absorption Method, λ

No.	Samples	$a \times 10^{3}$ (mole/g)	<i>b</i> ×10 ² (м ⁻¹)	$M_{ m c} imes 10^{ m 3}$ (mole/g)	$-\mathbf{R}T \ln b$ (kcal/ mole)	$\log P_{x}$	$\Delta H_{app}^{a)}$ (kcal/ mole)	ΔS_{app}^{b} (e.u.)	λ (mμ)
1	phenol	2.25	3.11	0.91	3.45	1.51	7.5	36.1	270
2	o-nitrophenol	3.23	6.89	1.83	3.94				280
3	<i>m</i> -nitrophenol	2.37	22.24	1.91	5.01	2.00			273
4	p-nitrophenol	2.22	11.84	1.53	4.26				400
5	<i>m</i> -aminophenol	2.00	9.05	1.31	4.11	0.212	9.5	44.9	282
6	o-methylphenol	2.50	7.55	1.50	3.99				271
7	<i>m</i> -methylphenol	2.37	8.45	1.47	4.06	$2.07^{(c)}$		•	271
8	p-methylphenol	2.41	8.83	1.51	4.09	1.99	0.15	14.0	277
9	o-chlorophenol	2.61	10.07	1.72	4.16	~ 2:20°)	en		274
10	<i>m</i> -chlorophenol	2.74	10.14	1.82	4.17	2.55°	. •		274
. 11	p-chlorophenol	2.58	11.74	1.72	4.26	2.53	-2.15	6.96	280
12	o-bromophenol	2.67	15.00	1.95	4.41	2.40°)			274.5
13	<i>p</i> -bromophenol	2.74	14.60	2.03	4.38	2.74	-2.4	6.53	280
14	o-methoxyphenol	2.21	11.04	1.51	4.22	1.33			274.5
15	p-methoxyphenol	2.40	11.28	1.64	4.23	1.37	4.85	30.5	287.5
16	2,4-dinitrophenol	1.04	22.44	0.83	4.65		,		257
17	2,4-dichlorophenol	3.57	11.20	2.45	4.23				291
18	2,4,6-trichlorophenol	3.15	8.36	1.94	4.05				312
19	<i>p</i> -ethylphenol	3.23	8.61	2.00	4.08	2.58	-2.25	4.55	276
20	3-methyl-4-chlorophenol	3.85	9.29	2.46	4.12				280
21	resorcinol	1.59	13.07	1.14	4.33		0.8	16.9	273
22	2,4,6-trinitrophenol	1.16	32.04	1.00	4.87				355
23	salicylaldehyde	2.78	15.00	2.05	4.41	1.65			256
24	p-hydroxybenzaldehyde	2.22	13.64	1.60	4.34	1.35	0.35	15.5	283
25	methyl p-hydroxybenzoate	2.53	17.95	1.94	4.51	1.99			245
26	<i>p</i> -phenolsulfonamide	1.40	14.25	1.02	4.37	0.055	-3.8	1.88	236
27	salicylamide	2.17	14.38	1.61	4.38	1.25	-2.9	4.88	298
28	<i>p</i> -hydroxybenzamide	1.54	15.12	1.13	4.41	0.253	-5.8	-4.59	252
29	ethyl <i>p</i> -hydroxybenzoate	3.17	19.69	2.58	4.56	2.35			255
30	p-hydroxyacetoanilide	1.59	19.69	1.24	4.56	0.357	-2.3	7.46	243
31	<i>m</i> -ethoxyphenol	2.56	16.25	1.91	4.45	1.98			273
32	sulfanilamide	1.48	15.00	1.12	4.41	•			258
33	pyrocatechol	1.62	10.83	1.09	4.20	0.849	1.25	18.0	276
34	hydroquinone	1.80	17.34	1.36	4.49	0.495	0.85	17.6	288
35	p-anisaldehyde	2.94	12.59	2.12	4.30	1.76		•	283
36	aniline	1.93	5.02	0.98	3.74	0.89	3.55	24.1	280
37	benzaldehyde	2.63	8.44	1.60	4.06	1.45	-4.5	-1.45	248
38	p-nitrobenzamide	2.35	17.70	1.81	4.50	0.821			265
39	acetophenetidine	1.80	37.00	1.57	4.95	1.56	· •		246

