Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33(12)5202—5206(1985)

Inverted Prenylation of N_b -Methoxycarbonyltryptamine. Synthesis of 3a-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole and 2-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)tryptamine Derivatives

TOHRU HINO,* KOICHI HASUMI, HITOSHI YAMAGUCHI, MIKIO TANIGUCHI, and MASAKO NAKAGAWA

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chiba University, Yayoi-cho, Chiba-shi 260, Japan

(Received April 17, 1985)

Reaction of the indolyl anion of N_b -methoxycarbonyltryptamine (4) with 2-chloro-2-methyl-3-butyne (2) gave the 3a-(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (6) along with the allene derivative (7) and N_a -(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)tryptamine (8). Partial reduction of 6 gave the 3a-(1,1-dimethylallyl) derivative (10), which rearranged to the 2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)tryptamine (11) and the N_a -(3,3'-dimethylallyl)tryptamine (12) on acid treatment. On the other hand, acid treatment of 6 gave the 2-(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)tryptamine (14) in poor yield.

Keywords— N_b -methoxycarbonyltryptamine; inverted prenylation; 2-chloro-2-methyl-3-butyne; acid-catalyzed rearrangement; (1,1-dimethylallyl)tryptamine; (1,1-dimethylpropargyl)tryptamine; pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole 3a-substituted

Many natural products derived from prenylated tryptophans have been found, mostly from fungi. An inverted prenyl (1,1-dimethylallyl) group is often observed at the 1-,2-, or 3-position of the indole ring in these natural products, *e.g.*, echinulines, brevianamide $E^{(2)}$ LL-S490 $\beta^{(3)}$, roquefortine, flustramines, and amauromine, for the synthesis of simple 1,1-dimethylallylindoles, the thio-Claisen rearrangement of 2- or 3-(3,3-dimethylallylthio)-indoles has been employed. Furthermore 2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)indole derivatives have been obtained by acid-catalyzed rearrangement of 1-(3,3-dimethylallyl)indoles, or by stepwise indole ring synthesis. Direct introduction of the inverted prenyl group into indoles has not been reported, although normal prenylation of simple indoles and tryptamine derivatives was reported by Casnati's group¹⁰⁾ and our group. Figure 1.

Nucleophilic substitution of 1,1-dimethylallyl chloride with nucleophiles generally gives S_N2' type products. However, the reaction of the cyclopentanone enamine (1) with 2-chloro-2-methyl-3-butyne (2) has been reported¹²⁾ to give α -(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)cyclopentanone (3), an S_N2 type product. Therefore we examined the reaction of N_b -methoxycarbonyltryptamine (4) with 2 under various conditions. The reaction of 4 with 2^{13} in dimethylformamide (DMF) in the presence of triethylamine and cuprous chloride, following to the above example, 12) failed to give the expected compound 6, and 4 was recovered unchanged. The reaction of 4 with 2 in acetate buffer, under conditions where prenylation of 4 with dimethylallyl bromide proceeded smoothly to give the 3a,8-diprenylpyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (5), also did not proceed. However, the addition of 2 to the sodium salt of 4, prepared by treatment of 4 with sodium hydride in DMF, gave the expected product, 3a-(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (6), in 27% yield along with the allene (7, 11%) and the N-propargyl derivative (8, 23%). The structure of 6 was confirmed by the following spectral data. The ultraviolet (UV) spectrum showed typical hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indolic chromophore absorptions (λ_{max} 245 and 301 nm)¹¹⁾ and the mass spectrum (MS) showed the molecular ion peak at m/z 284. The infrared (IR) spectrum showed the C \equiv CH band at

