Non-enzymatic Oxygenation of (+)-Camphor Catalyzed by Iron(II) Acetonitrile Solvate

Shinobu Yamaki, Shigeki Kobayashi, Eiichi Kotani, and Seisho Tobinaga*

Showa College of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Tsurumaki, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo 154, Japan. Received November 13, 1989

The oxygenation reaction of (+)-camphor with a simple model reagent, $Fe(MeCN)_6^2 - H_2O_2 - Ac_2O$, for mono-oxygenase was investigated in connection with its bio-oxygenation. The products 1b, 2b, 3b, 5, 10, 11, and 12 were obtained.

Keywords oxygenation; (+)-camphor; iron(II) acetonitrile solvate; hydrogen peroxide; model enzyme; mono-oxygenase; bio-oxygenation

Camphor has been used as a probe for studies on bio-oxygenation in mammals and microorganism¹⁾ and on the mechanism of mono-oxygenases^{2,3)} in a century. Several metabolites have been isolated, namely, compounds 1a, 2a, 3a, and 4 from the urine of dogs⁴⁾ and 1a, 2a, 3a, and 6 from the urine of rabbits⁵⁾ after feeding of camphor, and 1a, 2a, 5, 7, 8, and 9 from the camphor-containing culture medium of *Pseudomonas putida*.^{6,7)}

We investigated the oxygenation reaction of (+)-camphor catalyzed by the reagent system $Fe(MeCN)_6^{2+}-H_2O_2-Ac_2O_7^{8}$ a non-heme enzyme model for monooxygenase having a high reaction efficiency, in connection with its bio-transformation. Oxygenation was carried out at the molar ratio of $Fe(MeCN)_6(ClO_4)_2^{9}$: (+)-camphor: $H_2O_2=0.5:1:3$ in MeCN and the resulting solution was worked up in the manner reported previously. Oxygenation products 1b, 2b, 3b, 5, 10, 11, and 12 were isolated by repeated column chromatography of the reactant solution in yields of 7.3% (1b+2b+3b), 2.7% (10), 2.1% (5), 1.8% (11), and 7.2% (12) (estimated by gas-liquid chromatographic analysis).

The products $5^{5)}$ and $10^{10)}$ were identified by direct comparison with authentic samples and 1b, 2b, and 3b by comparison of the physical properties of their hydrolysis products 1a, $^{6)}$ 2a, $^{5)}$ and 3a, $^{5)}$ with the reported values. The identities of the latter three were also confirmed by the Jones' oxidation of the alcohols to give the corresponding diketones 5 and 13. $^{11)}$

The structures of the lactones 11 and 12 were deduced

by analyses of the proton and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectra (¹H- and ¹³C-NMR), in which the assignments were aided by off-resonance decoupling. The absorptions centered at 1780 and 1740 cm⁻¹ in the infrared (IR) spectra of 11 and 12 suggested the presence of lactone rings and acetoxy groups in both compounds. The position of the oxygen atom of the lactone in 12 was assigned from the fact that the C(1) signal was observed at δ 87.74 (lower field than the corresponding signal in camphor (δ 57)) while the C(4) signal (δ 45.93) was observed at almost the same position as that of camphor (δ 43.2; C(3) in camphor) in the ¹³C-NMR spectra. The positions and configuration of the two acetoxy groups of 12 were deduced by the stepwise assignment of all proton signals as shown in Chart 2. The structure of the lactone 11 was deduced analogously (Chart 2). The structures of 11 and 12 were supported by the fact that oxygenation of the lactone 7 with the same reagent system gave the lactones 11 and 12.

There are two other oxygenation reagent systems related to the present one, namely, Groves' system¹²) $Fe(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O-MeCN-AcO_2H$ (a system containing a small amount of water) and Sawyer's system¹³) $Fe(MeCN)_6(ClO_4)_2-MeCN-anhydrous\ H_2O_2$ (an anhydrous system). Although the reaction of (+)-camphor with Sawyer's reagent system was not investigated because that system was reported to have no reactivity to insert oxygen into an aliphatic C-H bond, the reaction of (+)-camphor with Groves' reagent system afforded only a diketone 5^{14}) in 4.8% yield but no Baeyer-Villiger type reaction products such as 7, 11, and 12.

