New Sterols and Triterpenoids from Four Edible Mushrooms¹⁾

Yasunori YAOITA, Kaori MATSUKI, Takeyoshi IIJIMA, Satomi NAKANO, Rie KAKUDA, Koichi MACHIDA, and Masao KIKUCHI*

Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, 4–1 Komatsushima 4-chome, Aoba-ku, Sendai, Miyagi 981–8558, Japan. Received November 29, 2000; accepted January 15, 2001

Four edible mushrooms, *Panellus serotinus*, *Lepista nuda*, *Tricholoma matsutake* and *Naematoloma sublateritium*, have been investigated chemically. Two new sterols, 5α , 9α -epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-diene- 3β , 6α -diol (1) and 5α , 9α -epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-diene- 3β , 6β -diol (2), have been isolated from *Panellus serotinus*. Compound 2 was also isolated from *Lepista nuda*. A new sterol, 3β , 5α , 9α , 14β -tetrahydroxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-dien-6-one (3), and compound 2 have been isolated from *Tricholoma matsutake*. Three new triterpenoids, sublateriols A—C (4—6), have been isolated from *Naematoloma sublateritium*. The structures of the new compounds were elucidated on the basis of their spectral data.

Key words sterol; triterpenoid; mushroom

Recently we reported the isolation and structural elucidation of sterols,²⁾ sesquiterpenoids,^{1,3)} triterpenoids,¹⁾ and ceramides⁴⁾ from fourteen mushrooms. In a continuation of our investigation of the chemical constituents from mushrooms, we describe here the isolation and structural elucidation of three new sterols, 5α , 9α -epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7, 22-diene- 3β , 6α -diol (1), 5α , 9α -epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7, 22-diene- $3\beta, 6\beta$ -diol (2) and $3\beta, 5\alpha, 9\alpha, 14\beta$ -tetrahydroxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-dien-6-one (3), and three new triterpenoids, sublateriols A-C (4-6), as well as eighteen known compounds, (22E)-ergosta-5,7,9(11),22-tetraen-3β-ol (7),^{2c,5)} ergosterol (8), $2^{2f,6}$ (22E)-ergosta-5,8,22-trien-3 β -ol (9), $2^{2c,7)}$ ergosta-5,7-dien-3 β -ol (10),^{2c,6} (22*E*)-ergosta-7,22-dien-3 β -ol (11),^{2c,8} ergost-7-en-3 β -ol (12),^{2c,6} 5 α ,8 α -epidioxy-(22*E*)ergosta-6,22-dien-3 β -ol (13),^{2a,9)} 5 α ,6 α :8 α ,9 α -diepoxy-(22*E*)ergost-22-ene-3 β ,7 α -diol (14),^{2e)} 5 α ,9 α -epidioxy-3 β -hydroxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-dien-6-one (15),^{2b)} 3β , 5α , 9α , 14α tetrahydroxy-(22*E*)-ergosta-7,22-dien-6-one (**16**),^{2b)} 3β , 5α , 9α -trihydroxy-(22*E*)-ergosta-7,22-dien-6-one (17),^{2a,10)} 3 β , 5α , 9α -tetrahydroxyergost-7-en-6-one (18), 2b (22*E*)-ergosta-7,22-diene-3 β ,5 α ,6 β -triol (19),^{2a,11)} ergost-7-ene-3 β ,5 α ,6 β -triol (20),^{2b,12)} (22*E*)-ergosta-7,22-diene-3 β ,5 α ,6 α ,9 α -tetrol (21),^{2b)} (22E)-ergosta-7,22-diene- 3β , 5α , 6β , 9α -tetrol (22),^{2a,10)} fasciculol B (23)^{13,14)} and fasciculol C (24)^{14,15)} from four edible mushrooms, Panellus (P.) serotinus (Pers.: Fr.) KÜHN. (Mukitake in Japanese, Tricholomataceae, compounds 1, 2, 7-12, 19-21), Lepista (L.) nuda (BULL.: FR.) COOKE (Murasakishimeji in Japanese, Tricholomataceae, compounds 2, 13-22), Tricholoma (T.) matsutake (S. Ito et IMAI) SING. (Matsutake in Japanese, Tricholomataceae, compounds 2, 3) and Naematoloma (N.) sublateritium (FR.) KARST. (Kuritake in Japanese, Strophariaceae, compounds 4-6, 23, 24). This is the first time that compounds 7-12, 19–21, and compounds 13–22, have been isolated from P. serotinus and L. nuda, respectively. Extraction and isolation were carried out as described in the Experimental section.

