Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33(4)1571—1575(1985)

Effects of Methanol and Magnesium Chloride on the Induction of Respiration-Deficient Mutants in Yeast by Metal Ions

HIROSHI HAMADA,^a NORIKO TOSHIMITSU,^a MISAO KOJIMA,*,^a and TAMOTSU MORITA^b

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Fukuoka University,^a Jonan-ku Fukuoka 814–01, Japan and Shizuoka College of Pharmacy,^b Oshika, Shizuoka 422, Japan

(Received July 11, 1984)

The effects of methanol and magnesium chloride on the induction of cytoplasmic respiration-deficient (RD or petite) mutants of yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae, by metal compounds were investigated. ZnCl₂, HgCl₂, NiCl₂, CdCl₂ and MnCl₂ induced 1.8—2.2% RD mutants at concentrations where almost no growth inhibition was observed. Induction of RD mutants by these metal compounds decreased to the spontaneous level (1.4%) in 4% methanol. The effect of methanol was weaker on RD mutant induction by PbCl₂ and CuCl₂. The induction of RD mutants by CoCl₂ was not affected by methanol. NiCl₂ and CdCl₂ were strongly mutagenic at higher concentrations, and the mutagenicity of these metal compounds was also repressed by 4% methanol. The frequency of RD mutants induced in the presence of 1×10^{-2} M MnCl₂ was increased from 13.5 to 60.7% by the addition of methanol. This increase of the induction of RD mutants in the presence of MnCl₂ and methanol was antagonized almost completely by the addition of MgCl₂ to the culture medium. The same effect of MgCl₂ was observed in the absence of methanol. The effects of methanol and MgCl₂ on the induction of RD mutants in yeast are discussed.

Keywords—yeast; respiration-deficient mutation; metal ion; manganese ion; methanol-effect; magnesium-effect; mitochondrial DNA

Alcohols such as methanol and ethanol have been widely used as solvents for samples in mutagenicity tests. Though the physiological effects of alcohols have been studied in methanol-utilizing yeasts¹⁾ and other yeasts for alcohol fermentation,²⁾ the mutagenic effects of alcohols on yeast cells are still obscure. We have already reported that methanol itself did not induce cytoplasmic respiration-deficient (RD or petite) mutants but prevented the induction of cytoplasmic RD mutants by aromatic alcohols³⁾ and acriflavine⁴⁾ in yeast, Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Methanol has been shown to decrease the uptake of acriflavine by yeast cells.⁴⁾

The induction of RD mutants by metal ions such as Ni²⁺, Cd²⁺, Mn²⁺, Cu²⁺ and Co²⁺ at relatively low and virtually nontoxic concentrations was observed in S. cerevisiae.⁵⁻⁸⁾ In the present paper, the effects of methanol on the induction of RD mutants by metal ions were examined using S. cerevisiae. Methanol prevented the induction of RD mutants by most of the metal ions tested, while it enhanced the induction of the mutants by Mn²⁺. The increase of the induction of RD mutants in the presence of Mn²⁺ and methanol was counteracted almost completely by the addition of Mg²⁺. These results provide some information regarding the mechanism of the effects of methanol on the induction of RD mutants in yeast.

Materials and Methods

Strain—Saccharomyces cerevisiae ATCC 26422 (S. sake Kyokai No. 7) was used.

Chemicals—Methanol was purchased from Nakarai Chemicals Co., Ltd. Metal compounds were commercial preparations of guaranteed reagent grade.

Cultivation and Detection of RD Mutants—Yeast cells precultured on Ogur's medium, 9) which contained 0.35% polypeptone, 0.3% yeast extract, 2% glucose, 2% KH₂PO₄, 0.1% MgSO₄·7H₂O and 0.1% (NH₄)₂SO₄, were inoculated into 10 ml of fresh Ogur's medium at 1 × 10⁵ cells/ml. Each metal compound and 4% methanol, which did not show any mutagenic or growth-inhibitory effects on the yeast cells, 3) were added at the start of the incubation. After a 24-h incubation with shaking at 30 °C, cells were washed and diluted with sterilized water and spread onto Ogur's agar plates to give about 200 colonies per plate. After incubation at 30 °C for 2d, colonies were scored for survivals and RD mutation by the tetrazolium salt overlay method. 10) Both completely white and sectored colonies were counted as RD mutants. Results were expressed as averages of at least three independent experiments with 5 plates.