© 1990 Pharmaceutical Society of Japan

1502 Vol. 38, No. 6

Compounds 1b, 2b, and 3b may be formed by reaction with the active species of this reagent, FeIII_O or FeIV_O. (Fe^{IV} = O or Fe^V = O). ¹⁵⁾ Formation of **5** also suggests that the initial oxygenation products of these mono-acetates may be the corresponding hydroxy compounds 1a and 2a. In fact, the reaction of 1a with this reagent system afforded 5. Further, the reaction of the 1,2-diketone 13 with the oxygenation reagent gave the anhydride 10, which suggested that the diketone may be a precursor of the anhydride. Formation of the Baeyer-Villiger type reaction products 11 and 12 shows a clear difference from the reaction in Groves' system though the reason for this is unclear. The positions of acetoxy groups of 11 and 12 differ from that of the mono-acetates. This may suggest that the precursor for 11 and 12 is the camphor lactone 7 rather than the mono-acetates 1b and 2b. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that the oxygenation reaction of 7 gave the lactones 11 and 12 as described above.

Although the mammalian metabolite 4 is lacking, and the lactones 11 and 12 have not been isolated as metabolites, the present results provide some chemical analogy with the bio-oxygenation of (+)-camphor by cytochrome P-450 enzyme and flavin-dependent cyclohexanone mono-oxygenase.³⁾

Experimental

Åll melting points are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded with a Hitachi 260-10 spectrometer, $^{13}\text{C-}$ and $^{1}\text{H-NMR}$ spectra with JEOL JNM-GX 100 and 270 spectrometers with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard (CDCl₃ solution), mass spectra (MS) with a JEOL JMS-D 300 spectrometer, and [α]p with a JASCO DIP-140 digital polarimeter. Wako Silica gel C-200 (200 mesh) and Merck Kieselgel 60 F-254 were used for column and thin-layer chromatographies (TLC), respectively. Gas-liquid chromatographic analyses (GLC) were performed on a Hitachi 163 analyzer equipped with an FID detecter and a 10% Chromosorb W, SE-30, 2 m column (programmed temperature 100—250 °C at 5 °C/min, inlet pressure of nitrogen used as a carrier gas 1.0 fkg/cm²). Elementary analyses were done by Mr. K. Yoda, Kissei Pharmaceutical Company, Matsumoto,

Japan.

Oxygenation of (+)-Camphor Catalyzed by Fe(MeCN)₆(ClO₄)₂ A solution of 30% H_2O_2 (9.9 ml, 90 mmol) in MeCN (60 ml) was added to a solution of Fe(ClO₄)₂·6 H_2O (5.43 g, 15 mmol), Ac₂O (75 ml), and (+)-camphor (4.56 g, 30 mmol) in MeCN (180 ml) with vigorous stirring at 35—40 °C for 5 min. Ice-water and diluted HCl were added to the solution, and the whole was extracted with ether—CH₂Cl₂ (3:1). The organic layer was washed with aqueous Na₂SO₃, saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried on Na₂SO₄, and then evaporated at 60 °C to give an oily residue, which showed five spots on TLC (Rf: 0.17, 0.21, 0.41, 0.48, 0.50; eluated with hexane: AcOEt=3:1). A GLC analysis of min (65.7%), 14.1 min (2.1%), 18.4 min (1.2%), 18.8 min (2.7%), 19.5 min (7.3%), 24.0 min (2.3%), 25.2 min (1.0%), 25.9 min (1.8%), 27.6 min (7.2%), 28.3 min (1.8%).

