AlCl₃-Mediated Aromatic Phenylthiation with N-Phenylthiophthalimide

Satoshi Suwa, Takeshi Sakamoto, and Yasuo Kikugawa*

Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Josai University, 1–1 Keyakidai, Sakado, Saitama 350–0295, Japan. Received February 22, 1999; accepted April 9, 1999

N-Phenylthiophthalimide reacts with AlCl₃ or TiCl₄ in arenes to give phenylthiated arenes alone *via* a phenylsulfenium ion intermediate, modified neglect of diatomic overlap (MNDO) molecular orbital calculations of which revealed that the positive charge preferentially populates the sulfur atom rather than the phenyl group in the phenylsulfenium ion.

Key words phenylthiation; N-phenylthiophthalimide; phenylsulfenium ion; modified neglect of diatomic overlap calculation

N-Alkylthio- and *N*-arylthioimides have been used as sulfur-transfer reagents. They react with thiols,¹⁾ hydrosulfides,^{1a)} alkoxides,²⁾ amines,³⁾ arenesulfinates,⁴⁾ active methylene compounds,⁵⁾ enamines,⁶⁾ and organometallic compounds⁷⁾ to give the corresponding sulfenylated products. However, reaction of sulfur-transfer reagents with arenes have been little investigated and to our knowledge only two examples are reported: reactions of sulfur-transfer reagents with an unusually reactive pyrrole⁸⁾ and with lithiated arenetricarbonylchromium complexes.⁹⁾

In the course of our investigation on the chemistry of electron-deficient heteroatoms, we have shown that *N*-phenoxyand *N*-phenylamino-phthalimides (**1a**, **b**) reacted with AlCl₃ in benzene to generate phenoxenium and phenylnitrenium ions (**2a**, **b**), respectively, which were trapped by benzene to give hydroxybiphenyls from **2a** and aminobiphenyls and diphenylamine from **2b**.¹⁰⁾ Now, we report the reaction of *N*phenylthiophthalimide (**1c**) with arenes in the presence of AlCl₃. Compound **1c** is a commercially available, easily handled sulfur-transfer reagent.

In our initial experiments, we have undertaken reaction of **1c** with $AlCl_3$ in benzene. Treatment of **1c** with $AlCl_3$ (5 eq) in benzene for 20 min at room temperature gave diphenyl sulfide (**3a**) (94%). The phenylthiation was carried out in this way, and the results are presented in Table 1. For anisole, diphenyl ether and naphthalene, 1.2 eq of the arenes were employed using CH₂Cl₂ as solvent.

The proposed mechanism is as follows: $AlCl_3$ coordinates with imide carbonyl of **1c** and assists in the elimination of the phthalimide group to produce a phenylsulfenium ion which is trapped by arenes to give **3**. In the case of nucleophilic arenes having an oxygen atom (runs 4, 5), TiCl₄ works better than $AlCl_3$, probably because $AlCl_3$ coordinates more strongly with the oxygen to reduce the nucleophilicity of the starting arenes. Formation of small amounts of diphenyl disulfide (4) was observed in these reactions with moderate yields (runs 4, 5), which suggests that 2c generated from 1c did not react completely with the AlCl₃ coordinated



Table 1. Phenylthiation of Arenes with 1c using AlCl₃

| Run | Arene ^{a)} | Reaction time ^{b)} (min) | Product | Yield, ^{c)} (%) |
|-----|-----------------------------|---|------------------|--|
| 1 | Benzene | 20 | 3a | 94 |
| 2 | Toluene | 30 | 3b | 43 |
| | | | 3c | 47 |
| 3 | p-Xylene | 30 | 3d | 92 |
| 4 | Anisole ^d | 45 (30) | 3e+4 | 58 (83)+17 (1) |
| 5 | Diphenyl ether ^d | 40 (50) | 3f+4 | 10 (2)+18 (5) |
| 6 | Naphthalene ^{d)} | 30 | 3g 5a+4 5b | $ \begin{array}{l} 39 (61) \\ 39^{e)} + 5 \\ 41^{e)} \end{array} $ |





Fig. 2

arenes and was trapped by another 1c to produce 4, or that AlCl₃-mediated homolytic S–N scission occurred to some extent to give a phenylthiyl radical, giving 4 by its dimerization.