Compound 1 was isolated as an amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D$ +8.8°. The molecular formula was determined to be C₂₈-H₄₄O₄ by high-resolution (HR)-MS, indicating seven degrees of unsaturation. The electron ionization (EI)-MS gave fragment ion peaks at *m*/*z* 317 [M⁺-side chain (s.c.)-2H], 299 (317-H₂O), 283 (M⁺-s.c.-2H₂O) and 257 [299-42 (ring

D)]. The ¹H-NMR spectrum (vide Experimental), obtained with the aid of a ${}^{1}H{}^{-1}H$ shift correlation spectroscopy (${}^{1}H{}^{-1}H$ COSY) spectrum, showed signals due to two tertiary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.59 (3H, H₃-18), 1.20 (3H, H₃-19)], four secondary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.82 (3H, H_3-26), 0.84 (3H, H_3-27), 0.92 (3H, H₃-28), 1.01 (3H, H₃-21)], two oxygenated methine protons [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.89 (1H, H-3), 3.98 (1H, H-6)], two disubstituted olefinic protons [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.15 (1H, H-22), 5.23 (1H, H-23)] and a trisubstituted olefinic proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.31 (1H, H-7)]. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum (Table 1), obtained with the aid of a ¹H-detected heteronuculear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC) and a distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT) spectra, revealed 28 carbon signals that included two oxygenated methine carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.6 (C-3), 73.0 (C-6)], two oxygenated quaternary carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 84.3 (C-9), 88.3 (C-5)] and four olefinic carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 123.2 (C-7), 132.4 (C-23), 135.2 (C-22), 141.6 (C-8)]. The IR absorption (3422 cm^{-1}) and the chemical shift values of two oxygenated methines [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.89 (1H), 3.98 (1H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.6 (CH), 73.0 (CH)] indicated the presence of two secondary hydroxyl groups. The chemical shift values of two oxygenated quaternary carbons at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 84.3 and 88.3 and the unsaturation degree indicated the presence of an epidioxy group. Based on these spectral data, 1 was suggested to be an ergostane-type sterol with two hydroxyl groups, one epidioxy group, one disubstituted double bond and one trisubstituted double bond. The ¹H⁻¹H COSY spectrum of **1** implied connectivities for H-3 to H_2 -4 and H-6 to H-7 (Fig. 1). Interpretation of the ¹H-detected heteronuclear multiple bond connectivity (HMBC) spectrum revealed correlations between H-4 α to C-2, C-3, C-5 and C-10; H-7 to C-5, C-9 and C-14; H₂-18 to C-12, C-13, C-14 and C-17; H₃-19 to C-1, C-5, C-9 and C-10; H₃-21 to C-17, C-20 and C-22; H₃-26 and H₃-27 to C-24 and C-25; and H₃-28 to C-23, C-24 and C-25 (Fig. 1). Therefore, the planar structure of 1 was deduced to be 5,9-epidioxyergosta-7,22-diene-3,6-diol. The chemical shift value and the multiplicity of the hydroxy-bearing methine proton at C-3 [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.89 (1H, m, $W_{1/2}$ 22 Hz)] were those normally seen for 3 β hydroxy-5 α -oxygenated A/B *trans* sterols.^{2,16} This data indicated that the epidioxy group was assigned to be 5α and 9α . In the nuclear Overhauser effect correlation spectroscopy (NOESY) sprctrum, a cross peak was observed between the



Chart 1

H₃-19 and H-6β, and the configuration of the hydroxyl group at C-6 was determined to be α (Fig. 2). The geometry of the Δ^{22} -double bond was deduced to be *E* from the ¹H–¹H coupling constant (*J*=15.1 Hz) between H-22 and H-23. The stereochemistry at C-20 and C-24 was determined to be *R* and *R*, respectively, by comparison of ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data with those of ergosterol (8) (Table 2).¹⁷⁾ The co-occurence of 1 with 8 in the same mushroom indicates that the absolute structure of 1 is probably the same as 8. Thus, the structure of 1 was determined to be 5α , 9α -epidioxy-(22*E*)-ergosta-7,22-diene-3 β , 6α -diol.

Compound 2 was isolated as an amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_{D}$ -24.4° . The molecular formula was determined to be C₂₈H₄₄O₄ by HR-MS. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3410 cm⁻¹). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 2 was quite similar to that of 1. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 2 also showed a similar pattern to that of 1, except for the chemical shifts of signals due to the hydroxy-bearing methine proton at C-6 and the trisubstituted olefinic proton at C-7. These data indicated that compound 2 was an epimer of 1 at C-6. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum, the chemical shift of the H_3 -19 methyl group in pyridine- d_5 was shifted downfield by the pyridine-induced deshielding effect¹⁸ ($\delta_{C_{c}D_{c}N} - \delta_{CDCl}$; $\Delta\delta$, H₃-19, +0.32 ppm). This deshielding effect implies that the hydroxyl group at C-6 has a β configuration (Fig. 2). From the above data, the structure of 2 was determined to be $5\alpha,9\alpha$ -epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-diene- $3\beta,6\beta$ -diol. Compounds 1 and 2 have $5\alpha, 9\alpha$ -epidioxy- $3\beta, 6\alpha$ -dihydroxy-7ene and 5α , 9α -epidioxy- 3β , 6β -dihydroxy-7-ene moieties,

respectively, which are unprecedented in the natural sterols previously known.