Results

Effects of Metal Ions on Yeast Growth

When yeast cells were grown in Ogur's liquid medium at $30\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h without the addition of any metal compound or methanol, the cell population reached $1.8\times10^8\,\text{cells/ml}$. As shown in Table I, metal compounds were tested in the concentration range of 1×10^{-2} to $1\times10^{-7}\,\text{M}$. Each metal compound was tested for RD mutant induction at the highest concentration showing almost no growth inhibition (+++ in Table I), and at one order higher concentration, if only slight growth inhibition was recognized (++ in Table I).

Effects of Methanol on the Induction of RD Mutants by Metal Ions

As shown in Table II, the frequency of spontaneous RD mutants in S. cerevisiae ATCC 26422 was 1.4% after 24-h incubation at 30 °C. Methanol did not show any mutagenic or growth-inhibitory effect on the yeast. At concentrations having no growth inhibitory effect, ZnCl₂, HgCl₂, NiCl₂, CdCl₂ and MnCl₂ induced RD mutants in the range of 1.8 to 2.2%. The addition of 4% methanol to the culture medium decreased the induction of RD mutants to the spontaneous level. This effect of methanol on the RD mutant induction was weaker in the case of PbCl₂ and CuCl₂. The induction of RD mutants by CoCl₂ was not affected by the addition of 4% methanol.

As shown in Table II, the growth of yeast was inhibited by higher concentrations of metal ions, and the induction rate of RD mutants by $\mathrm{Ni^{2+}}$, $\mathrm{Mn^{2+}}$ and $\mathrm{Cd^{2+}}$ increased sharply. Both $\mathrm{CdCl_2}$ ($10^{-6}\,\mathrm{M}$) and $\mathrm{MnCl_2}$ ($10^{-2}\,\mathrm{M}$) were the most effective of all the metal ions tested and could induce 13.0 and 13.5% RD mutants, respectively. Except for the case of $\mathrm{Mn^{2+}}$,

Compound	Concentration (M)					
	1×10^{-7}	1×10^{-6}	1×10^{-5}	1×10^{-4}	1×10^{-3}	1×10^{-2}
MnCl ₂					+++	++
PbCl ₂					+++	++
$ZnCl_2$					+++	_
CoCl ₂				+++	++	+
NiCl ₂				+++	++	_
CuCl ₂			+++	++	_	_
HgCl ₂			+++			
$CdCl_2$	+++	++	-	_	_	-

TABLE I. Effect of Metal Compounds on Yeast Growth

Yeast cells were inoculated into Ogur's medium at 1×10^5 cells/ml and incubated at 30 °C with metal compounds. After a 24-h incubation, the medium was diluted appropriately and spread onto agar plates to give about 200 colonies. Colonies formed were counted and converted into per ml medium; +++ (>10⁸ colonies), ++ (10⁸—10⁷ colonies), + (10⁷—10⁵ colonies) and - (<10⁵ colonies).

TABLE II. Effects of Methanol on Growth and Induction of RD Mutants by Metal Compounds in Yeast

Compound	Concn.	4% methanol	Cells per ml culture × 10 ⁸	RD mutants
None		-	1.8	1.4 ± 0.8
		+	2.0	0.9 ± 0.3
$ZnCl_2$	1×10^{-3}	_	1.3	2.1 ± 1.1
		+	1.6	1.6 ± 1.5
$HgCl_2$	1×10^{-5}	_	1.7	2.0 ± 1.1
		+	1.6	1.4 ± 1.0
NiCl ₂	1×10^{-4}	_	1.4	1.8 ± 0.6
		+	1.8	1.4 ± 1.1
	1×10^{-3}		0.7	7.2 ± 4.6
		+	1.6	0.9 ± 0.6
$CdCl_2$	1×10^{-7}	_	1.8	2.0 ± 1.2
		+	1.6	1.4 ± 0.8
	1×10^{-6}	_	0.7	13.0 ± 5.7
		+	1.2	1.6 ± 1.5
$MnCl_2$	1×10^{-3}		1.6	2.2 ± 1.5
~		+	1.7	1.5 ± 1.4
	1×10^{-2}	-	0.5	13.5 ± 5.0
		+	0.03	60.7 ± 14.2
PbCl ₂	1×10^{-3}	_	1.7	3.1 ± 2.1
_		+	1.7	2.0 ± 1.0
	1×10^{-2}		0.3	3.4 ± 0.8
		+	0.3	1.9 ± 1.5
$CuCl_2$	1×10^{-5}	_	1.8	2.2 ± 1.1
~		+	1.7	1.9 ± 0.6
	1×10^{-4}	_	0.3	2.7 ± 2.2
		+	0.5	1.9 ± 1.7
CoCl ₂	1×10^{-4}	_	1.2	5.6 ± 5.2
~		+	1.5	5.3 ± 4.2
	1×10^{-3}	-	0.7	4.6 ± 3.1
		+	0.5	4.2 ± 1.3