No. 12 5203

Chart 1

3325 cm⁻¹ and $C \equiv C$ band at 2100 cm⁻¹. The proton nuclear magnetic resonance (¹H-NMR) spectrum showed two singlets due to the 8a-proton at 5.44 and 5.48 ppm and two singlets for the methoxy at 3.70 and 3.78 ppm, indicating the presence of rotamers due to slow rotation of the C-N bond of the amide group. A similar phenomenon has been observed in other 1-methoxycarbonylpyrrolo[2,3-*b*]indoles. ¹⁴⁾ Further confirmation of the structure 6 was obtained by acetylation of 6 to give 9, mp 148—149.5 °C (see Experimental for the spectral data). The structure of the allene derivative (7), mp 105.5—106.5 °C, was confirmed by the following spectral data. The UV spectrum was similar to that of 6, but the IR spectrum showed a band at 1960 cm⁻¹ due to C = C = C, and lacked the acetylenic band. The NMR spectrum showed a multiplet at between 5.00 and 5.32 ppm due to the 8a proton and -CH = C = C. The presence of rotamers was also observed, as in the case of 6. The structure of the *N*-propargyltryptamine (8), mp 90—90.5 °C, was confirmed by its spectral data (see Experimental). These results indicated that the reaction of 4 with 2 proceeded not only *via* S_N 2 type substitution reaction, but also *via* S_N 2' type reaction. However, only the S_N 2 type reaction product (8) was isolated in the case of the substitution at the indole nitrogen.

Partial catalytic hydrogenation of **6** with Lindlar catalyst in benzene smoothly gave 3a-(1,1-dimethylallyl)pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (**10**), mp 89—90.5 °C, whose structure was confirmed by the typical ABX vinyl proton signals at 5.04 (d, $J=18\,\mathrm{Hz}$), 5.08 (d, $J=10\,\mathrm{Hz}$), and 6.02 ppm (dd, J=10 and 18 Hz) in the NMR spectrum. In order to obtain 2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)tryptamines we examined acid catalyzed rearrangement of **6** and **10**. In the case of 3a,8-diprenylpyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (**5**), 1,2-diprenyltryptamine was obtained by treatment with trifluoroacetic acid in methylene chloride in good yield.¹¹⁾ We attempted a similar acid treatment of **6**, but the rearranged compound **14** was not obtained. However, refluxing of **6** in

ethanolic concentrated hydrochloric acid (3:1) [conditions, under which 3a-benzylpyrrolo-[2,3-b]indole rearranged to the 2-benzyltryptophan derivative¹⁵⁾] gave the desired 2-(1,1-d) in 8% yield. These results suggest that these rearrange-

Chart 2

ments may proceed via intermediates A and B, and indicated that the migratory aptitude of the 1.1-dimethylpropargyl group is less than that of the prenyl group or benzyl group. Therefore we next examined the rearrangement of the 1,1-dimethylallyl derivative 10. Treatment of 10 with trifluoroacetic acid in methylene chloride (1:1) at room temperature for 2 h gave the desired 2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)tryptamine (11) in only 15% yield, and the N_a -(3,3dimethylallyl)tryptamine (12, 30%) and 2-(3-methyl-3-trifluoroacetoxybutyl)tryptamine (13, 35%) were obtained as major products. Rearrangement of 10 in trifluoroacetic acid or trifluoroacetic acid-methylene chloride (1:3) did not improve the yeild of 11. Furthermore, the reaction of 10 in acetic acid at 60 °C gave 12 as the major product (53%) and 11 became the minor product (10%). The UV spectrum of 11 showed an indolic chromophore, λ_{max} 225, 284, and 291.5sh nm. The NMR spectrum of 11 showed the presence of vinyl group, two doublets at 5.12 ppm (J = 10 and 18 Hz) and a double doublet at 6.12 ppm (J = 10 and 18 Hz), and lacked the 2-proton signal at 6.96 ppm observed in 4. On the other hand, the spectral data (see Experimental) of 12 indicated that it is the N_a -dimethylallyltryptamine derivative. The structure of 12 was unequivocally confirmed by direct comparison with a sample prepared by prenylation of 4 with 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide in the presence of sodium hydride in DMF. The IR spectrum of 13 showed two carbonyl bands at 1780 and 1720 cm⁻¹; the former band suggested the presence of trifluoroacetoxy group. The NMR spectrum of 13 showed two singlets due to a geminal methyl group at 1.62 and 1.66 ppm, and two multiplets due to CH₂CH₂-Ç-OCOCF₃ at 2.04—2.32 and 2.64—3.20 ppm. Neither an olefinic proton nor an αproton of the indole ring was observed. These data confirmed the structure of 13. The formation of 12 might be interpreted in terms of [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of the intermediate B ($R = -CMe_2 - CH = CH_2$), giving 11 by 1,2-shift or [1,5]-sigmatropic rearrangement. On the other hand, 13 might be formed by 1,2-shift with allylic rearrangement or by [3,5]-sigmatropic rearrangement of the intermediate B followed by addition of trifluoroacetic acid. Although the yields of the reactions described above are not satisfactory, 3a-(1,1dimethylallyl)pyrrolo[2,3-b]indole and 2-(1,1-dimethylallyl)tryptamine can be prepared by the propargylation of 2.