a) $\Delta H_{app} = d(-R \ln b)/d(1/T)$

b) $\Delta S_{app} = d(-RT \ln b - \Delta H_{app})$

c) calculated from the data by T. Fujita, J. Iwasa and C. Hansh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 86, 5175 (1964)

and Wave length where the Concentration was Determined

tion of partition coefficient and should be discriminated from van der Waals, pi-electron interactions and others. On the basis of this view, the adsorption of phenols was investigated in this study in order to discuss the mode of interaction on the carbon black, referring to the partition coefficient in *n*-octanol/water system. Phenols were chosen because of their simplicity in structure compared with other homologues in medical use.

Experimental

Materials——Carbon black marketed as "Seisei Shirasagi" by Takeda Chemical Ind. was used after the same treatment as described in a previous paper.¹⁰) The rest of the materials were of the purest reagent grade.

Procedure for Determination of the Adsorbed Amount by Batch Method——Twenty mg of carbon black was added in 10 ml of the respective compounds in 1/15 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.62 or 7.45) at



Fig. 1. Relationship of Phenols between Partition Coefficient to *n*-Octanol, P_{Oct} , and that to Chloroform, P_{Chl} , at pH 5.62

 30° or 50° , and then procedure was carried out in the same way as described in the previous paper.¹⁰

Quantitative Determination of Phenols—After diluting the sample with the same buffer solution as used for the adsorption experiment mentioned above, the concentration of the compound was determined according to ultraviolet (UV) absorption method using a Hitachi 124 spectrophotometer at the respective wavelengths shown in Table I.

Determination of Partition Coefficient—Twenty ml of 1/15 M phosphate buffer solution (pH 5.62) containing 10^{-3}M of the compound was mixed with 10 ml *n*-octanol or chloroform, shaken for 2.5 hr at 25° (unless otherwise stated) and then the concentration in aqueous layer was determined in the same way as described above to obtain the partition coefficient. Partition coefficients of phenols to *n*-octanol and to chloroform were well correlated with each other, as shown in Fig. 1. Thus, *n*-octanol seemed to behave as a normal organic solvent to phenols.

Result and Discussion

Adsorption Isotherms of Phenols by Carbon Black from Aqueous Solution

The adsorption isotherms obtained for all the samples of phenols were well described with Langmuir equation (1).

$$1/M = 1/a + 1/abC$$

(1)

where M is the adsorbed amount at the concentration C in solution at equilibrium, a the amount adsorbed when the entire surface is covered by a monolayer, and b the equilibrium constant of adsorption process. The values of a and b obtained were listed in Table I, in which the values of the adsorbed amount at 2×10^{-3} M of equilibrium concentration are also listed.

There was no remarkable difference in adsorption isotherm among the respective ortho, meta and para derivatives of methylphenol, chlorophenol, bromophenol (no datum for *m*bromophenol) and methoxyphenol (no datum for *m*-methoxyphenol). This result suggested that these phenols may take a flat configuration on the carbon black surface in the similar way to the adsorption of nitrophenols in undissociated state (pH 2.0) on the active carbon

¹⁰⁾ H. Nogami, T. Nagai, E. Fukuoka, and H. Uchida, Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo), 16, 2248 (1968).

reported by Mattson, *et al.*,⁸⁾ while the *ortho* derivatives of benzoic acids of the same substituents as above were less adsorbed than the *meta* and *para* ones under the dissociated condition as reported in a previous paper.^{4e)}

The differences in the shape of adsorption isotherm suggested those in the adsorption mechanism. For example, the adsorption isotherms of phenol, p-methylphenol and p-ethylphenol are of lower curvature, as shown in Fig. 2, and those of 2,4-dinitrophenol and 2,4,6-trinitrophenol in dissociated state under the present experimental condition (pH 7.45) get bended with the increase in equilibrium concentration, as shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 2. Adsorption Isotherms of Phenols by Carbon Black at 30°, represented by the Same No. as in Table I





