Communications to the Editor

Chem. Pharm. Bull. 33(9)4102-4105(1985)

REACTION OF CONJUGATED ENONES WITH tert-BUTYLDIMETHYLSILYL TRIFLATE AND INTRAMOLECULAR ANNELATION

Masataka Ihara, ^a Yohhei Ishida, ^a Keiichiro Fukumoto, ^{*,a} and Tetsuji Kametani^b

Pharmaceutical Institute, Tohoku University, ^a Aobayama, Sendai 980, Japan and Institute of Medicinal Chemistry, Hoshi University, ^b Ebara 2-4-41, Shinagawa-ku, Tokyo 142, Japan

Reaction of 2-cyclohexen-1-ones (3a-c) with <u>tert</u>-butyldimethyl-silyl triflate and triethylamine gave selectively cross-conjugated dienol ethers (4a-c) except 3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (3d). Reaction of 6-(5-ethoxycarbonyl-3,3-dimethyl-4-pentenyl-1)-2-cyclohexen-1-one (10) under the same reaction conditions gave rise to annelation affording to tricyclo[5.2.2.0^{1,5}]undecenes (11) along with the through-conjugated dienol ether (12).

KEYWORDS — intramolecular double Michael reaction; <u>tert</u>-butyl-dimethylsilyl triflate; cross-conjugated dienol silyl ether; tricy-clo[5.2.2.0^{1,5}]undecene

In the course of our study on the intramolecular double Michael reaction, $^{1,2)}$ we were interested in the generation of the cross-conjugated silyl dienol ethers from conjugated enones. It is known that such dienes are useful substrates for the Diels-Alder reaction. The formation of cross-conjugated dienolate anions by α '-deprotonation of enones with lithium dialkylamides under kinetically controlled conditions, followed by silylation, is a useful method for the regional converted formation of 2-silyloxy-1,3-dienes from conjugated enones. When the reaction was applied to the α , β -unsaturated enone esters (1), the double Michael reaction occurred spontaneously affording, in highly stereoselective manner, the tricyclic compound (2). We further studied the reaction of conjugated enones with textbutyldimethylsilyl triflate in the presence of triethylamine $^{7,8)}$ and here report the findings.

Reaction of 2-cyclohexen-1-ones (3a-c) and 1-acetyl-1-cyclohexene (6) with 1.1 mole equivalent of $\underline{\text{tert}}$ -butyldimethylsilyl triflate and 1.5 mole equivalent of triethylamine in dichloromethane for 5 min at ambient temperature produced quantitatively 2-silyloxy-1,3-dienes $(4a-c)^9$ and (7),9 respectively. The structures of the products were determined by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy ⁵⁻⁷) and the Diels-Alder reaction with maleic anhydride. The cycloaddition was carried out in dichloromethane for $16 \sim 24$ h at ambient temperature to give the adducts $(5a-c)^9$ and $(8)^{9}$ in 74%, 77%, 83%, and 82% overall yields from the corresponding enones, respectively. The endo structures of the the products, each obtained as a single isomer, were deduced on consideration of the reaction mechanism. The formation of the silyl enol ethers (5a-c) by the cycloaddition suggested the structure of the cross-conjugated dienol ether, since the through-conjugated dienol ether would give the bicyclo[2.2.2]octane having the silyloxy group at the angular position. On the other hand, 3-methyl-2-cyclohexen-1-one (3d) gave a mixture of 4d and $9^{7,9}$) in a ratio of 5 : 6 under the same reaction conditions as above. Treatment of the mixture with maleic anhydride in dichloromethane for 20 h at room temperature afforded the single adduct $(5d)^9$ in 40% overall yield from 3d.

The above method offers a convenient way to synthesize the rather stable cross-conjugated silyl dienol ether by the simple manipulation performed under mild and not so strict anhydrous conditions as in the case of lithium dialkylamide and the silyl chloride.