Further investigations along this line are now in progress.

Experimental

All melting points are uncorrected. The UV spectra were taken with Hitachi-323 and 340 spectrophotometers, and IR spectra with Hitachi IR-295 and 260 spectrophotometers. The NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL MH-100 and MS on a HItachi M-60. Merck Kieselgel 60, 70—230 mesh, was used for column chromatography.

Reaction of N_b -Methoxycarbonyltryptamine (4) with 2-Chloro-2-methyl-3-butyne (2)—Tryptamine carbamate (4, 2.18 g, 10 mmol) in DMF (90 ml) was added to NaH (50%, 730 mg, 15 mmol) in DMF (40 ml) during 35 min under

ice cooling and the mixture was stirred for 40 min. Then 2-chloro-2-methyl-3-butyne (2, 1.25 g, 12 mmol)¹³⁾ in DMF (40 ml) was added during 10 min under ice cooling. The mixture was stirred for 21 h at room temperature, then poured into H_2O (250 ml). The mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 and the extract was washed with H_2O and saturated NaCl solution, then dried. Removal of the solvent by evaporation left a brown oil (3.38 g) which was chromatographed on a silica gel column (40 g). Elution with CH_2Cl_2 -acetone (1:1) gave a mixture (1.82 g) of 6, 7, and 8. Further elution with the same solvent gave recovered 4 (471 mg, 22%). The first fraction was rechromatographed on a silica gel column (30 g). Elution with AcOEt-hexane (1:3) gave a mixture of 6 and 7 (1.07 g, 38%). The ratio of 6 and 7 was determined from the NMR spectrum and was found to be 3:1. Pure samples of 6 and 7 were obtained by repeated silica gel column chromatography. Further elution with the same solvent gave 8 (644 mg, 23%).

3a-(1,1-Dimethylpropargyl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (6): A colorless oil. UV λ_{max}^{EIOH} nm: 245, 301. IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3450 (NH), 3325 (\equiv CH), 2100 (C \equiv C), 1680 (C \equiv O). MS m/z (%): 284 (M⁺, 18), 217 (100), ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.12, 1.34 (3H, each s, gem CH₃), 2.04—2.36, 2.36—2.86 (2H, m, CH₂), 2.20 (1H, s, \equiv CH), 2.88—3.20, 3.58—4.00 (2H, m, CH₂N), 3.70, 3.78 (total 1H, each s, CO₂Me), 4.0 (exchangeable, br, NH), 5.44, 5.48 (total 1H, each s, N-CH-N), 6.50—6.88, 7.00—7.24 (4H, m, arom H).

3a-(3,3-Dimethylallenyl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (7): Colorless prisms, mp 105.5—106.5 °C (from acetone–hexane). UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EiOH}}$ nm: 245, 298. IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3350 (NH), 1960 (C=C=C), 1695 (C=O). MS m/z (%): 284 (M⁺, 34), 229 (100). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.68, 1.70 (3H, each s, gem CH₃), 2.22 (2H, t, CH₂), 3.16, 3.60 (2H, m, NCH₂), 3.68, 3.76 (total 3H, each s, CO₂Me), 4.68, 5.08 (br, NH), 6.44—7.20 (4H, m, arom H).

