Chem. Pharm. Bull. 28(3) 783—788 (1980)

Heterocyclic Prostaglandins. III. Synthesis of 10-0xa-11-deoxyprostaglandin E₂

AKIHIKO ISHIDA, 2a) SHIGEYOSHI SAIJO, and JUN-ICHI HIMIZU2)

Organic Chemistry Research Laboratory, Tanabe Seiyaku Co., Ltd.2)

(Received August 11, 1979)

The decarboxylation of various 1-substituted-3,7-dioxabicyclo[3.3.0] octane-2,8-diones (2b-j) was investigated. On treatment with potassium acetate in aqueous pyridine under reflux, all the compounds (2b-j) examined were decarboxylated in high yields to give the corresponding *trans*-3-hydroxymethyl-4-butanolides (3b-j).

The synthesis of 10-oxa-11-deoxyprostaglandin E_2 (1a) from the compounds 3i and 3j is also described. The Moffatt oxidation of 3i and the Wittig reaction of the resulting aldehyde (6a) with dimethyl 2-oxoheptylphosphonate gave an enone (7a), which was converted into 10-oxa-11-deoxyprostaglandin E_2 methyl ester (8a) and the C_{15} -epimer (9a) by NaBH₄ reduction. Hydrolysis and subsequent relactonization of 8a gave 1a in 88% yield. A similar treatment of 9a gave 1b in 87% yield. Using a similar sequence of reactions, 1a and 1b were also prepared from the nitrile analog 3j via 8b and 9b.

Keywords—decarboxylation; trans-2-substituted-3-hydroxymethyl-4-butanolide; prostaglandin; heterocyclic prostaglandin; 10-oxa-11-deoxyprostaglandin E_2

In the previous paper of this series, 1b we reported an effective route for the synthesis of 3,7-dioxabicyclo[3.3.0] octane-2,8-dione (2a) and its C_1 -substituted derivatives (2b—j) starting from diethyl 2-formyl succinate. In this paper, we describe the conversion of these dilactones (2b—j) into trans-2-substituted-3-hydroxymethyl-4-butanolide (3b—j), and also the synthesis of 10-oxa-11-deoxyprostaglandin E_2 (10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₂) (1a) from 3i and 3j.

The Conversion of 2 into 3

The decarbalkoxylation of esters by heating them in the presence of alkali halides, sodium cyanide, or other reagents has been widely employed as a versatile synthetic method.³⁾ The use of sodium cyanide in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) is particularly favored for the decarbalkoxylation of malonic esters. In a recent communication by Sakai *et al.*,⁴⁾ dibasic sodium phosphate in aqueous dioxane was reported to be useful for the hydrolysis and spontaneous decarboxylation of a lactone moiety of 1-substituted 3-oxabicyclo[3.3.0]octane-2,8-diones.

We examined the conversion of the dilactones (2b—j) into trans-hydroxymethyl lactones (3b—j) by a similar method. After experiments under various conditions, it was found that the decarboxylation proceeded smoothly on refluxing the dilactones in acetic acid in the presence of potassium acetate. The products were, however, a mixture of trans (4) and cis-3-acetoxymethyl-4-butanolide (5). For example, the 1-allyl derivative (2c) afforded a mixture of trans-3-acetoxymethyl-2-(2-propenyl)-4-butanolide (4c) and the cis isomer (5c), which were isolated by column chromatography in 67% and 6% yields, respectively. For the characterization of both isomers, the cis acetate (5c) was converted into the trans acetate (4c). Alkaline hydrolysis of 5c afforded 4-hydroxy-3-hydroxymethyl-2-(2-propenyl)

a) Part I: A. Ishida, S. Saijo, K. Noguchi, M. Wada, O. Takaiti, and J. Himizu, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 27, 625 (1979);
 b) Part II: A. Ishida, K. Noguchi, S. Saijo, J. Himizu, and M. Wada, ibid., 27, 2281 (1979).