Surprisingly, when the reaction was applied to $6-(5-\text{ethoxycarbonyl-3,3-dimethyl-4-pentenyl-1)-2-cyclohexen-1-one (10), 2)$ two diastereoisomers of the tricyclic compound (11) were created. Namely, the reaction of 10 with 1.1 mole equivalent of $\frac{\text{tert}}{\text{butyldimethylsilyl}}$ triflate and 1.5 mole equivalent of triethylamine in dichloromethane for 5 min at ambient temperature formed three products. After medium pressure chromatography on silica gel, tricyclo[5.2.2.0^{1,5}]-undecene (11)⁹⁾ was obtained in 37% yield as a mixture of two stereoisomers in the ratio of about 3: 2 along with the through-conjugated silyl dienol ether (12)⁹⁾ in 45% yield. Treatment of the mixture (11) with 10% perchloric acid in tetrahydrofuran for 1 h at ambient temperature, followed by chromatographic separation, gave two ketones (13)²⁾ and (14)⁹⁾ in 55% and 33% yields, respectively. On the reaction of boron trifluoride etherate with 12 in dichloromethane for 1 h at room

temperature, the conjugated enone (10) and the deconjugated enone $(15)^9$ were obtained in 54% and 45% yields, respectively.

The formation of the tricyclo[5.2.2.0^{1,5}]undecenes (11) could be regarded as an intramolecular double Michael reaction catalyzed by tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate. The intramolecular Diels-Alder reaction of the cross-conjugated dienol ether could be ruled out for the following reason. The reaction of 10 with tert-butyldimethylsilyl triflate in the presence of excess trimethylamine in dichloromethane at -78°C for 6 h and at room temperature for 1 h gave the cross-conjugated dienol ether (16).⁹) No formation of 11 and 12 was detected on the basis of ¹H-NMR analysis. The silyl ether (16) was isolated in 37% yield along with the starting enone (10) and converted into the mixture of 13 and 14 in low yield when treated with boron trifluoride etherate.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- 1) M. Ihara, M. Toyota, K. Fukumoto, and T. Kametani, <u>Tetrahedron</u> <u>Lett.</u>, **25**, 2167 and 3235 (1984); <u>idem</u>, <u>ibid.</u>, **26**, 1537 (1985).
- 2) M. Ihara, Y. Ishida, M. Abe, M. Toyota, K. Fukumoto, and T. Kametani, <u>Chem.</u>
 <u>Lett.</u>, in the press.
- 3) S. Danishefsky, Acc. Chem. Res., 14, 400 (1981); T. Ibuka, Y. Mori, and Y. Inubushi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 3169; M. E. Jung and C. A. McCombs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1976, 2935; G. M. Rubottom and D. S. Krueger, Tetrahedron Lett., 1977, 611.
- 4) R. A. Lee, C. McAndrews, K. M. Patel, and W. Reusch, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1973,965; C. Girard and J. M. Conia, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, 1974, 3327; K. B. White and W. Reusch, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, 24, 2439 (1978); M. E. Jung, C. A. McCombs, Y. Takeda, and Y. -G. Pan, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, 103, 6677 (1981).
- 5) G. M. Rubottom and J. M. Gruber, <u>J. Org. Chem.</u>, **42**, 1051 (1977).
- 6) Selective formation of through-conjugated dienolate: M. E. Krafft and R. A. Holton, <u>J. Am. Chem. Soc.</u>, **106**, 7619 (1984); M. Kawanishi, Y. Itoh, T. Hieda, S. Kozima, T. Hitomi, and K. Kobayashi, <u>Chem. Lett.</u>, **1985**, 647.
- 7) H. Emde, A. Gotz, K. Hofmann, and G. Simchen, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.,

1981, 1643.