The intramolecular hydrogen bonding seemed to give effect on the adsorption and the *ortho* effect in the case of hydroxybenzamide was greater than that in the case of hydroxybenzaldehyde as follows:

 $\log M_{\rm e}$ (o-hydroxybenzaldehyde) – $\log M_{\rm e}$ (p-hydroxybenzaldehyde) = 0.107 $\log M_{\rm e}$ (salicylamide) – $\log M_{\rm e}$ (p-hydroxybenzamide) = 0.157

where $M_{\rm c}$ is the adsorbed amount at 2×10^{-3} M of equilibrium concentration. This result was similar to that of partition coefficient, $P_{\rm x}$, as follows:

> $\log P_x$ (o-hydroxybenzaldehyde) – $\log P_x$ (p-hydroxybenzaldehyde) = 0.302 $\log P_x$ (salicylamide) – $\log P_x$ (p-hydroxybenzamide) = 0.992

Relationship of Phenols between Langmuir Constant a in the Adsorption by Carbon Black and the Partition Coefficient in n-Octanol/Water System

Obtaining the values of *a* according to equation (1) and then plotting them against logarithm of partition coefficient, $\pi = \log P_x/P_H$, there was found a linear relationship, as shown in Fig. 4, where P_x and P_H are the partition coefficients of each phenol derivative and phenol itself, respectively.

With respect to the adsorption by carbon black, it seemed possible to assume a surface layer, as shown in Fig. 5, and then the chemical potential of the adsorbate component in the surface layer, μ^{s} , and that in the bulk phase, μ^{b} , are considered to be expressed as follows:



Fig. 4. Relationship of Phenols between Langmuir Constant a at 30° and Logarithm of Partition Coefficient, $\pi = \log P_x/P_H$, where P_x and P_H are the Partition Coefficients to *n*-Octanol of Each Phenol Derivatives and Phenol itself, respectively, represented by the Same No. as in Table I



Fig. 5. Schematic Diagram of the Vicinity of Carbon Black Surface

$$\mu^{\mathfrak{s}} = G_{\mathfrak{B}} + G_{\mathfrak{M}}^{\mathfrak{s}} + G_{\mathfrak{I}}^{\mathfrak{s}} + G_{\mathfrak{H}}^{\mathfrak{s}} + r^{\mathfrak{s}}$$
$$\mu^{\mathfrak{b}} = G_{\mathfrak{M}} + G_{\mathfrak{I}} + G_{\mathfrak{H}}$$
(2)

where G_B , G_M , G_I , G_H and r are the free energies of binding, mixing, iceberg formation, hydration, and expelling of water from the surface, respectively, the affix s meaning the surface layer.

At equilibrium, $\mu^{s} = \mu^{b}$. Then, equation (2) is modified as follows, placing $-T(\Delta S_{\mathbf{N}} - \Delta S_{\mathbf{N}}^{s})$ on the right hand, which corresponds to the free energy of the transfer accom-

panying adsorption of the component from the bulk phase to the surface layer.

$$(\Delta H_{\rm B} - T\Delta S_{\rm B}) + (\Delta H_{\rm M}^{\rm s} - \Delta H_{\rm M}) + \Delta H_{\rm I}^{\rm s} - \Delta H_{\rm I} - T(\Delta S_{\rm I}^{\rm s} - \Delta S_{\rm I}) + \Delta H_{\rm H}^{\rm s} - \Delta H_{\rm H} - T(\Delta S_{\rm H}^{\rm s} - \Delta S_{\rm H}) + r^{\rm s} = -T(\Delta S_{\rm M} - \Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm s})$$
(3)

where ΔH and ΔS are the enthalpy and the entropy, respectively, of the processes shown by the same suffixes as in equation (2), and T the absolute temperature.