²⁾ Location: 2-2-50, Kawagishi, Toda, Saitama 335, Japan; a) To whom correspondence should be addressed.

³⁾ J. McMurry, "Organic Reactions," Vol. 24, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1976, p. 187.

⁴⁾ O. Oda, K. Kojima, and K. Sakai, Tetrahedron Lett., 1975, 3709.

butanoic acid, which was readily lactonized to yield the *trans* alcohol (3c) during work-up.⁵⁾ Acetylation of 3c gave 4c in 83% overall yield from 5c. 1-Benzyl dilactone (2d) gave an inseparable mixture of 4d and 5d in 86% yield on decarboxylation under the same conditions.

Expecting the exclusive formation of the *trans*-3-hydroxymethyl lactones (3), we further examined the reaction in other solvent systems. Better results were achieved when aqueous pyridine was used. Decarboxylation proceeded similarly in the presence of potassium acetate, and the desired *trans* isomer (3) was obtained as a sole product under these conditions. The reaction conditions and yields are summarized in the table. The results with $2\mathbf{f}$ — \mathbf{j} were of special significance. Functional groups in the side chain, such as esters and nitriles, remained intact and the corresponding *trans*-hydroxymethyl lactones ($3\mathbf{f}$ — \mathbf{j}) could be obtained in high yields. We have previously reported an alternative synthetic route to $3\mathbf{g}$ as an intermediate for 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₁.^{1a)} The present method is more convenient for the preparation of $3\mathbf{g}$ than the previous one, and consequently the total yield of 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₁ was considerably improved.

The Synthesis of 10-0xa-11-deoxy PGE₂ (1a)

The success in the facile synthesis of 3i and 3j prompted us to attempt their conversion into 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₂ (1a). Chart 2 illustrates the five-step sequence of reactions to 1a.

Oxidation of 3i with dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC)-DMSO⁷⁾ gave an aldehyde (6a) (73% yield), which was converted to an enone (7a) (77% yield) by the Wittig reaction with the sodio derivative of dimethyl 2-oxoheptylphosphonate⁸⁾ in 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME). Reduction of 7a with sodium borohydride in methanol gave a mixture of C_{15} -epimeric alcohols (8a and 9a) which was separated into each isomer in 44% and 43% yields, respectively, by column chromatography. Configurational assignments of 8a and 9a were tentatively made by comparing their chromatographic properties as described for the 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₁ series. The more polar isomer was assigned as 8a with the desired 15 α -hydroxy configuration. Hydrolysis of 8a with potassium hydroxide in aqueous methanol, followed by relactonization in refluxing benzene-ethyl acetate (1:1) gave 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₂ (1a) in 88% yield. Analogous treatments of 9a afforded the 15 β -isomer (1b) in 86% yield.

⁵⁾ Hauser *et al.*⁶⁾ reported that *cis*-3-hydroxymethyl-2-(5-methoxycarbonyl)pentyl-4-butanolide was easily isomerized to the *trans*-butanolide under acidic conditions, and applied this strategy to the synthesis of 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₁.

⁶⁾ a) F.M. Hauser and R.C. Huffman, Tetrahedron Lett., 1974, 905; b) F.I. Carroll, F.M. Hauser, R.C. Huffman, and M.C. Coleman, J. Med. Chem., 21, 321 (1978).

⁷⁾ K.E. Pfitzner and J.G. Moffatt, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 88, 5661, 5670 (1965).

⁸⁾ W.S. Wadsworth, Jr. "Organic Reactions," Vol. 25, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1977, p.73.

Starting from the nitrile derivative (3j), 1a and 1b were also prepared by similar sequences of reactions, as shown in Chart 2. The Moffatt oxidation and the Wittig reaction gave an enone (7b) in satisfactory yield. Reduction of 7b with sodium borohydride gave a mixture of the 15α -alcohol (8b) (45% yield) and 15β -alcohol (9b) (44% yield). After separation by column chromatography, both isomers were hydrolyzed to the corresponding carboxylic acids and relactonization in refluxing benzene-ethyl acetate (1:1) afforded 1a (87% yield) and 1b (76% yield), respectively.