- 8) L. N. Mänder and S. P. Sethi, <u>Tetrahedron</u> <u>Lett.</u>, **25**, 5953 (1984).
- 9) All new compounds gave satisfactory IR (CHCl₃), ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃), MS and highresolution MS data. Characteristic data are recorded as follows. 4a, 1H-NMR $\delta:0.10(6H, s), 1.00(9H, s), 2.10 - 2.30(4H, m), 4.80(1H, m, C₁-H), 5.70 -$ 5.90(2H, m, C_3 and C_4 -H). **4b**, 1 H-NMR δ :0.10(6H, s), 0.90(6H, s), 1.65(3H, s), 2.10(4H, br s), 5.60(2H, m, C_3 and C_4 -H), 4c, 1 H-NMR $\delta:0.10(6H, s)$, 0.90(9H, s), 1.08(3H, br s), 2.10(4H, br s), 4.80(1H, m, C_1 -H), 5.55(1H, m, C_A-H). 4d, ^1H-NMR $\delta:0.10(6H, s)$, 0.85(9H, s), 1.80(3H, br s), 4.66(1H, m), 5.40(1H, m). 9, 1 H-NMR δ :0.15(6H, s), 0.91(9H, s), 4.50(2H, s), 5.44(1H, s). 7, 1 H-NMR δ :0.13(6H, s), 0.93(9H, s), 1.43 - 1.83(4H, m), 1.96 - 2.26(4H, m), 4.05 and 4.20(each 1H, each s, =CH $_2$), 6.10(1H, m, C $_2$ -H). 5a, IR \vee max: 1870, 1780(C=0), 1635 cm⁻¹(C=C); 1 H-NMR $^{\circ}$:4.96(1H, dd, J=1 and 7 Hz). 5b, mp 122 -126°C; IR \vee max: 1860, 1780(C=O), 1625 cm⁻¹(C=C); ¹H-NMR δ : 4.90(1H, d, J=7 Hz). 5c, mp 86.5 - 87.5°C; IR \vee max: 1865, 1785(C=0), 1675 cm⁻¹(C=C); ¹H-NMR δ: 1.60(3H, s). **5d**, mp 112 - 113.5°C; IR \vee max: 1865, 1780(C=O), 1635 cm⁻¹(C=C); ¹H-NMR δ : 1.45(3H, s), 4.60(1H, d, J=1 Hz). 8, mp 89 - 91°C; IR \vee max: 1860, 1782(C=0), 1685 cm⁻¹(C=C). 11, IR \vee max: 1720(C=O), 1625 cm⁻¹ (C=C); $^{1}\text{H-NMR}$ δ : 0.10(6H, s), 0.90(9H,s), 3.80 - 4.20(2H, m), 4.70 and 4.90(1H, each d, each J=6 Hz). 12, IR v = 1700(C=0), 1640 cm⁻¹(C=C); ¹H-NMR $\delta:0.10(6H, s)$, 0.86(9H, s), 0.96(6H, s), 1.15(3H, t, J=7 Hz), 4.00(2H, q, t)J=7 Hz), 4.85(1H, d, J=6 Hz), 5.10-5.70(2H, m), 5.46(1H, d, J=16 Hz), 6.67(1H, d, J=16 Hz). 14, IR v = 1725, 1720 cm⁻¹(C=O); ¹H-NMR δ : 0.66(3H, \odot), 0.94(3H, s), 1.27(3H, t, J=7 Hz), 4.16(2H, q, J=7 Hz). 15, IR \vee max: 1708 - 1715 cm⁻¹(C=O); ¹H-NMR δ :1.10(6H, s), 1.30(3H, t, J=6.5 Hz), 2.80(2H, br s), 4.05(2H, q, J=6.5 Hz), 5.57(1H, d, J=15 Hz), 5.70(2H, br s), 6.73(1H, d)d, J=15 Hz). 16, IR \vee max: 1710(C=O), 1645 cm⁻¹(C=C); ¹H-NMR δ : 0.10(6H, s), 0.96(9H, s), 1.10(6H, s), 1.32(3H, t, J=7 Hz), 4.13(2H, q, J=7 Hz), 5.60(1H, d, J=16 Hz), 5.62(2H, br s), 6.80(1H, d, J=16 Hz).

(Received July 26, 1985)