When the adsorption takes place, $\Delta G_{\rm B} (=\Delta H_{\rm B}-T\Delta S_{\rm B})$ is negative. Since the more hydrophobic the molecule, the more it is adsorbed on carbon black, $(\Delta H_{\rm M}^{\rm s}-\Delta H_{\rm M})$ is considered to be negative. $(\Delta H_{\rm I}^{\rm s}-\Delta H_{\rm I})$ becomes positive because $\Delta H_{\rm I}^{\rm s} > 0$ and $\Delta H_{\rm I} < 0$. According to Shinoda and Fujihira's analysis,¹¹⁾ the free energy due to the iceberg formation is regarded to be an enthalpy predominant effect at room temperature, and thus $\Delta H_{\rm I}^{\rm s}-\Delta H_{\rm I}-T(\Delta S_{\rm I}^{\rm s}-\Delta S_{\rm I})$ becomes positive. Mattson, *et al.*⁸⁾ described on the basis of the disappearance of O-H stretch in infrared (IR) spectrum that there is some hydrogen bonding of phenols with surface groups involved, but this interaction is small and is not the primary cause for adsorption of phenols. Therefore, $\Delta H_{\rm H}^{\rm s}-\Delta H_{\rm H}-T(\Delta S_{\rm H}^{\rm s}-\Delta S_{\rm H})$ is considered to be positive. Finally, the factors to make the left hand of equation (3) negative, *i.e.*, to make the adsorption increase, are $(\Delta H_{\rm B}-T\Delta S_{\rm B})$ and $(\Delta H_{\rm M}^{\rm s}-\Delta H_{\rm M})$.

Next, with respect to the partition in *n*-octanol/water system, phenols were regarded to mixed well with both water and *n*-octanol because the values of partition coefficient, P_x , were almost the same between 27° and 47°. Therefore, the free energy of partition, $\Delta G_{\rm P}$, may be expressed as follows:

¹¹⁾ K. Shinoda and M. Fujihira, Bull. Chem. Soc. Japan, 41, 2612 (1968).

$$\Delta G_{\rm P} = -RT \ln \left(X_{\rm I} / X_{\rm II} \right) \propto \Delta H_{\rm M}$$

where X_{I} and X_{II} are the mole fractions in water and in *n*-octanol, respectively, and **R** the gas constant. Accordingly, the abscissa in Fig. 4 is considered to have relation to ΔH_{M} and the correlation of *a* with P_{x} suggested the following matters.

Based on the assumption of the surface layer in Fig. 5, $(\Delta H_{M}^{s}-\Delta H_{M})$ is considered to correspond to the free energy of transfer of phenols from the bulk phase to the surface layer, *i.e.*, the escaping tendency from the bulk phase, by the similar expression to equation (4), and then it seems to have relation to ΔH_{M} or π . On the other hand, *a* is related to the number of adsorption sites. Therefore, the result in Fig. 4 suggested that $(\Delta H_{M}^{s}-\Delta H_{M})$ may be effective in an increase in the concentration of the adsorbate component in the surface layer or predominantly effective in an increase in the number of adsorption sites, though ΔG_{B} also may give the similar effect. In other words, $(\Delta H_{M}^{s}-\Delta H_{M})$ or π is considered to form a factor in determining the mode of orientation of phenols on the carbon black.

Conclusively, the surface layer on carbon black is considered to play the smilar role to n-octanol layer in the partition experiment, while the adsorption takes place according to Langmuir equation.

Free Energy of Transfer of Phenols to the Adsorption Sites on Carbon Black

Since Langmuir constant b in equation (1) is considered to be a mean equilibrium constant for the transfer of many solute molecules to adsorption (or binding) sites on the adsorbent, it is derived that C=1/b and thus $\Delta S_{\rm M}=R\ln b$ at $M/a=\theta=1/2$, where θ is the binding fraction.