 $N_{\rm a}$ -(1,1-Dimethylpropargyl)- $N_{\rm b}$ -methoxycarbonyltryptamine (8): Colorless needles, mp 90—90.5 °C (from acetone-hexane). UV $\lambda_{\rm max}^{\rm EIOH}$ nm (ϵ): 226 (32300), 276sh (5680), 285 (6200), 294.5sh (5400). IR (KBr) cm $^{-1}$: 3320 (NH), 3300 (C \equiv CH), 1700 (C \equiv O), 1545 (amide II). MS m/z (%): 284 (M $^+$, 39), 130 (100). 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.92 (6H, s, gem CH₃), 2.52 (1H, s, C \equiv C-H), 2.90 (2H, t, J = 6Hz, CH₂), 3.44 (2H, q, J = 6Hz, NCH₂), 3.62 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.68 (1H, br s, NH), 6.92—7.88 (5H, m, arom H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₀N₂O₂: C, 71.80; H, 7.09; N, 9.85. Found: C, 71.81; H, 7.10; N, 9.68.

8-Acetyl-3a-(1,1-dimethylpropargyl)-1-methoxycarbonyl-1,2,3,3a-8,8a-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (9). Acetylation of 6—A mixture (504 mg) of 6 and 7 in acetic anhydride (12 ml) and pyridine (5 ml) was stirred for 51 h at room temperature and then for 4 h at 70—90 °C. Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* gave a residue, which was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂. The CH₂Cl₂ solution was washed with H₂O and dried. Evaporation of the solvent gave a residue (529 mg), which was recrystallized from acetone–hexane to give the acetyl derivative (9, 63 mg). Recrystallization from acetone–hexane gave colorless prisms, mp 148—149.5 °C. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EiOH}}$ nm (ϵ): 247.5 (7900), 276.5 °h (1200), 284.5 °h (1000). IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3250 (C \equiv C–H), 1710 (C \equiv O), 1680 (C \equiv O). MS m/z (%): 326 (M +, 25), 217 (100). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.00, 1.32 (6H, each s, *gem* CH₃), 2.24 (1H, s, C \equiv C–H), 2.00—3.00 (4H, m, CH₂, N–CH₂), 2.58 (3H, s, COCH₃), 3.68 (3H, s, CO₂Me), 6.12 (1H, s, NCHN), 6.94—7.40 (3H, m, arom H), 7.98 (1H, d, J=8 Hz, 7-H). *Anal.* Calcd for C₁₉H₂₂N₂O₃: C, 69.92; H, 6.79; N, 8.58. Found: C, 70.02; H, 6.77; N, 8.56.

2-(1,1-Dimethylpropargyl)- N_b -methoxycarbonyltryptamine (14)—A mixture of 6 (200 mg, 0.7 mmol) in EtOH (9 ml) and concentrated HCl (3 ml) was refluxed for 10 h under an Ar atmosphere. The mixture was poured into H₂O (50 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extracts were washed with sat. NaHCO₃ solution, H₂O, and sat. NaCl solution, then dried. Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* gave a dark brown residue (181 mg), which was separated by silica gel preparative thin layer chromatography (TLC) to give the 2-propargyl derivative (14, 16 mg, 8%) and the starting material (6, 81 mg, 41%).

14: A colorless oil. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtOH}}$ nm: 222, 276^{sh}, 284.5, 292^{sh}. IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3450 (NH), 3300 (C≡CH), 1710 (C=O), 1520 (amide II). MS m/z (%): 284 (M⁺, 20), 196 (100). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.74 (6H, s, gem CH₃), 2.46 (1H, s, C≡CH), 3.0—3.24 (2H, m, CH₂), 3.32—3.64 (2H, m, NCH₂), 3.64 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.82 (1H, br s, NH, exchangeable), 7.00—7.64 (4H, m, arom H), 8.30 (1H, br s, NH, exchangeable). Reaction of the 3a-propargyl derivative with CF₃COOH in CH₂Cl₂ resulted in recovery of the starting material together with a small amount of unknown compounds.