Compound 3 was isolated as an amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_{D}$ -73.7° . The molecular formula was determined to be C₂₈H₄₄O₅ by HR-MS. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3460 cm⁻¹) and a carbonyl group (1677 cm^{-1}) . The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed signals due to two tertiary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.99 (3H, H₃-19), 1.00 (3H, H₃-18)], four secondary methyl groups [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.83 (3H, H₃-26), 0.85 (3H, H₃-27), 0.95 (3H, H₃-28), 1.00 (3H, H₃-21)], a hydroxy-bearing methine proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.06 (1H, H-3)], two disubstituted olefinic protons [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.34 (1H, H-23), 5.45 (1H, H-22)] and a trisubstituted olefinic proton [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.50 (1H, H-7)]. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum revealed 28 carbon signals that included three oxygenated quaternary carbons [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.9 (C-9), 79.3 (C-5), 84.6 (C-14)] and four olefinic carbons [δ_{C} 122.8 (C-7), 132.9 (C-23), 135.3 (C-22), 166.9 (C-8)]. The NMR data of 3 closely resembled those of 16 except for some signals surrounding C-14. The ¹H–¹H COSY, HMQC and HMBC data provided evidence of the same planar structure for 3 as that of 16. The difference between 3 and 16 was traced to differences in the stereochemistry of the hydroxyl group at C-14. In the ¹H-NMR spectrum, the chemical shift of the H₃-18 methyl group in pyridine- d_5 was shifted downfield $(\delta_{C_4D_5N} - \delta_{CDC1_3}; \Delta \delta, H_3-18, +0.33 \text{ ppm})$,¹⁸⁾ indicating that the hydroxyl group at C-14 has a β configuration (Fig. 2). This was evident from the NOESY spectrum in pyridine d_5 , in which a cross-peak was seen between OH-14 and H₃-18 (Fig. 2). Thus, 3 was the epimer of 16 at C-14. Based on

Table 1. ¹³C-NMR Chemical Shifts of Compounds 1-6

Position	1 ^{<i>a,d</i>)}	$2^{a,d)}$	3 ^{<i>b,e</i>)}	4 ^{<i>b,e</i>)}	5 ^{<i>b,d</i>}	6 ^{<i>c</i>,<i>d</i>)}
1	28.9	28.7	26.0	126.5	50.7	45.1
2	30.8	31.7	31.7	146.9	211.5	69.5
3	66.6	66.8	66.7	200.9	83.3	84.0
4	34.1	34.8	38.1	38.1 44.5		40.2
5	88.3	86.6	79.3	48.4	50.0	50.6
6	73.0	72.2	199.4	18.8	18.8	24.2
7	123.2	122.5	122.8	25.9	26.5	124.6
8	141.6	141.8	166.9	136.2	136.1	143.6
9	84.3	84.7	75.9	131.2	132.2	148.9
10	54.2	51.0	43.3	39.1	43.3	39.8
11	22.8	23.1	28.0	34.4	33.0	118.0
12	36.6	36.6	37.4	73.1	73.0	76.1
13	42.1	41.9	49.8	50.9	50.4 ^{f)}	48.5
14	52.1	52.1	84.6	50.5	50.4 ^{f)}	51.1
15	23.1	23.2	41.9	32.2	32.3	33.7
16	28.2	28.1	28.6	28.2	28.3 ^{g)}	28.5
17	55.5	55.5	56.1	38.7	38.6	40.0
18	11.6	11.7	17.5	17.2	16.9	17.6
19	16.2	17.3	22.9	24.4	20.0	24.1
20	40.3	40.4	39.5	44.1	44.0	44.3
21	21.1	21.1	20.4	61.2 61.2		62.1
22	135.2	135.2	135.3	28.3	28.3 ^{g)}	28.1
23	132.4	132.3	132.9	29.8	29.8	29.3 ^{h)}
24	42.8	42.8	43.3	79.1	79.0	79.4
25	33.1	33.1	33.4	72.8	72.7	73.9
26	19.6	19.7	19.9	26.1	26.1	25.7
27	20.0	20.0	20.2	26.0	25.9	24.9
28	17.6	17.6	17.9	26.8	29.1	29.3 ^{h)}
29				21.8	17.1	17.7
30				23.9	24.0	28.7

a) Measurement in CDCl₃. b) Measurement in C_5D_5N . c) Measurement in CD₃OD. d) Measured at 100 MHz. e) Measured at 150 MHz. f—h) Signals overlapped. this evidence, the structure of **3** was determined to be 3β , 5α , 9α , 14β -tetrahydroxy-(22*E*)-ergosta-7, 22-dien-6-one. Compound **3** is the first example of a naturally occurring 3β , 5α , 9α , 14β -tetrahydroxy-7-en-6-one sterol.