Plotting $-\mathbf{R}T \ln b$ against $\pi = \log (P_{\mathbf{x}}/P_{\mathbf{H}})$ in Fig. 6, there was found no correlation, contrary to the result shown in Fig. 4. Therefore, it was suggested that $(\Delta H_{\mathbf{H}}^{s} - \Delta H_{\mathbf{M}})$ may be reduced with $-T\Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}^{s}$ (M = a/2) which increases positively as $(\Delta H_{\mathbf{M}}^{s} - \Delta H_{\mathbf{M}})$ increases negatively, or that $\Delta G_{\mathbf{B}}$ may be the predominant factor in the increase in $-\mathbf{R}T \ln b$. The details of $\Delta G_{\mathbf{B}}$ will be discussed later.



Fig. 6. Relationship between Apparent Free Energy of a Adsorption at 30°, $-RT \ln b$, and Logarithm of Partition Coefficient, $\pi = \log P_x/P_H$, where P_x and P_H are the Partition Coefficients to *n*-Octanol of Each Phenol Derivatives and Phenol Itself, respectively, represented by the Same No. as in Table I



Fig. 7. Relationship of Phenols between Adsorbed Amount at Equilibrium Concentration 2×10^{-3} M, $M_{\rm c}$, and Equilibrium Concentration at Adsorbed Amount 1.6×10^{-3} mole/g, $C_{\rm m}$

(4)

(6)

In this connection, the quantity of $-\mathbf{R}T \ln b$ treated above should not correspond to the intrinsic free energy of adsorption, $\Delta G_{\rm A}$, which might contain the quantity dependent on the adsorbed amount, *i.e.*, $T\Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm s}$ (M=a/2), and thus $\Delta G_{\rm A}$ should be expressed as follows:

$$\Delta G_{\mathbf{A}} = -\mathbf{R}T\ln b + T\Delta S_{\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{S}}}\left(M = a/2\right) \tag{5}$$

This concept is considered similar to that described by Kipling as:¹²⁾ $\Delta G_{A} = -RT \ln(b/A_{0}\tau)$, where A_{0} is the limiting area of the adsorbed molecule and τ the thickness of the adsorbed layer.

Relationship of Phenols between Adsorbed Amount at an Identical Equilibrium Concentration, M_c , and Mole Fraction in the Surface Layer, X^s

Plotting the adsorbed amount at an identical equilibrium concentration, M_c , against the equilibrium concentration at identical adsorbed amount, C_m , both on logarithmic scale, a linear relationship was observed as shown in Fig. 7, suggesting the following matters.

Expressing the left hand of equation (3) by ΔJ , the following equation is given for each compound.

$$\Delta J = -T\Delta S_{\rm M} + T\Delta S_{\rm M}^{\rm s}$$

At an identical equilibrium concentration, the quantity of ΔS_{M} for every compound is considered identical. Then the following equation is given.

$$\Delta J(\mathbf{c}) = -Tk(\mathbf{c}) + T\Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}{}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{c})$$
(7)

where k (c) is the constant.

Next, at an identical adsorbed amount, assuming that the total number of all kinds of molecules and the activity coefficient of adsorbate component in the surface layer do not vary with the adsorbates, the quantity of ΔS_{M}^{s} for every compound is considered identical. Then, equation (6) is expressed as follows.

$$\Delta J(\mathbf{m}) = -T \Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}(m) + T k(\mathbf{m})$$
(8)

where k (m) is the constant.

 ΔJ is independent of $C_{\rm m}$ and $M_{\rm e}$, and thus it is considered that ΔJ (c)= ΔJ (m). Therefore, equations (7) and (8) give the following equation.

$$k(\mathbf{c}) + k(\mathbf{m}) = \Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}^{\mathbf{s}}(\mathbf{c}) + \Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}(\mathbf{m})$$
$$= \mathbf{R}(\ln X^{\mathbf{s}} + \ln X)$$
(9)

where X^{s} and X are the mole fractions of absorbate component in the surface layer and in the bulk phase, respectively.

X is considered proportional to C_m , but X^s is not to M_c because the property of water in the surface layer may be different from that in the bulk phase. Actually, the slope of the plot in Fig. 7 is not the unity, but is 0.302. Therefore, X^s should be expressed as follows

$$X^{\rm s} = (M_{\rm c})^{\lambda} \tag{10}$$

where λ is the constant.