Isolation of 10, 11, and 12 The residue obtained from the above oxygenation reaction was subjected to silica gel column chromatography with pentane as an eluent followed by gradual addition of ethyl formate to yield three fractions. The first fraction yielded (+)-camphor $(t_R: 11.6 \text{ min})$. The second fraction was further subjected to silica gel column chromatography with pentane-ethyl formate (10:1) to give $10 (Rf: 0.41; t_R: 18.8 \text{ min})$ and a mixture of $1b (Rf: 0.48; t_R: 19.5 \text{ min})$, $2b (Rf: 0.48; t_R: 19.5 \text{ min})$, and $3b (Rf: 0.50; t_R: 19.5 \text{ min})$. A similar chromatographic purification of the third fraction gave $11 (Rf: 0.21; t_R: 28.3 \text{ min})$ and $12 (Rf: 0.17; t_R: 27.6 \text{ min})$.

Camphoric Anhydride (10) Colorless crystals (ether), mp 214—216 °C (lit. 10) mp 219—220 °C). IR (KBr) cm $^{-1}$: 1800, 1750. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 1.00 (3H, s, -Me), 1.10 (3H, s, -Me), 1.27 (3H, s, -Me), 1.90—2.33 (4H, m, C(6)-H and C(7)-H), 2.83 (1H, d, J=6.34 Hz, C(5)-H). CI-MS m/z: 183 (M $^{+}$ +1). Compound 10 was also obtained by the oxygenation of 13 in the same manner as described for the reaction of (+)-camphor catalyzed by Fe(MeCN)₆ (ClO₄)₂.

5,7-exo-Diacetoxy-1,8,8-trimethyl-2-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (11) Colorless oil. [α] $_{2}^{D5}$ + 53.84 (c=0.145, EtOH). IR (CH $_{2}$ Cl $_{2}$) cm $^{-1}$: 1780, 1740. 1 H-NMR (CDCl $_{3}$) δ : 0.99 (3H, s, -Me), 1.09 (3H, s, -Me), 1.38 (3H, s, -Me), 2.01 (1H, ddd, J=14.89, 10.25, 2.20 Hz, C(6) exo-H), 2.06 (3H, s, -OCOMe), 2.09 (3H, s, -OCOMe), 2.79 (1H, dd, J=18.31, 2.20 Hz, C(4) exo-H), 2.93 (1H, dd, J=14.89, 7.81 Hz, C(6) endo-H), 3.34 (1H, d, J=18.31 Hz, C(4) endo-H), 4.88 (1H, dd, J=10.25, 7.81 Hz, C(7) endo-H). CI-MS m/z: 285 (M $^{+}$ +1).

5,7-endo-Diacetoxy-1,8,8-trimethyl-2-oxabicyclo[3.2.1]octan-3-one (12) Colorless crystals (ether), mp 105-106 °C. [α] $_0^{25}$ - 13.26 (c = 0.200, EtOH). IR (KBr) cm $^{-1}$: 1780, 1740. 1 H-NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ : 1.01 (3H, s, -Me), 1.08 (3H, s, -Me), 1.39 (3H, s, -Me), 2.03 (3H, s, -OCOMe), 2.09 (3H, s, OCOMe), 2.44 (1H, ddd, J = 15.87, 5.25, 1.95 Hz, C(6) exo-H), 2.58 (1H, d, J = 15.87 Hz, C(6) endo-H), 2.88 (1H, dd, J = 18.55 Hz, C(6) exo-H), 3.29 (1H, d, J = 18.55 Hz, C(4) endo-H), 5.03 (1H, d, J = 5.25 Hz, C(7) exo-H). 13 C-NMR (CDCl $_3$) δ : 16.71 (q, -Me), 17.65 (q, -Me), 20.92 (q, -Me), 21.18 (q, -Me), 23.78 (q, -Me), 44.09 (t, C(6)), 45.93 (t, C(4)), 48.45 (s, C(8)), 81.03 (d, C(7)), 87.74 (s, C(1)), 97.70 (s, C(5)), 169.43 (s, C = O), 170.22 (s, C = O), 172.44 (s, C = O). CI-MS m/z: 285 (M $^+$ + 1). Anal. Calcd for $C_{14}H_{20}O_6$: C, 59.14; H, 7.09. Found: C, 58.85; H, 7.16.