10-Oxa-11-deoxy PGE₂ derivatives, thus obtained, showed prostaglandin-like activities, as observed with the 10-oxa-11-deoxy PGE₁ series.^{1a)} The details of pharmacological studies will be published elsewhere by another group in our laboratory.

Table. trans-2-Substituted-3-hydroxymethyl-4-butanolide (3)

Compound No.	Conditions refl. time (hr)	Yield of 3 (%)	Formula	Anal. (%) Calcd (Found)		
				ć	H	Ň
3b	24	87	$C_6H_{10}O_3$	55.37 (55.17	7.75 7.57)	
3c	12	92	$\mathrm{C_8H_{12}O_3}$	61.52 (61.78	7.75 7.64)	
3 d	6	93	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{14}O_3}$	69.88 (69.73	$6.84 \\ 6.72)$	
3 e	6	94	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{14}O_{5}}$	62.39 (62.17)	5.64 5.59)	
3 f	24	95	${\rm C_{11}H_{18}O_5}$	57.38 (57.12	7.88 7.70)	
3g	24	92	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{22}O_{5}}$	60.44 (60.30)	8.59 8.33)	
3h	15	88	$\mathrm{C_{14}H_{24}O_{5}}$	61.74 (62.02)	8.88 8.99)	
3i	15	89	$\mathrm{C_{13}H_{20}O_5}$	60.92 (61.18)	7.87 7.93)	
3 ј	15	95	$\mathrm{C_{12}H_{17}NO_3}$	64.55 (64.79	$7.68 \\ 7.73$	6.27 6.27)

Experimental9)

General Procedure for Conversion of 2 into 3—A mixture of 2 (1.0 eq) and AcOK (2.0 eq) in pyridine (25- to 30-fold excess over 2) containing H₂O (10—15 eq) was refluxed for 6—24 hr. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with AcOEt, washed with brine and dried. Removal of the AcOEt gave a crude product which was purified by distillation to give trans-2-substituted-3-hydroxymethyl-4-butanolide (3b—j). Each product was characterized on the basis of spectral data and analytical data (see the table). Boiling points, IR and NMR data are given below. 3b: bp 102—105°/0.05 mmHg. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1750. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 3.95—4.60 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.75 (2H, d, CH₂OH), 2.1—3.2 (3H, m), 1.30 (3H, d, J=7 Hz, CH₃). 3c: bp 120—123°/0.3 mmHg. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1760, 1640. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.5—6.2 (1H, m, olefinic H), 4.9—5.35 (2H, m, olefinic H), 4.0—4.55 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.5—3.85 (2H, m, CH₂OH), 2.2—2.8 (5H, m). 3d: bp 160—162°/0.1 mmHg. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1760, 1600. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 7.25 (5H, s, aromatic H), 3.85—4.35 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.40 (2H, d, CH₂OH), 2.20—3.25 (5H, m). 3e: bp 237—239°/0.04 mmHg. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1770, 1610. NMR (CDCl₃) δ:

6.70 (3H, s, aromatic H), 5.90 (2H, s, $\stackrel{-\text{O}}{\underset{-\text{O}}{\text{CH}_2}}$), 3.9—4.4 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.50 (2H, m, CH₂OH), 2.1—