Compound 4, named sublateriol A, was isolated as an amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D + 82.4^\circ$. The molecular formula was determined to be $C_{30}H_{48}O_6$ by HR-MS. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3425 cm^{-1}) and a carbonyl group (1672 cm^{-1}). The UV absorption maximum at 267 nm suggested a monoenolized α -diketone.¹⁹ The ¹H-NMR spectrum showed signals due to seven tertiary methyl groups [$\delta_H 0.73$ (3H, H₃-18), 1.14 (3H, H₃-29), 1.23 (3H, H₃-19), 1.25 (3H, H₃-28), 1.35 (3H, H₃-30), 1.50 (3H, H₃-27), 1.53 (3H, H₃-26)], two oxygenated methine protons [$\delta_H 3.86$ (1H, H-24), 4.33 (1H, H-12)], an oxygenated methylene proton [$\delta_H 4.14$ (2H, H-21)] and a trisubstituted olefinic proton [$\delta_H 6.81$ (1H, H-1)]. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum, obtained with the aid of HMQC and DEPT spectra, indicated the pres-



Fig. 1. ¹H–¹H COSY and HMBC Correlations for 1



Fig. 2. NOEs and Pyridine-Induced Deshieldings for 1-3

Table 2. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Chemical Shifts of the Side Chain of Compounds 1, 4, 8 and 24^{*a*})

Position -	1 ^{b)}		8 ^{b)}		4 ^{c)}		24 ^{c)}	
	¹ H	¹³ C	$^{1}\mathrm{H}^{d)}$	¹³ C ^{e)}	¹ H	¹³ C	$^{1}\mathrm{H}^{d)}$	$^{13}C^{e)}$
20		40.3		40.4		44.1		44.1
21	1.01 (d, 6.6)	21.1	1.04 (d, 6.6)	21.1	4.14 (br s)	61.2	4.12 (br s)	61.2
22	5.15 (dd, 15.1, 7.8)	135.2	5.16 (dd, 15.2, 7.4)	135.6	1.83 (m), 2.36 (m)	28.3	1.82 (m), 2.35 (m)	28.4
23	5.23 (dd, 15.1, 7.3)	132.4	5.23 (dd, 15.2, 6.9)	132.0	1.95 (2H, m)	29.8	1.94 (2H, m)	29.8
24		42.8		42.8	3.86 (br d, 9.5)	79.1	3.85 (dd, 9.9, 2.2)	79.1
25		33.1		33.1		72.8		72.8
26	0.82 (d, 6.6)	19.6	0.82 (d, 6.8)	19.7	1.53 (s)	26.1	1.52 (s)	26.1
27	0.84 (d, 6.8)	20.0	0.84 (d, 6.8)	20.0	1.50 (s)	26.0	1.49 (s)	26.0
28	0.92 (d, 6.8)	17.6	0.92 (d, 6.9)	17.6				

a) Figures in parentheses on ¹H-NMR denote J values (Hz). b) Measurement in CDCl₃. c) Measurement in C₅D₃N. d) Measured at 600 MHz. e) Measured at 150 MHz.

ence of seven methyl, eight methylene, six methine and nine quarternary carbons. The IR absorption (3425 cm^{-1}) and the chemical shift values of two oxygenated methine [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.86 (1H), 4.33 (1H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 73.1 (CH), 79.1 (CH)] and an oxygenated methylene [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.14 (2H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 61.2 (CH₂)] indicated the presence of two secondary hydroxyl groups and a primary hydroxyl group. Detailed analysis of the ¹H–¹H COSY spectrum of 4 implied connectivities for H-5 to H₂-7; H₂-11 to H-12; H_2 -15 to H-17; H-17 to H-20; and H_2 -21 to H-24 (Fig. 3). Interpretation of the HMBC spectrum revealed correlations from H-1 to C-2; H₃-18 to C-12, C-13, C-14 and C-17; H₃-19 to C-1, C-5, C-9 and C-10; H₃-26 and H₃-27 to C-24 and C-25; H₃-28 and H₃-29 to C-3, C-4 and C-5; and H₃-30 to C-8, C-13, C-14 and C-15 (Fig. 3). Therefore, the planar structure of 4 was deduced to be as shown in Fig. 3. The stereostructure was ascertained by NOE interactions observed in a NOESY spectrum (Fig. 4). The trans-junctions of rings A/B and rings C/D were based on NOESY correlations of H₃-28/H-5*a*, H₃-28/H₃-29, H₃-29/H₃-19, H₃-19/H₃-18, H₃-18/H-15 β , H₃-18/H-16 β , and H₃-30/H-17 α . The hydroxyl group at C-12 has an α configuration, which was supported by the NOESY cross-peak between H-12 β and H₂-18. The stereochemistry at C-24 was determined to be R by comparison of ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data with those of fasciculol C (24) (Table 2). The absolute structure of fasciculol C (24) has been established by Ikeda et al.¹⁵⁾ Fasciculols having the R configuration of the hydroxyl group at C-24 have been isolated from mushrooms belonging to the genus Nae*matoloma*.^{13–15,20)} The *R* and *S* configuration of the hydroxyl group at C-24 of the side chain can be distinguished by NMR spectroscopy mainly by the chemical shift of the hydroxybearing methine at C-24.²¹⁾ Based on this evidence, the structure of 4 was determined to be (24R)-2,12 α ,21,24,25-pentahydroxylanosta-1,8-dien-3-one.