It cannot yet be explained now why almost the same amounts of 1- and 2-phenylthionaphthalenes (**5a**, **b**) were obtained (run 6), because usually the 1-position is more reactive to electrophiles and a phenylsulfenium ion generated from *N*-ethyl-*S*-phenylsulfenamide in the presence of strong protonic acids reacted with naphthalene to give **5a** alone in low yield.¹¹

It was reported that nucleophilic attack preferentially occurred on the nitrogen atom in **2b** formed from phenyl azide,¹²⁾ although a study of modified neglect of diatomic overlap (MNDO) calculations on **2b** indicates that a positive charge preferentially populates the *ortho* and *para* positions rather than the nitrogen atom.¹³⁾ We reported that **1a** and **b** reacted with AlCl₃ in benzene to give the biphenyl derivatives as major products (*vide supra*).¹⁰⁾ In contrast, **2c** gener-

Table 2. Calculated Atomic Charges^{a)}

| Atom | 2a | 2b | 2c |
|------|----------|----------|----------|
| 1 | -0.2315 | -0.2072 | 0.4084 |
| | | (0.0307) | |
| 2 | 0.2833 | 0.0225 | -0.3569 |
| 3 | 0.0326 | 0.0672 | 0.0722 |
| | (0.2530) | (0.2569) | (0.2748) |
| 4 | -0.1481 | -0.1481 | -0.1231 |
| | (0.0419) | (0.0315) | (0.0556) |
| 5 | 0.1391 | 0.1049 | 0.0859 |
| | (0.3584) | (0.3092) | (0.2882) |
| 6 | -0.1480 | -0.1572 | -0.1216 |
| | (0.0420) | (0.0216) | (0.0572) |
| 7 | 0.0326 | 0.1190 | 0.0705 |
| | (0.2529) | (0.3275) | (0.2728) |

a) Values in parentheses show the atomic charges including the charge of connecting hydrogen atoms.

Table 3.Spectral Data of Products

ated from 1c was trapped by benzene to give 3a alone under similar reaction conditions and no biphenyl derivatives were detected in the reaction mixture. MNDO calculations on 2a, b and c support this difference, that is, in 2c, the positive charge exclusively populated the sulfur atom, while in 2a and b, the positive charge preferentially populated the *para*- and *ortho*-positions rather than the oxygen and the nitrogen atoms (Table 2).

Experimental

General Methods All the melting points were determined with a Yanagimoto hot-stage melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. ¹H-NMR spectra were measured at 270 MHz on a JEOL JNM-EX270 spectrometer or at 500 MHz on a JEOL JNM-A500 spectrometer with tetramethylsilane (Me₄Si) as an internal reference and CDCl₃ as the solvent, unless otherwise noted. ¹H-NMR spectral data are reported in parts per million (δ) relative to Me₄Si. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO IR 810 spectrometer with a direct inlet system at 70 eV. Gas chromatography was performed on a Shimadzu GC-14A equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID) using a glass column (2.1 m×3.2 mm i.d.) packed with 10% OV-1 on Chromosorb W (60—80 mesh). Elemental analyses were performed in the Microanalytical Laboratory of this University.

Materials Compounds (1c, 3a, 4), benzene, toluene, *p*-xylene, anisole, diphenyl ether and naphthalene were purchased from Tokyo Kasei Kogyo Co. Compound 3f: mp 51—52 °C (lit.¹⁴⁾ mp 46—47 °C) (spectral data, see Table 3).

Procedure for MNDO Method The structure of each compound (**2a**, **b** or **c**) is generated by molecular modeling program SYBYL and optimized by semiempirical molecular orbital program MOPAC 93 using the MNDO method. Mullicken charges are calculated by the *ab initio* molecular orbital program GAUSSIAN 94 with the 6-311G** basis set.