Oxygenation of 7 Compound 7^{16} was oxygenated in the same manner as described for the reaction of (+)-camphor catalyzed by $Fe(MeCN)_6$ (ClO_4)₂. GLC and TLC analyses of the residue showed the presence of 11 and 12.

Separation of 1b, 2b, and 3b A solution of the mixture of 1b, 2b, and 3b in 10% methanolic KOH was heated at $60\,^{\circ}$ C for 1 h. The reaction mixture was poured into water and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with water and dried on Na₂SO₄. After concentration, the residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using petroleum ether—ether (5:1) as an eluent to yield a mixture of 1a and 2a and 3a.

3-endo-Hydroxycamphor (3a) Colorless crystals (ligroin), mp 188—190 °C, (lit. ⁵) mp 196—197 °C). IR (CH₂Cl₂) cm⁻¹: 3450, 1740. ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 0.88 (3H, s, -Me), 0.93 (3H, s, -Me), 1.01 (3H, s, -Me), 1.31—2.01 (4H, m, C(5)-H and C(6)-H), 2.27 (1H, t, J=4.64 Hz, C(4)-H), 4.21 (1H, d, J=4.64 Hz, C(3) exo-H). CI-MS m/z: 169 (M⁺+1). The Jones' oxidation of 3a gave camphorquinone (13), which gave the same IR spectra and behavior on TLC as those of an authentic sample. ¹¹)

Separation of 1a and 2a A mixture of 1a and 2a obtained from the above chromatography (168 mg, 1 mmol) in dry pyridine (85 ml) was added to a solution of p-nitrobenzoyl chloride (278 mg, 1.5 mmol) in dry dioxane (10 ml) with ice cooling, and the whole was heated under reflux overnight. The reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with ether.

The organic layer was washed with diluted HCl, aqueous NaHCO₃, and brine, dried on Na₂SO₄, and then concentrated. The residue was subjected to silica gel column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH_2Cl_2}$ —hexane as an eluent to yield $\mathrm{1c}$ (25 mg) and $\mathrm{2c}$ (30 mg). The products ($\mathrm{1c}$ and $\mathrm{2c}$) were hydrolyzed in the same way as described for 3b to give 1a (10 mg) and 2a (15 mg), respectively.

5-exo-Hydroxycamphoryl p-Nitrobenzoate (1c) Colorless crystals (hexane), mp 158—159 °C, (lit. 17) mp 158—159 °C).

5-endo-Hydroxycamphoryl p-Nitrobenzoate (**2c**) Colorless crystals (hexane), mp 147—148 °C, (lit. ⁶⁾ 147—148 °C).

5-exo-Hydroxycamphor (1a) Colorless crystals (petroleum ether), mp 219—220 °C, (lit. 6) mp 220.5—221.5 °C). IR (CH₂Cl₂) cm $^{-1}$: 3450, 1740. 1 H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 0.84 (3H, s, -Me), 0.94 (3H, s, -Me), 1.25 (3H, s, -Me), 1.69 (1H, d, J=18.30 Hz, C(3) *endo*-H), 1.74—1.84 (2H, m, C(6) *exo* and *endo*-H), 2.15 (1H, d, J=5.40 Hz, C(4)-H), 2.33 (1H, dd, J=18.30, 5.40 Hz, C(3) *exo*-H), 4.03 (1H, dd, J=6.89, 4.19 Hz, C(5) *endo*-H). 13 C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 8.96 (q, C(10)), 19.85 (q, C(9)), 21.00 (q, C(8)), 36.64 (t, C(6)), 40.01 (t, C(3)), 46.51 (s, C(7)), 50.84 (d, C(4)), 58.73 (s, C(1)), 74.59 (d, C(5)), 218.49 (s, C(2)). CI-MS m/z: 169 (M $^+$ + 1).