Compound 5, named sublateriol B, was isolated as an amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ +89.7°. The molecular formula was determined to be $C_{30}H_{50}O_6$ by HR-MS. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3389 cm^{-1}) and a carbonyl group (1712 cm⁻¹). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data for 5 were quite similar to those of 4 except for the signals ascribed to ring A. The signals due to a methylene group $[\delta_{\mu}]$ 2.44 (1H, H-1 α), 2.63 (1H, H-1 β); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 50.7 (C-1)], an oxygenated methine group [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.22 (1H, H-3); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 83.3 (C-3)] and a carbonyl carbon [$\delta_{\rm C}$ 211.5 (C-2)] were observed in the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of 5 instead of signals of the trisubstituted double bond between C-1 and C-2, and the carbonyl group at C-3 in 4. The IR absorption (3389 cm^{-1}) and the chemical shift value of an oxygenated methine [$\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.22 (1H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ 83.3 (CH)] indicated the presence of a secondary hydroxyl group. In the HMBC spectrum, long-range ¹H–¹³C correlation of H₂-1 to C-2, as well as H₃-28 and H₃-29 to C-3, revealed that a carbonyl group and a hydroxyl group were attached to C-2 and C-3, respectively. The hydroxyl group at C-3 has a β configuration, which was supported by the NOESY cross-peaks between H-1 α and H-3 α ; H-3 α and H- 5α ; and H- 3α and H₃-28. Accordingly, the structure of **5** was determined to be (24R)-3 β , 12 α , 21, 24, 25-pentahydroxylanost-8-en-2-one.

Compound 6, named sublateriol C, was isolated as an amorphous powder, $[\alpha]_D$ +63.4°. The molecular formula was determined to be $C_{30}H_{50}O_6$ by HR-MS [*m*/*z* 488 (M⁺-



Fig. 3. ¹H-¹H COSY and HMBC Correlations for 4



Fig. 4. NOEs Detected for 4

H₂O)] and ¹³C-NMR data. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a hydroxyl group (3430 cm⁻¹). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **6** were quite similar to those of **24**, except that **6** possesses signals of two trisubstituted double bonds $[\delta_{\rm H} 5.55 (1H, H-11), 5.66 (1H, H-7); \delta_{\rm C} 118.0 (C-11), 124.6$ (C-7), 143.6 (C-8), 148.9 (C-9)] instead of the signal of the fully substituted double bond between C-8 and C-9 in **24**. The HMBC correlations of H-11 to C-8, H-12 β to C-11, H₃-19 to C-9, and H₃-30 to C-8 implied the presence of a $\Delta^{7,9(11)}$ -diene system in **6**. This was evident from the UV absorption maxima at 237 (sh), 245 and 254 (sh) nm.¹⁹ Therefore, the structure of **6** was determined to be (24*R*)-lanosta-7,9(11)-diene-2 α ,3 β ,12 α ,21,24,25-hexaol.

Experimental

General Procedures Optical rotations were determined using a JASCO DIP-360 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded with a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR 1725X IR spectrophotometer and UV spectra on a Beckman DU-64 spectrophotometer. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded using JEOL JNM-LA 600 (600 and 150 MHz, respectively) and JEOL JNM-LA 400 (400 and 100 MHz, respectively) spectrometers. Chemical shifts are given on a δ (ppm) scale, with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard (s, singlet; d, doublet; dd, double doublet doublet doublet; br, broad; m, multiplet). The EI- and HR-MS were recorded on a JEOL JMS-DX 306 (Merck; 230—400 mesh). Preparative HPLC was carried out on a Tosoh HPLC system (pump, CCPD; detector, RI-8010) using a TSK gel ODS-120T (7.8 mm i.d.×30 cm) column (Tosoh). HPLC conditions: flow rate, 1.0 ml/min; column temperature, 40 °C.