Yeast cells were inoculated into Ogur's medium at 1×10^5 cells/ml and incubated at 30 °C for 24h with or without each metal compound and 4% methanol. Cells were diluted and spread onto Ogur's agar plates and incubated at 30 °C for 2d. RD mutants were scored by the tetrazolium salt overlay method. Growth was expressed as colony-forming cells/ml culture. Results were the average of at least three independent experiments \pm S.D.

TABLE III. Effects of Magnesium Chloride on Induction of RD Mutants by Manganese Chloride in Yeast

Compounds $(1 \times 10^{-2} \text{ M})$		4% methanol	Cells per ml culture $\times 10^8$	RD mutants (%)	
MnCl ₂	$MgCl_2$				
+	_	_	0.5	13.5 ± 5.0	
+	_	+	0.03	60.7 ± 14.2	
_	. +	_	1.9	2.3 ± 1.0	
	+	+	2.1	1.1 ± 0.5	
+	+	_	0.6	4.8 ± 1.8	
+	+	+	0.6	2.2 ± 0.6	

Details are the same as in Table II.

Compound	Concn.	4% methanol	Cells per ml culture × 10 ⁸	RD mutants
None		_	1.5	1.0 ± 1.0
		+	1.9	1.2 ± 1.0
$MnCl_2$	1×10^{-3}	_	0.3	16.8 ± 4.0
-		+	0.03	63.8 ± 5.8
$CdCl_2$	1×10^{-6}	-	0.3	26.1 ± 9.7
-		+	1.1	1.7 ± 1.2

TABLE IV. Induction of RD Mutants of Yeast by Manganese or Cadmium Chloride in Magnesium Ion-Free Medium

 $MgSO_4 \cdot 7H_2O$ (4 × 10⁻³ M) in Ogur's medium was omitted. Other conditions were the same as in Table II.

methanol still repressed the RD mutant induction to the spontaneous level as found with lower concentration of metal ions. In contrast, methanol enhanced the RD mutant induction by Mn^{2+} to 60.7%. The growth inhibition by higher concentration of metal ions such as Ni^{2+} , Cd^{2+} and Cu^{2+} was reversed to some extent by the addition of methanol, but that of Mn^{2+} was greatly enhanced.

Effect of Magnesium Chloride on the Induction of RD Mutants by Manganese Chloride

As shown in Table III, $1 \times 10^{-2} \,\mathrm{M} \,\mathrm{Mg}^{2+}$ induced only 2.3% RD mutants and did not inhibit the growth of yeast cells. The induction of RD mutants by Mn^{2+} decreased from 13.5 to 4.8% on the addition of Mg^{2+} . The effect of Mg^{2+} was remarkable in the presence of 4% methanol, and the induction of RD mutants decreased from 60.7 to 2.2%. The growth inhibitory effect of Mn^{2+} was also reversed by the addition of Mg^{2+} .

The Induction of RD Mutants by Manganese and Cadmium Chlorides in Magnesium Ion-Free Medium

Since Ogur's medium contained 0.1% (4×10^{-3} M) MgSO₄·7H₂O, this constituent was omitted to examine the effect of Mg²⁺ on the induction of RD mutants. Both Mn²⁺ and Cd²⁺ were tested because of their high mutagenicity. In this medium, 1×10^{-2} M MnCl₂ inhibited the cell growth almost completely (data not shown). As shown in Table IV, 1×10^{-3} M MnCl₂, which was only weakly mutagenic in the complete Ogur's medium, induced 16.8% RD mutants. Methanol enhanced the induction of RD mutants considerably. On the other hand, the RD mutation induced by Cd²⁺ in the Mg²⁺-free medium did not show any marked change compared with that in complete Ogur's medium.

Discussion

Though the mechanisms are still obscure, many metal ions have been reported to induce cytoplasmic RD mutants in yeast.⁵⁻⁸⁾ In this study we have shown that methanol decreases the induction rate of RD mutants by most of the metal ions tested. This methanol effect was already reported in connection with the induction of RD mutants by aromatic alcohols³⁾ and acriflavine.⁴⁾ These facts suggest that methanol may affect the common process(es) in the induction of RD mutants, *i.e.* the uptake of mutagens into yeast cells and/or mitochondria, and expression of the RD phenotype¹¹⁾ after the action of mutagens. In this respect, we have reported that methanol partially inhibits uptake of acriflavine by yeast cells.⁴⁾ The recovery of the cell growth by methanol may also depend on the methanol-induced prevention of metal ion uptake or accumulation. Methanol apparently does not affect the expression of the RD phenotype, since methanol enhanced the RD mutation induced by Mn²⁺.