3a-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)-1,2,3,3a,8,8a-hexahydropyrrolo[2,3-b]indole (10): Reduction of 6—A solution of the 3a-propargyl derivative (6, 1.27 g, 4.5 mmol) in benzene (15 ml) was hydrogenated in the presence of Lindlar catalyst (60 mg) and quinoline (0.12 ml) under an H₂ atmosphere (1 atm pressure) for 5.5 h. The catalyst was removed and washed with CH₂Cl₂. The combined filtrate was evaporated *in vacuo* to give a residue which was chromatographed on a silica gel column to give the 1,1-dimethylallyl derivative (10, 1.17 g, 92%) as colorless oil. Recrystallization from hexane gave colorless fine needles (950 mg). Repeated recrystallization from MeOH gave colorless prisms, mp 89—90.5 °C. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{inO}}$ nm (ε): 244.5 (7800), 300 (3000). IR (KBr) cm⁻¹: 3340 (NH), 1690 (CO). MS m/z (%): 286 (M⁺, 10), 217 (100). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ: 1.00, 1.08 (6H, each s, gem CH₃), 1.84—2.48, 2.76—3.12 (4H, 2×CH₂), 3.66, 3.76 (3H, each s, OCH₃), 4.58 (1H, br s, NH), 5.04 (1H, d, J=18 Hz, H=C=C=C=H=1), 5.08 (1H, d, J=10 Hz, H=C=C=C=H=1), 5.22, 5.88 (1H, each s, NCHN), 6.02 (1H, dd, J=10 and 18 Hz, H=C=C=C=C=1), 6.44—6.84, 6.96—7.20 (4H, m, arom H). Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₂N₂O₂: C, 71.30; H, 7.74; N, 9.78. Found: C, 71.35; H, 7.73; N, 9.74.

5206 Vol. 33 (1985)

2-(1,1-Dimethylallyl)- N_b -methoxycarbonyltryptamine (11)—A mixture of 10 (101 mg, 0.35 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 ml) and CF₃COOH (5 ml) was stirred for 2.5 h at room temperature under an Ar atmosphere. The mixture was poured into sat. NaHCO₃ solution (50 ml) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂. The extracts were washed with H₂O and sat. NaCl solution, then dried.Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* gave a yellow oil (131 mg), which was separated by silica gel preparative TLC (EtOAc: hexane = 1:3) to give a mixture of 11 and 12 (45 mg, fraction 1), and 13 (49 mg, 35%). Further separation of fraction 1 by alumina preparative TLC (CH₂Cl₂) gave pure 11 and 12 as an oil. The yields of 11 and 12 were calculated from the NMR spectrum of fraction 1 based on the integral ratio of *gem*-dimethyl signals of the compounds: 11 (15%) and 12 (30%).

11: A colorless oil. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtOH}}$ nm: 225, 284, 291.5^{sh}. IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 3450 (NH), 1710 (CO), 1510 (amide II). MS m/z (%): 286 (M⁺, 24), 198 (100). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.52 (6H, s, gem CH₃), 3.04 (2H, t-like, CH₂), 3.42 (2H, q, NCH₂), 4.80 (1H, br s, NH), 5.12 (1H, d, J = 10 Hz, -C = CH₂), 5.12 (1H, d, J = 18 Hz, C = CH₂), 6.12 (1H, dd, J = 10 and 18 Hz, -C $\underline{\text{H}}$ = C $\stackrel{\text{H}}{\sim}$ H, 7.00—7.36, 7.44—7.60 (4H, m, arom H), 7.96 (1H, br s, NH).

12: A colorless oil. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtOH}}$ nm: 227, 292. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 1710 (C = O), 1530 (amide II). MS m/z (%): 286 (M⁺, 34), 130 (100). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.76, 1.80 (6H, each s, gem CH₃), 2.92 (2H, t, J = 8 Hz, CH₂), 3.48 (2H, q, J = 8 Hz, N_b -CH₂), 3.64 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.62 (2H, d, J = 6 Hz, N_a -CH₂), 4.62 (1H, s, NH), 5.34 (1H, t, J = 6 Hz, CH = C \langle 6.88 (1H, s, 2-H), 7.00—7.36, 7.48—7.64 (4H, arom H). This sample was identical with a sample obtained by N_a -prenylation of N_b -methoxycarbonyltryptamine (IR, NMR, TLC).