Material Panellus serotinus (from Morioka City in Iwate Prefecture, Japan), Lepista nuda (from Morioka City in Iwate Prefecture, Japan), Tricholoma matsutake (from Korea) and Naematoloma sublateritium (from Morioka City in Iwate Prefecture, Japan) were purchased in a food market.

Extraction and Isolation *P. serotinus*: The fresh fruit bodies of *P. serotinus* (1.1 kg) were extracted four times with Et_2O at room temperature for 2 weeks. The Et_2O extract (2.2 g) was chromatographed on a silica-gel column using *n*-hexane–EtOAc (7:3–1:7), EtOAc and MeOH, to afford 40 fractions (frs. 1–40). Fraction 6 was purified by preparative HPLC (mobile phase, MeOH) to give 7 (50.8 mg), 8 (587.0 mg), 9 (95.9 mg), 10 (39.5 mg), 11 (33.9 mg) and 12 (16.9 mg). Fraction 17 was purified by preparative HPLC (mobile phase, MeOH) to give 1 (1.1 mg). Fraction 20 was purified by preparative HPLC (mobile phase, MeOH) to give 2 (0.8 mg). Fraction 31 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to

give 19 (2.1 mg), 20 (0.4 mg) and 21 (0.3 mg).

L. nuda: The fresh fruit bodies of *L. nuda* (0.3 kg) were extracted four times with Et₂O at room temperature for 2 weeks. The Et₂O extract (1.4 g) was chromatographed on a silica-gel column using *n*-hexane–EtOAc (7:3–1:7), EtOAc and MeOH, to afford 29 fractions (frs. 1–29). Fraction 10 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to give **13** (0.9 mg) and **15** (0.8 mg). Fraction 18 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to give **2** (0.1 mg), **16** (0.1 mg), **17** (1.0 mg) and **18** (0.1 mg). Fraction 24 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to give **19** (1.1 mg), **20** (0.2 mg) and **21** (0.2 mg). Fraction 25 was separated by preparative HPLC (mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to give **14** (0.1 mg) and **22** (0.7 mg).

T. matsutake: The fresh fruit bodies of *T. matsutake* (4.7 kg) were extracted four times with Et₂O at room temperature for 2 weeks. The Et₂O extract (8.7 g) was chromatographed on a silica-gel column using *n*-hexane–EtOAc (7:3–1:7), EtOAc and MeOH, to afford 31 fractions (frs. 1–31). Fraction 15 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to give **2** (0.9 mg). Fraction 20 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (9:1)] to give **3** (1.0 mg).

N. sublateritium: The fresh fruit bodies of *N. sublateritium* (1.1 kg) were extracted four times with Et₂O at room temperature for 2 weeks. The Et₂O extract (5.9 g) was chromatographed on a silica-gel column using *n*-hexane–EtOAc (7:3–1:7), EtOAc and MeOH, to afford 60 fractions (frs. 1–60). Fraction 33 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (4:1)] to give **5** (1.5 mg). Fraction 37 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (4:1)] to give **23** (2.7 mg). Fraction 41 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (4:1)] to give **4** (0.9 mg). Fraction 50 was purified by preparative HPLC [mobile phase, MeOH–H₂O (7:2)] to give **6** (0.7 mg) and **24** (11.2 mg).

All known compounds (7–24) were identified by comparison of their chromatographic behavior, and their MS and ¹H-NMR data with those of the authentic samples (7–22) or by comparison of their physical data with reported values (23 and 24).

5α,9α-Epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7,22-diene-3β,6α-diol (1) Amorphous powder. $[α]_D^{23} + 8.8^\circ$ (*c*=0.1, CHCl₃). IR v_{max} CHCl₃ cm⁻¹: 3422. HR-MS *m/z*: 444.3242 (M⁺, Calcd for C₂₈H₄₄O₄: 444.3240). EI-MS *m/z*: (%): 444 (M⁺, 6), 317 (78), 299 (21), 283 (9), 257 (11). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.59 (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.82 (3H, d, *J*=6.6 Hz, H₃-26), 0.84 (3H, d, *J*=6.8 Hz, H₃-27), 0.92 (3H, d, *J*=6.8 Hz, H₃-28), 1.01 (3H, d, *J*=6.6 Hz, H₃-21), 1.20 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.36 (1H, dd, *J*=14.4, 11.0 Hz, H-4β), 1.94 (1H, d, *J*=8.5 Hz, OH-6), 2.02 (1H, m, H-20), 2.21 (1H, m, H-14), 2.60 (1H, dd, *J*=14.4, 4.6, 2.2 Hz, H-4α), 3.89 (1H, m, H-3), 3.98 (1H, dd, *J*=8.5, 1, 7.3 Hz, H-23), 5.31 (1H, dd, *J*=2.4, 2.4 Hz, H-7). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₄); see Table 1.