5-endo-Hydroxycamphor (2a) Colorless crystals (petroleum ether), mp 214—216 °C (lit. 5) mp 212—214 °C). IR (CH₂Cl₂) cm⁻¹: 3450, 1740.
¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 0.85 (3H, s, -Me), 0.86 (3H, s, -Me), 1.00 (3H, s, -Me), 1.24 (1H, dd, J=14.4, 5.40 Hz, C(6) *endo*-H), 2.12—2.24 (3H, m, C(3) *endo*-H, C(4)-H), C(6) *exo*-H), 2.70 (1H, dd, J=19.79, 1.50 Hz, C(3) *exo*-H), 4.64 (1H, dddd, J=7.50, 5.40, 4.90, 1.50 Hz, C(5) *exo*-H).
¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ : 9.34 (q, C(10)), 19.31 (q, C(9), 20.31 (q, C(8)), 40.45 (t, C(3)), 40.96 (t, C(6)), 47.60 (s, C(7)), 48.85 (d, (C(4)), 59.09 (s, C(1)), 69.54 (d, C(5)), 218.44 (s, C(2)). CI-MS m/z: 169 (M⁺ + 1).

Camphane-2,5-dione (5) Compound 5 was identified by comparison of its retention time on GLC, t_R : 14.1 min, with that of an authentic sample which was obtained by the Jones' oxidation of 1a and 2a. The oxygenation of 1a in the same manner as described for (+)-camphor also gave 5.

Oxygenation of (+)-Camphor by Groves' Method Peracetic acid (0.3 ml, 1 mmol) in MeCN (1 ml) was added to a solution of $Fe(ClO_4)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (362 mg, 1 mmol) and (+)-camphor (152 mg, 1 mmol) in MeCN (6 ml) with vigorous stirring at $-10\,^{\circ}$ C for 10 min. The mixture was stirred for an

additional 1 h at room temperature. The whole was poured into diluted HCl and extracted with ether. The organic layer was washed with aqueous Na_2SO_3 and saturated aqueous $NaHCO_3$, dried on Na_2SO_4 and then concentrated at $60\,^{\circ}C$. GLC and TLC analyses of the residue showed the presence of camphane-2,5-dione (5) or camphane-2,6-dione (14).

References

- T. S. Santhanakrishnan, Tetrahedron Report No. 172, Tetrahedron, 40, 3597 (1984).
- "Cytochrome P-450: Structure, Mechanism, and Biochemistry," ed. by P. R. Ortiz de Montellano, Plenum, New York, 1986.
- C. T. Walsh and Y.-C. Jack Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 27, 333 (1988).
- 4) Y. Asahina and M. Ishidate, Chem. Ber., 68B, 947 (1935).
- 5) J. S. Robertson and M. Hussain, Biochem. J., 113, 57 (1969).
- W. H. Bradshaw, H. E. Conrad, E. J. Corey, I. C. Gunsalus, and D. Lednicer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 5507 (1959).
- H. E. Conrad, R. Dubus, M. J. Namtvedt, and I. C. Gunsalus, J. Biol. Chem., 240, 495 (1965).
- E. Kotani, S. Kobayashi, Y. Ishii, and S. Tobinaga, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 33, 4281 (1985).
- E. Kotani, S. Kobayashi, Y. Ishii, and S. Tobinaga, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 32, 4281 (1984).
- 10) O. Aschan, Chem. Ber., 27, 1446 (1894).
- W. C. Evans, J. M. Ridgion, and J. L. Simonsen, J. Chem. Soc., 1934, 137.
- 12) J. T. Groves and G. A. McClusky, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 98, 859 (1976).
- 13) H. Sugimoto and D. T. Sawyer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 107, 5712 (1976).
- 14) We could not exclude the possibility that an alternative isomer 14 instead of 5 is the product.
- S. Kobayashi, E. Kotani, Y. Ishii, and S. Tobinaga, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 37, 610 (1989).
- 16) R. R. Sauers, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 925 (1959).
- M. Ishidate, H. Kawahata, and K. Nakazawa, Chem. Ber., 74, 1707 (1941).