CF₃COOH Adduct (13): A colorless oil. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EiOH}}$ nm: 225.5, 275^{sh}, 282, 292^{sh}. IR (CHCl₃) cm⁻¹: 1780 (C=O), 1720 (C=O), 1520 (amide II). ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.62, 1.66 (6H, each s, *gem* CH₃), 2.04—2.32 (2H, m, CH₂-C-OCO), 2.64—3.20 (4H, m, 2 × CH₂), 3.36—3.60 (2H, q, N-CH₂), 3.66 (3H, s, OCH₃), 4.80 (1H, br s, NH), 6.88—7.40 (4H, m, arom H), 8.14 (1H, br s, NH). Similar reaction of 10 under various acidic conditions gave the following results. CF₃COOH-room temperature 2 h; 11 (14%), 12 (27%), 13 (26%). CF₃COOH-CH₂Cl₂ (1:3)-room temperature-2 h; 11 (11%), 12 (28%), 13 (29%). AcOH-60 °C-6 h; 11 (10%), 12 (53%).

N-Prenylation of N_b -Methoxycarbonyltryptamine— N_b -Methoxycarbonyltryptamine (4, 1.00 g, 4.6 mmol) in DMF (15 ml) was added to a suspension of NaH (52.9% in oil, 304 mg, 6.0 mmol) in DMF (5 ml) under ice cooling and the whole was stirred for 40 min. 3,3-Dimethylallyl bromide (1.04 g, 7.0 mmol) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature, poured into H_2O (100 ml) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 . The extracts were washed with H_2O and sat. NaCl solution, then dried. Evaporation of the solvent *in vacuo* gave a residue (1.38 g) which was chromatographed on a silica gel column to give 12 (628 mg, 48%) and the N_a , N_b -diprenyl derivative (369 mg, 23%).

The N_a -prenyl derivative was identical with the sample obtained above (NMR, IR, TLC).

The N_a , N_b -diprenyl Derivative: A yellow oil. UV $\lambda_{\text{max}}^{\text{EtOH}}$ nm: 227, 292. IR (CCl₄) cm⁻¹: 1700 (C=O) no amide II band. MS m/z (%): 354 (M⁺, 33), 198 (80), 130 (100).

Acknowledgement We are grateful to Mrs. H. Seki, Miss S. Nakajima, and Mr. T. Kuramochi in the Analytical Center of our University for measurements of spectral data (MS and NMR) and microanalytical data. Financial support from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1) P. G. Sammes, Fortschr. Chem. Org. Naturst., 32, 51 (1975).
- 2) A. J. Birch and J. J. Wright, Tetrahedron, 26, 2329 (1970).
- 3) G. A. Flestand, P. Mirando, and M. P. Kunstmann, J. Org. Chem., 38, 4202 (1973).
- 4) R. Vleggaar and P. L. Wessels, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1980, 160.
- 5) J. S. Carle and C. Christophersen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 101, 4012 (1979); idem, J. Org. Chem., 45, 1586 (1980).
- 6) S. Takase, Y. Kawai, I. Uchida, H. Tanaka, and H. Aoki, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 4673 (1984).
- B. W. Bycroft and W. Landon, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1970, 168, 967 (1970); K. Tomita, A. Terada, and R. Tachikawa, Heterocycles, 4, 733 (1976).
- 8) a) G. Casnati and A. Pochini, Chem. Commun., 1970, 1328; G. Casnati, R. Marchelli, and A. Pochini, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1979, 3053; b) K. J. Baird, M. F. Grundon, D. M. Harrison, and M. G. Magee, Heterocycles, 15, 713 (1981).
- 9) E. Houghton and J. E. Saxton, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1969, 595; R. A. Russell, Aust. J. Chem., 28, 2535 (1975); H. Plieninger and H. Sirowej, Chem. Ber., 104, 1869, 2027 (1971).
- 10) V. Bocchi, G. Casnati, and R. Marchelli, Tetrahedron, 34, 929 (1978).
- 11) M. Nakagawa, K. Matsuki, and T. Hino, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 2171 (1983).
- 12) G. F. Hennion and F. X. Quinn, J. Org. Chem., 35, 3054 (1970).
- 13) G. F. Hennion and A. P. Boisselle, J. Org. Chem., 26, 725 (1961).
- 14) M. Nakagawa, H. Okajima, and T. Hino, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 99, 4424 (1977).
- 15) G. M. Loudon, D. Portsmouth, A. Lukton, and D. E. Koshland Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 91, 2792 (1969).