 5α , 9α -Epidioxy-(22E)-ergosta-7, 22-diene- 3β , 6β -diol (2) Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_{D}^{19} - 24.4^{\circ}$ (c=0.08, CHCl₃). IR v_{max} CHCl₃ cm⁻¹: 3410. HR-MS m/z: 444.3237 (M⁺, Calcd for C₂₈H₄₄O₄: 444.3240). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.63 (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.82 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-26), 0.84 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-27), 0.92 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz, H₃-28), 1.01 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz, H₃-21), 1.26 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.66 (1H, d, J=6.6 Hz, OH-6), 2.24 (1H, m, H-14), 3.77 (1H, ddd, J=6.6, 4.4, 3.2 Hz, H-6), 4.03 (1H, m, H-3), 5.15 (1H, dd, J=15.1, 7.8 Hz, H-22), 5.23 (1H, dd, J=15.1, 7.3 Hz, H-23), 5.50 (1H, dd, J=4.4, 2.7 Hz, H-7); (400 MHz, C₅D₅N) δ: 0.68 (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.85 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-26), 0.86 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz, H₃-27), 0.95 (3H, d, J=6.8 Hz, H₃-28), 1.03 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-21), 1.58 (3H, s, H₃-19), 2.52 $(1H, ddd, J=14.1, 4.4, 1.7 Hz, H-4\alpha)$, 2.82 (1H, dd, J=14.1, 11.2 Hz, H-10.1)4β), 4.26 (1H, m, H-6), 4.49 (1H, m, H-3), 5.17 (1H, dd, J=15.4, 8.3 Hz, H-22), 5.25 (1H, dd, J=15.4, 7.6 Hz, H-23), 5.82 (1H, dd, J=4.4, 2.9 Hz, H-7), 6.36 (1H, d, J=4.6 Hz, OH-3), 7.07 (1H, d, J=5.9 Hz, OH-6). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): see Table 1.

3 β ,**5** α ,**9** α ,**14** β **.Tetrahydroxy-(22***E***)-ergosta-7,22**-dien-6-one (**3**) Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_{1}^{18} - 73.7^{\circ} (c=0.1, CHCl_3)$. IR v_{max} CHCl₃ cm⁻¹: 3460, 1677. UV λ_{max} MeOH nm (log ε): 236 (3.7). HR-MS m/z: 460.3177 (M⁺, Calcd for $C_{28}H_{44}O_5$: 460.3189). ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, CDCl₃) δ : 0.83 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-26), 0.85 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-27), 0.95 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-28), 0.99 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.00 (3H, s, H₃-18), 1.00 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-28) (1H, dd, J=13.9, 13.9, 4.0 Hz, H-1 α), 2.38 (1H, m, H-20), 2.80 (1H, m, H-15 α), 4.06 (1H, m, H-3), 5.34 (1H, dd, J=15.4, 8.1 Hz, H-23), 5.45 (1H, dd, J=15.4, 8.8 Hz, H₂-22), 6.50 (1H, s, H-7); (600 MHz, C₅D₃N) δ : 0.81 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-26), 0.82 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-27), 0.92 (3H, d, J=7.0 Hz, H₃-28), 1.12 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-21), 1.13 (3H, s, H₃-19),

1.33 (3H, s, H₃-18), 2.55 (1H, m, H-20), 2.84 (2H, m, H-1α, H-4α), 3.15 (1H, ddd, J=13.2, 13.2, 8.4 Hz, H-15α), 4.64 (1H, m, H-3), 5.30 (1H, dd, J=15.4, 8.1 Hz, H-23), 5.61 (1H, dd, J=15.4, 8.1 Hz, H-22), 5.83 (1H, s, OH-14), 6.28 (1H, br s, OH-3), 6.52 (1H, s, OH-9), 7.14 (1H, s, H-7), 8.85 (1H, s, OH-5). ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, C₅D₅N): see Table 1.

Sublateriol A (4) Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_D^{30} + 82.4^\circ$ (*c*=0.09, MeOH). IR *v*_{max} CHCl₃ cm⁻¹: 3425, 1672, 1656. UV *λ*_{max} MeOH nm (log *ε*): 267 (3.7). HR-MS *m/z*: 504.3440 (M⁺, Calcd for C₃₀H₄₈O₆: 504.3451). ¹H-NMR (600 MHz, C₅D₅N) *δ*: 0.73 (3H, s, H₃-18), 1.14 (3H, s, H₃-29), 1.23 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.24 (1H, m, H-15*α*), 1.25 (3H, s, H₃-28), 1.35 (3H, s, H₃-30), 1.50 (3H, s, H₃-27), 1.52 (1H, m, H-16*β*), 1.53 (3H, s, H₃-26), 1.63 (3H, m, H₂-6, H-20), 1.76 (1H, m, H-15*β*), 1.83 (1H, m, H-22a), 1.95 (2H, m, H₂-23), 2.04 (1H, dd, *J*=11.4, 4.0 Hz, H-5), 2.10 (1H, m, H₂-7), 2.16 (1H, m, H-16*α*), 2.36 (1H, m, H-22b), 2.62 (1H, br d, *J*=18.3 Hz, H-11*α*), 2.90 (1H, m, H-17), 3.00 (1H, dd, *J*=18.3, 8.8 Hz, H-11*β*), 3.86 (1H, br d, *J*=9, Hz, H-24), 4.14 (2H, br s, H₂-21), 4.33 (1H, br d, *J*=8.8 Hz, H-12), 5.54 (1H, br s, OH-25), 5.94 (1H, br s, OH-24), 6.05 (1H, d, *J*=2.6 Hz, OH-12), 6.81 (1H, s, H-1), 6.94 (1H, t, *J*=4.8 Hz, OH-21), 10.1 (1H, br s, OH-2). ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, C₅D₅N): see Table 1.