To evaluate the accuracy of MNDO calculations, compounds 2a-c are also optimized by GAUSSIAN 94 with STO-3G basis set and its Mullicken charges are calculated with the 6-311G** basis set. We compared the result of this calculation with the results of calculations of compounds 2a-c described above and confirmed that they gave almost the same results.

Synthesis of Diphenylsulfide (3a); Typical Procedure To a solution of 1c (200 mg, 0.783 mmol) and benzene (10 ml) was added $AlCl_3$ (522 mg, 3.92 mmol) under cooling in an ice-bath. After stirring the reaction mixture for 20 min at room temperature, H_2O (10 ml) was added under cooling in an ice-bath; 5 min later 10% NaOH (20 ml) was added under the same conditions. The aqueous layer was extracted with AcOEt (25 ml×2), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 ml), dried over Na_2SO_4 , and concentrated. The crude product was chromatographed on a column of silica gel with hexane as the eluent to give **3a** (137 mg, 94%). **3a** was identical with commercially available authentic sample.

Phenylthiation of Naphthalene with 1c; Typical Procedure To a solu-

| Compound | ¹ H-NMR (CDCl ₃ /Me ₄ Si) δ , <i>J</i> (Hz) | IR (neat) v (cm ⁻¹) | MS m/z |
|--------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| 3b | 2.38 (3H, s, CH ₃), 7.13 (1H, t, <i>J</i> =7.6, Ar-H), 7.17—7.28 (7H, m, Ar-H), | 1580, 1480, 740 | 200 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 3c | 7.29 (1H, d, $J=7.6$, Ar-H) 2.33 (3H, s, CH ₃), 7.13 (2H, d, $J=7.9$, Ar-H), 7.16—7.27 (5H, m, Ar-H), 7.30 (2H, d, $J=2.4$ r, H) | 1580, 1480, 740 | 200 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 3d | 2.26 (3H, s, CH_3), 2.33 (3H, s, CH_3), 7.04 (1H, d, $J=7.6$, Ar-H), 7.14-7.18 (5H, m, Ar-H), 7.25 (2H, t, $J=7.3$, Ar-H) | 1580, 1480, 740 | 214 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 3e | 3.82 (3H, s, CH ₃ O), 6.90 (2H, d, J =9.2, Ar-H), 7.12—7.26 (5H, m, Ar-H), 7.42 (2H, d, J =9.2, Ar-H) | 1500, 1250, 740 | 216 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 3f ^{<i>a</i>)} | 6.89-6.96 (3H, m, Ar-H), 7.02 (1H, t, $J=7.3$, Ar-H), 7.09 (1H, t, $J=7.3$, Ar-H), 7.15 -7.43 (9H m, Ar-H) | 1490, 1250, 740 | 278 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 3g | 6.96 (2H, d, J=8.8, Ar-H), 7.04 (2H, d, J=8.8, Ar-H), 7.11-7.29 (6H, m, Ar-H), 7.36 (2H, t, J=8.2, Ar-H), 7.38 (2H, d, J=8.8, Ar-H) | 1490, 1250, 700 | 278 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 5a | 7.12 $-$ 7.25 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.43 (1H, t, J =7.3, Ar-H), 7.49 $-$ 7.55 (2H, m, Ar-H), 7.67 (1H dd J =7.3, 1.1 Ar-H), 7.85 $-$ 7.90 (2H m Ar-H) | 1590, 1480, 740 | 236 (M ⁺ , 100) |
| 51 | 8.36 - 8.40 (1H, m, Ar-H) 7.22 - 7.42 (2H m, Ar-H) 7.42 - 7.50 (2H m, Ar-H) 7.22 - 7.42 (2H m, Ar-H) | 1590 1490 740 | $226 (M^{+}, 100)$ |
| 50 | 7.84 (1H, d, J=1.5, Ar-H) | 1380, 1480, 740 | 250 (M ⁺ , 100) |