The marked enhancement of Mn²⁺-induced RD mutation by methanol suggested that Mn²⁺ may induce RD mutants by a mechanism different from that of other metal ions. Putrament *et al.*⁷⁾ have reported that the induction of RD mutants by Mn²⁺ results from a decrease in the fidelity of mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid (mitDNA) synthesis. They¹²⁾ concluded that Mn²⁺ interacts with yeast mitDNA polymerase which has a definite preference for Mg²⁺.¹³⁾ Methanol is considered to help the action of Mn²⁺ as an error-producing factor during the replication of mitDNA. For instance, if methanol stimulates the induction of mitDNA polymerase, the amount of abnormal mitDNA polymerase in which Mg²⁺ is replaced by Mn²⁺ may increase and the fidelity of mitDNA synthesis may be affected. Further experiments are required to elucidate the mechanism of methanol effect on the induction of RD mutants by Mn²⁺.

The induction of RD mutants by Mn²⁺ is prevented almost completely by the addition of Mg²⁺ (Table III). The same effect of Mg²⁺ on the RD mutant induction by Mn²⁺ was previously reported.^{7,8)} Fuhrmann and Rothstein¹⁴⁾ and Rothstein *et al.*¹⁵⁾ have reported a competition between Mn²⁺ and Mg²⁺ transport into yeast cells. Mg²⁺ was taken up preferentially over Mn²⁺ and can totally inhibit Mn²⁺ uptake when added at the same concentration.¹⁵⁾ This inhibition of Mn²⁺ uptake by Mg²⁺ is in agreement with the result presented in this report that Mg²⁺ antagonizes Mn²⁺ in terms of cell growth. The growth and the RD mutant induction in Mg²⁺-free medium (Table IV) also show an inhibitory effect of Mg²⁺ on Mn²⁺ transportation but not on Cd²⁺ transportation into yeast cells. Detailed studies on the concentration dependence of the methanol effects and on the correlation between the methanol effects and cell growth are in progress.

Acknowledgement The authors thank Misses S. Iwahashi, M. Matsuura, K. Nishi and Y. Yamada, and Messrs S. Hatano, Y. Kugiyama and M. Suemasu for their technical assistance.

References

- 1) N. Kato and Y. Tani, Fermentat. Industry, 40, 128 (1980).
- 2) a) K. Yamashiro, H. Nishihara, M. Shimoide, Y. Tani, and S. Fukui, J. Fermentat. Technol., 48, 307 (1970); b) K. Ohta, K. Supanwong, and S. Hayashida, ibid., 59, 435 (1981); c) H. Hamada, Y. Shimotakahara, Y. Kurihara, and M. Kojima, ibid., 62, 81 (1984).
- 3) M. Kojima, A. Matsuo, H. Hamada, and T. Morita, Hakkokogaku, 57, 315 (1979).
- 4) H. Hamada, M. Yamashita, M. Kojima, and T. Morita, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 32, 623 (1984).
- 5) C. C. Lindegren and G. Lindegren, Mutat. Res., 21, 315 (1973).
- 6) C. C. Lindegren, S. Nagai, and H. Nagai, Nature (London), 182, 446 (1958).
- 7) A. Putrament, H. Baranowska, and W. Prazmo, Molec. Gen. Genet., 126, 357 (1973).
- 8) C. Donnini, P. P. Puglisi, and N. Marmiroli, Molec. Gen. Genet., 190, 504 (1983).
- 9) M. Ogur and S. John, J. Bacteriol., 73, 500 (1956).
- 10) S. Nagai, Science, 130, 1188 (1959).
- 11) C. Gaillard, F. Strauss, and G. Bernardi, Nature (London), 283, 218 (1980).
- 12) A. Putrament, H. Baranowska, A. Ejchart, and W. Jachymczk, Molec. Gen. Genet., 151, 69 (1977).
- 13) E. Wintersberger, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 25, 1 (1966).
- 14) G.-F. Fuhrmann and A. Rothstein, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 163, 325 (1968).
- 15) A. Rothstein, A. Hayes, D. Jennings, and D. Hooper, J. Gen. Physiol., 41, 585 (1958).