3.2 (5H, m). 3f: bp 140—141°/0.04 mmHg. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1770, 1730. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 3.95—4.60 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.75 (2H, d, CH₂OH), 3.3—2.4 (5H, m), 1.45 (9H, s, $3 \times {\rm CH_3}$). 3g: The spectral data and the TLC behavior of 3g were identical with those of an authentic sample.^{1a}) bp 188—190°/0.5 mmHg. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3420, 1760, 1720. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 4.05—4.55 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.50—3.80 (2H, br, CH₂OH), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.15 (1H, br, OH), 2.3—2.8 (2H, br.m, 2×CH), 2.30 (2H, quasi t, CH₂CO), 1.1—1.9 (10H, m). 3h: bp 210—213°/0.6 mmHg. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3420, 1770, 1720. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 4.1—4.6 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 4.10 (2H, q, OCH₂CH₃), 3.70 (2H, br.d, CH₂OH), 2.0—2.8 (3H, m, 2×CH, OH), 2.30 (2H, quasi t, CH₂CO), 1.2—2.0 (10H, m), 1.25 (3H, t, OCH₂CH₃).

Decarboxylation of 2c in AcOH—A mixture of 2c (1.82 g, 10 mmol) and AcOK (1.47 g, 15 mmol) in AcOH (15 ml) was refluxed for 48 hr. The AcOH was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was taken up in AcOEt, washed with 5% NaHCO₃ and H₂O, and then dried. Removal of the AcOEt gave a mixture of 4c and 5c (1.62 g). This was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with hexane–AcOEt (1: 2) gave 1.33 g (67%) of 4c, bp 103—105°/0.3 mmHg. The spectral data and the TLC and GC behavior of 4c were identical with those of the sample prepared by acetylation of 3c. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 1770, 1730, 1630. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.4—6.1 (1H, m, olefinic H), 4.9—5.4 (2H, m, olefinic H), 3.8—4.45 (4H, m, 2×CH₂O), 2.3—2.9 (4H, m), 2.05 (3H, s, COCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₄O₄: C, 60.59; H, 7.12. Found: C, 60.71; H, 7.04. Further elution with hexane–AcOEt (1: 2) gave 120 mg (6%) of 5c. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 1770, 1730, 1630. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.5—6.2 (1H, m), 4.9—5.4 (2H, m), 3.8—4.5 (4H, m), 2.2—3.0 (4H, m), 2.00 (3H, s).

Similarly, a mixture of 4d and 5d was obtained from 2d. Yield: 86%, bp 148—151°/0.04 mmHg. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 1775, 1740, 1600. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 7.20 (5H, s), 3.8—4.35 (4H, m), 2.35—3.4 (4H, m), 2.00 (3H, s). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{16}O_4$: C, 67.73; H, 6.50. Found: C, 67.93; H, 6.38.

Conversion of 5c into 4c—A 20% KOH solution (0.3 ml) was added to a stirred solution of 5c (35 mg) in MeOH (3 ml) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 15 hr, the reaction was quenched by addition of 10% HCl and the solvent was removed. The residue was taken up in AcOEt, washed with saturated (NH₄)₂SO₄ solution, and dried. Removal of the AcOEt gave 24 mg (87%) of 3c as an oil. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Id_4}$ cm⁻¹: 3450, 1760, 1640. A solution of 3c and Ac₂O (0.1 ml) in pyridine (0.5 ml) was stirred for 15 hr at room temperature. After usual work-up, the *trans* isomer 4c (29 mg) was obtained in 83% overall yield from 5c. The spectral data and the TLC and GC behavior of 4c derived from 5c were identical with those of the *trans* isomer (4c) (retention time: 5c/4c=1.14).

Preparation of 3i and 3j——A mixture of 2i (1.072 g, 3.8 mmol) and AcOK (745 mg, 7.6 mmol) in pyridine (30 ml) containing H_2O (0.8 ml) was refluxed for 15 hr. After usual work-up, the crude product was distilled to yield 866 mg (89%) of 3i, bp 185—188°/0.03 mmHg. IR v_{\max}^{Liq} cm⁻¹: 3100—3600, 1780, 1740. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.3—5.7 (2H, m, olefinic H), 4.3—4.5 (1H, m, lactone CH₂), 4.0—4.2 (1H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.6—3.8 (2H, br, CH₂OH), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.4—2.8 (5H, m), 2.35 (2H, t, CH₂CO₂CH₃), 1.95—2.30 (2H, quasi q, CH=CH-CH₂-CH₂), 1.6—1.9 (2H, quasi q).