tion of **1c** (200 mg, 0.783 mmol), naphthalene (120 mg, 0.940 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) was added AlCl₃ (522 mg, 3.92 mmol) under cooling in an ice-bath. After the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min at room temperature, H₂O (10 ml) was added under cooling in an ice-bath; 5 min later 10% NaOH (20 ml) was added under the same conditions. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (25 ml×2), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 ml), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The crude products were chromatographed on a column of silica gel with hexane as the eluent to give a mixture of **5a** and **b** (148 mg, 80%) along with **4** (5%). Yields of **5a** (39%) and **b** (41%) were determined by gas chromatographic conditions: glass column (2.1 m×3.2 mm i.d.); 10% OV-1 on Chromosorb W (60—80 mesh); temperature, 210 °C; carrier gas, N₂; flow rate, 45 ml/min; **5a**, $t_{\rm R}$ 16.8 min, **5b**, $t_{\rm R}$ 20.1 min.

Phenylthiation of Anisole with TiCl₄; Typical Procedure To a solution of **1c** (200 mg, 0.783 mmol), anisole (102 mg, 0.940 mmol) and CH_2Cl_2 (5 ml) was added dropwise 1.0 M solution (in CH_2Cl_2) of TiCl₄ (3.9 ml, 3.92 mmol) under cooling in an ice-bath. After stirring the reaction mixture for 30 min at room temperature, H₂O (20 ml) was added under cooling in an ice-bath, and 5 min later 10% NaOH (20 ml) was added under the same conditions. The aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (25 ml×2), and the combined organic layers were washed with brine (30 ml), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The crude products were chromatographed on a column of silica gel with hexane–benzene (20:1) as the eluent to give **3e** (144 mg, 83%) along with **4** (1%).

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank Dr. Yoshihiko Nishibata, Tanabe Seiyaku Co., Ltd. for MNDO calculations.

References

- a) Harpp D. N., Ash D. K., Back T. G., Gleason J. G., Orwig B. A., VanHorn W. F., Snyder J. P., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1970**, 3551–3554; b) Boustany K. S., Sullivan A. B., *ibid.*, **1970**, 3547–3549; c) Harpp D. N., Back T. G., *J. Org. Chem.*, **36**, 3828–3829 (1971).
- Barton D. H. R., Page G., Widdowson D. A., Chem. Commun., 1970, 1466.
- 3) Harpp D. N., Back T. G., Tetrahedron Lett., 1971, 4953-4956.
- 4) Abe Y., Tsurugi J., Chem. Lett., 1972, 441–442.
- Kumamoto T., Kobayashi S., Mukaiyama T., Bull. Chem. Soc., Jpn., 45, 866–870 (1972).
- Furukawa M., Kojima Y., Tsuiji S., Hayashi S., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 20, 2738–2739 (1972); Furukawa M., Kojima Y., Okahara Y., Hayashi S., *ibid.*, 22, 262–266 (1974).
- Furukawa M., Suda T., Hayashi S., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 24, 1708– 1713 (1976).
- Campaigne E., Shutske G. M., J. Heterocycl. Chem., 12, 1047–1049 (1975).
- Dickens M. J., Gilday J. P., Mowlem T. J., Widdowson D. A., *Tetra*hedron, 47, 8621—8634 (1991).
- Ohwada A., Li H., Sakamoto T., Kikugawa Y., *Heterocycles*, 46, 225–233 (1997); Uto K., Miyazawa E., Ito K., Sakamoto T., Kikugawa Y., *ibid.*, 48, 2593–2600 (1998).
- Takeuchi H., Õya H., Yanase T., Itou K., Adachi T., Sugiura H., Hayashi N., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1994, 827–833.
- 12) Takeuchi H., Takano K., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1986, 611–618.
- Ford G. P., Scribner J. D., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 103, 4281—4291 (1981).
 Marziano N., Montaudo G., Passerini R., Ann. Chim., 52, 121—142
- (1962) [Chem. Abstr., **57**, 6751*i* (1962)].
- 15) Pastor S. D., Helv. Chim. Acta, 71, 859-866 (1988).