Accordingly, equation (9) is modified as follows.

$$\log M_{\rm c} = (1/\lambda) \log \left(1/C_{\rm m}\right) + k \tag{11}$$

where k is the constant determined by k (c), k (m), the activity coefficient of the component and the total numbers of molecules in the bulk phase and the surface layer.

¹²⁾ J.J. Kipling, "Adsorption from Solution of Non-Electrolytes," Academic Press, London, 1965, p. 260.

Finally, the linear relationship in Fig. 4 seemed to be explained reasonably by equation (11) and thus the relationship of phenols between the adsorbed amount at an identical equilibrium concentration, $M_{\rm e}$, and the mole fraction in the surface layer, $X^{\rm s}$, could be expressed by equation (10), the value of being 3.31.

Relationship of Phenols between Binding Energy on Carbon Black and Hydrophobic Bonding

Plotting the adsorbed amount at an identical equilibrium concentration, M_c , against the partition coefficient in *n*-octanol/water system, P_x , of phenols, there was not found a simple correlation, as shown by groups I and II in Fig. 8.

Since the ordinate in Fig. 8 is considered to correspond to $-T\Delta S_{\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbf{c})$ in equation (7) as discussed already, the above result suggested that $(\Delta H_{\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{S}}}-\Delta H_{\mathbf{M}})$ or π alone is not the factor in determining the quantity of $-T\Delta S_{\mathbf{M}^{\mathbf{S}}}(\mathbf{c})$ for phenols, contrary to the case shown in Fig. 4.

Regarding group I, these phenols are considered to have substituents containing hydrophobic and van der Waals forces, *e.g.*, such as methyl and ehtyl groups, and the effect of the charge-transfer interaction on the increase in the adsorption of these phenols by carbon black shown in Fig. 8 might be little compared with that of the hydrophobic bonding on the consideration that their formation constants of charge-transfer complex with chloranyl¹³) had no relation to the increase in M_c . Therefore, $(\Delta H_M^s - \Delta H_M)$ may be predominantly effective in an in-



Fig. 8. Relationship of Phenols between Adsorbed Amount at Equilibrium Concentration 2×10^{-3} M, $M_{\rm c}$, and Partition Coefficient to *n*-Oxtanol, $P_{\rm x}$

crease in $-T\Delta S_{\mathbf{M}}^{s}$ (c), or the increase in adsorption may be predominantly due to the tendency of the in hydrophobic bonding.

Regarding group II, in addition to $(\Delta H_{\rm M}^{\rm s}-\Delta H_{\rm M})$, $\Delta G_{\rm B}$ should be taken into consideration, which makes the left hand of equation (3) more negative. $\Delta G_{\rm B}$ is considered to take to its source from various interaction forces in addition to the charge-transfer one. Although Mattson, *et al.*,⁸⁾ described the adsorption of *p*-nitrophenol on active carbon was a physical one, some interaction like a chemical reaction, which does not make so a great deviation from the physical adsorption, might give effect on $\Delta G_{\rm B}$. For example, the adsorbed amounts of *p*-methoxyphenol, *m*-aminophenol, *o*-hydroxyphenol, and *p*-hydroxyphenol increased with temperature, as shown in Table I, and Boehm reported that 18% of oxygen on the carbon surface reacted as quinone.¹⁴⁾ Additionally, since the substituents of group II contain *pi*-electrons and dipoles, charge transfer and dipole interactions of these substituents are considered to give effect on $\Delta G_{\rm B}$, resulting in a deviation from group I.

Acknowledgement The authors gratefully acknowledge the award of Research Grants from Naito Foundation (to T.N.).

¹³⁾ H. Umeyama, S. Wada, T. Nagai, and H. Nogami, presented at the 91st Annual Meeting of Pharmaceutical Society of Japan, Fukuoka, April, 1971, No. 7F4-4.

¹⁴⁾ H.P. Boehm, Advance in Catalysis, 16, 179 (1966).