Similarly, treatment of 2j (1.743 g, 7 mmol) with AcOK (1.37 g, 14 mmol) in pyridine (45 ml) and H₂O (1.4 ml) gave 1.484 g (95%) of 3j, bp 220—222°/0.6 mmHg. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3100—3600, 2260, 1765. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 5.5 (2H, quasi t, olefinic H), 3.9—4.5 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.70 (2H, quasi d, CH₂OH), 3.0 (1H, s, OH), 2.0—2.8 (8H, m), 1.4—2.0 (2H, quasi q).

⁹⁾ All melting and boiling points are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded with a Hitachi 215 spectro-photometer. NMR spectra were measured with JEOL JNM-PMX60 and JNM-PS-100 NMR spectro-meters using tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Abbreviations: s=singlet, d=doublet, t=triplet, q=quartet, and m=multiplet. Gas chromatographic (GC) analysis was performed with a Shimadzu GC-4B machine using a glass column packed with 10% NGS.

Preparation of 6a—DCC (2.472 g, 12 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 3i (1.024 g, 4 mmol) in DMSO (8 ml) and C_6H_6 (8 ml) containing pyridine (316 mg, 4 mmol) and trifluoroacetic acid (228 mg, 2 mmol) at 0° . After stirring for 2 hr at room temperature, C_6H_6 (20 ml) was added followed by addition of a solution of oxalic acid (1.08 g, 12 mmol) in MeOH (3 ml) under ice-cooling. Insoluble materials were removed by filtration and washed with C_6H_6 . The filtrate was washed with 5% NaHCO₃ and H_2O , dried and concentrated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane: AcOEt=2: 3 as an eluent) to yield 742 mg (73%) of 6a. IR $v_{max}^{\text{Liq.}}$ cm⁻¹: 1780, 1740, 1730. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 9.65 (1H, d, CHO), 5.1—5.7 (2H, m, olefinic H), 4.1—4.55 (2H, m, lactone CH₂), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.8—3.4 (2H, m, CH), 2.4—2.8 (2H, m), 2.35 (2H, t, CH₂CO₂CH₃), 2.1 (2H, quasi q, CH=CHCH₂), 1.75 (2H, quasi q, CH₂). 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone of 6a: mp 137—138° (recrystallized from EtOH). Anal. Calcd for $C_{19}H_{22}N_4O_8$: C, 52.53; H, 5.10; N, 12.90. Found: C, 52.29; H, 5.11; N, 12.84.

Preparation of 6b—DCC (7.27 g, 35.1 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 3j (2.60 g, 11.7 mmol) in DMSO (18 ml) and C_6H_6 (18 ml) containing pyridine (0.94 ml) and trifluoroacetic acid (0.47 ml) at 0°. After stirring for 2 hr at room temperature, the mixture was worked up as described for the preparation of 6a. The oily product was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane: AcOEt=1: 4 as an eluent) to give 1.91 g (74%) of 6b. IR $\nu_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 2260, 1770, 1720. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 9.60 (1H, d, CHO), 5.3—5.6 (2H, m, olefinic H), 4.0—4.6 (2H, m), 2.75—3.5 (2H, m, CH), 2.0—2.7 (6H, m), 1.4—2.0 (2H, m). 2,4-Dinitrophenylhydrazone of 6b: mp 120—121° (recrystallized from EtOH). Anal. Calcd for $C_{18}H_{19}N_5O_6$: C, 53.86; H, 4.77; N, 17.46. Found: C, 53.76; H, 4.73; N, 17.59.

Preparation of 7a——A solution of dimethyl 2-oxoheptylphosphonate⁸⁾ (666 mg, 3 mmol) in DME (5 ml) was added to a stirred suspension of 65% NaH (111 mg, 3 mmol) in DME (5 ml) at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. After 1 hr, a solution of 6a (762 mg, 3 mmol) in DME (5 ml) was added and the mixture was stirred for 2 hr. After adding AcOEt (50 ml), the mixture was washed with H_2O , and then dried. Removal of the solvent gave an oil which was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane: AcOEt=2: 1 as an eluent) to give 809 mg (77%) of 7a. IR $v_{\text{max}}^{\text{Liq}}$ cm⁻¹: 1780, 1740, 1680, 1640. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.65 (1H, d,d, J=16, 8 Hz, CH=CHCO), 6.20 (1H, d, J=16 Hz, CH=CHCO), 5.1—5.7 (2H, m, olefinic H), 4.40 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 4.00 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH₃), 3.10 (1H, quasi t, COCH), 2.4—2.7 (3H, m), 2.35 (2H, t, CH₂CO₂), 2.10 (2H, quasi q, CH=CHCH₂), 1.2—1.9 (10H, m), 0.9 (3H, t, CH₂CH₃). UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtOH}}$ nm (ϵ): 224 (1.6×10⁴). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₀O₅: C, 68.54; H, 8.63. Found: C, 68.84; H, 8.69.

Preparation of 7b—A solution of 6b (531 mg, 2.4 mmol) in DME (5 ml) was added at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere to a stirred solution of the sodio derivative of dimethyl 2-oxoheptylphosphonate prepared from 65% NaH (99 mg, 2.6 mmol) and dimethyl 2-oxoheptylphosphonate (578 mg, 2.6 mmol) in DME (10 ml). After 2 hr, the reaction mixture was worked up as described for the preparation of 7a. The oily residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexane: AcOEt=1: 1 as an eluent) to give 548 mg (72%) of 7b. IR $r_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 2260, 1770, 1660, 1620. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.65 (1H, d,d, J=16, 8 Hz, CH=CHCO), 6.10 (1H, d, J=16 Hz, CH=CHCO), 5.40 (2H, quasi t, olefinic H), 4.35 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.90 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 2.8—3.5 (2H, m, CH), 2.0—2.8 (8H, m), 1.05—2.0 (8H, m), 0.85 (3H, t, CH₂CH₃), UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm Etor}$ nm (ϵ): 224 (1.39×10⁴). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₇NO₃: C, 71.89; H, 8.57; N, 4.41. Found: C, 72.03; H, 8.79; N, 4.43.

Preparation of 8a and 9a—Powdered NaBH₄ (76 mg, 2 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of 7a (701 mg, 2 mmol) in MeOH (20 ml) at -10° . After 15 min, the mixture was poured into ice-cold brine containing AcOH and extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with brine, dried and concentrated to give a mixture of 8a and 9a. This mixture was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with hexane—AcOEt (1: 1) afforded 303 mg (43%) of 9a. IR $r_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3200—3600, 1780, 1740. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.3—5.7 (4H, m, olefinic H), 4.35 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.85 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.9—4.3 (1H, br. CHOH), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.6—3.3 (2H, br), 1.8—2.6 (7H, m), 1.1—1.8 (10H, m), 0.9 (3H, t, CH₂CH₃). Further elution with hexane—AcOEt (1: 1) afforded 310 mg (44%) of 8a. IR $r_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3200—3600, 1780, 1740. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.3—5.7 (4H, m, olefinic H), 4.35 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.85 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.9—4.3 (1H, br, CHOH), 3.65 (3H, s, OCH₃), 2.6—3.3 (2H, br), 1.85—2.55 (7H, m), 1.1—1.8 (10H, m), 0.9 (3H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₃₂O₅: C, 68.15; H, 9.15. Found: C, 68.40; H, 9.12.

Preparation of 8b and 9b——Powdered NaBH₄ (95 mg, 2.5 mmol) was added to a solution of 7b (785 mg, 2.5 mmol) in MeOH (20 ml) at -10° . After 15 min, the reaction mixture was worked up as described for the preparation of 8a and 9a. The epimeric mixture was chromatographed on silica gel. Elution with hexane–AcOEt (1: 1) afforded 355 mg (44%) of 9b. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq.}$ cm⁻¹: 3200—3600, 2250, 1770. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.3—5.7 (4H, m, olefinic H), 4.30 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.9—4.2 (1H, br, CHOH), 3.85 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 2.6—3.2 (2H, m), 2.0—2.6 (7H, m), 1.1—2.0 (10H, m), 0.85 (3H, t, CHCH₃). Further elution with hexane–AcOEt (1: 1) afforded 362 mg (45%) of 8b. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq.}$ cm⁻¹: 3200—3600, 2250, 1770. NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 5.3—5.7 (4H, m, olefinic H), 4.30 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.9—4.2 (1H, br, CHOH), 3.80 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 2.6—3.2 (2H, m), 2.0—2.6 (7H, m), 1.1—2.0 (10H, m), 0.85 (3H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₉NO₃: C, 71.44; H, 9.15; N, 4.39. Found: C, 71.24; H, 9.00; N, 4.45.

Preparation of 1a—i) From **8a**: A 20% KOH solution (1.2 ml) was added to a stirred solution of **8a** (282 mg, 0.8 mmol) in MeOH (12 ml) at 0° under a nitrogen atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to stand overnight at room temperature and the MeOH was removed under reduced pressure. The aqueous

layer was acidified with dil. HCl and extracted with AcOEt. The extract was washed with brine, dried and concentrated. The oily residue was dissolved in AcOEt-C₆H₆ (1: 1) (20 ml), and refluxed for 1 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere. Removal of the solvent gave 237 mg (88%) of 1a. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3200—3600, 2400—2800, 1760, 1700. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.35 (2H, br.s, CO₂H, OH), 5.3—5.8 (4H, m, olefinic H), 4.35 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.85 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.95—4.3 (1H, br, CHOH), 2.7—3.3 (2H, m), 2.0—2.7 (6H, m), 1.1—2.0 (10H, m), 0.9 (3H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₀O₅: C, 67.43; H, 8.94. Found: C, 67.14; H, 9.11.

ii) From 8b: A solution of 8b (638 mg, 2 mmol) and KOH (560 mg, 10 mmol) in EtOH- H_2O (3: 1) (30 ml) was heated at 80° for 72 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere. After usual work-up, the hydrolysis product was obtained as an oil. Relactonization of this oil by refluxing in AcOEt- C_6H_6 (1: 1) (60 ml) gave 590 mg (87%) of 1a.

Preparation of 1b—i) From 9a: A 20% KOH solution (0.9 ml) was added to a stirred solution of 9a (211 mg, 0.6 mmol) in MeOH (10 ml) at 0° under a nitrogen atmosphere. After reaction for 15 hr followed by work-up as described for the preparation of 1a, 174 mg (86%) of 1b was obtained. IR $v_{\rm max}^{\rm Liq}$ cm⁻¹: 3200—3600, 2400—2800, 1760, 1700. NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 6.35 (2H, br.s, CO₂H, OH), 5.3—5.8 (4H, m, olefinic H), 4.35 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.85 (1H, t, lactone CH₂), 3.9—4.3 (1H, br, CHOH), 1.9—2.6 (6H, m), 1.1—1.9 (10H, m), 0.9 (3H, t). Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₃₀O₅: C, 67.43; H, 8.94. Found: C, 67.29; H, 8.77.

ii) From 9b: A solution of 9b (287 mg, 0.9 mmol) and KOH (254 mg) in EtOH- H_2O (3:1) (20 ml) was heated at 80° for 72 hr under a nitrogen atmosphere. After work-up as described for the preparation of 1a, 231 mg (76%) of 1b was obtained.

Acknowledgement The authors are greatly indebted to Professor Emeritus S. Sugasawa (University of Tokyo), Dr. S. Saito (Director of this laboratory), and Dr. T. Oh-ishi for helpful discussions.