Sublateriol B (5) Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_{3}^{32}$ +89.7° (*c*=0.2, MeOH). IR v_{max} KBr cm⁻¹: 3389, 1712. HR-MS *m/z*: 506.3626 (M⁺, Calcd for C₃₀H₅₀O₆: 506.3607). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, C₃D₅N) δ: 0.70 (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.92 (3H, s, H₃-29), 1.01 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.33 (3H, s, H₃-28), 1.41 (3H, s, H₃-30), 1.49 (3H, s, H₃-27), 1.52 (3H, s, H₃-26), 1.87 (1H, dd, *J*=12.7, 2.0 Hz, H-5), 2.28 (1H, d, *J*=18.5 Hz, H-11 α), 2.44 (1H, d, *J*=12.0 Hz, H-1 α), 2.63 (1H, d, *J*=12.0 Hz, H-1 β), 2.73 (1H, dd, *J*=18.5, 8.5 Hz, H-11 β), 2.91 (1H, m, H-17), 3.85 (1H, br *J*=90 Hz, H-24), 4.13 (1H, br, H₂-21), 4.22 (1H, d, *J*=3.7 Hz, H-3), 4.28 (1H, br d, *J*=9.3 Hz, H-12), 5.50 (1H, br s, OH-25), 5.89 (1H, br s, OH-24), 5.91 (1H, d, *J*=3.7 Hz, OH-3), 6.00 (1H, d, *J*=2.4 Hz, OH-12), 6.92 (1H, br s, OH-21). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, C₅D₅N): see Table 1.

Sublateriol C (6) Amorphous powder. $[\alpha]_2^{28} + 63.4^{\circ}$ (*c*=0.07, MeOH). IR v_{max} CHCl₃ cm⁻¹: 3430. UV λ_{max} MeOH nm (log ε): 237 sh (4.0), 245 (4.1), 254 sh (4.0). HR-MS *m/z*: 488.3532 (M⁺ - H₂O, Calcd for C₃₀H₄₈O₅: 488.3502). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD) δ : 0.62 (3H, s, H₃-18), 0.91 (3H, s, H₃-29), 1.03 (3H, s, H₃-28), 1.06 (3H, s, H₃-19), 1.13 (3H, s, H₃-30), 1.14 (3H, s, H₃-27), 1.17 (3H, s, H₃-26), 1.21 (1H, dd, *J*=11.2, 4.6 Hz, H-5), 1.43 (1H, dd, *J*=12.7, 12.2 Hz, H-1α), 1.45 (3H, m, H-20, H-22, H-23), 1.50 (1H, m, H-15), 1.57 (1H, m, H-23), 1.75 (2H, m, H-15, H-16), 2.13 (3H, m, H₂-6, H-16), 2.33 (1H, dd, *J*=12.7, 4.4 Hz, H-1β), 2.48 (1H, m, H-17), 2.94 (1H, d, *J*=9.5 Hz, H-3), 3.27 (1H, d, *J*=10.0 Hz, H-24), 3.70 (1H, m, H-2), 3.71 (1H, d, *J*=11.7 Hz, H-21a), 3.78 (1H, dd, *J*=11.7, 2.0 Hz, H-21b), 4.16 (1H, d, *J*=5.9 Hz, H-12), 5.55 (1H, brd, *J*=5.4 Hz, H-11), 5.66 (1H, brd, *J*=5.9 Hz, H-7). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): see Table 1.

Acknowledgments We are grateful to Mr. S. Sato and Mr. T. Matsuki of this university for measurement of the mass and NMR spectra.

References and Notes

- Part XII in a series of studies on the constituents of mushrooms; Part XI: Ohnuma N., Yaoita Y., Kakuda R., Machida K., Kikuchi M., J. Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, "accepted".
- a) Ishizuka T., Yaoita Y., Kikuchi M., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 45, 1756– 1760 (1997); b) Yaoita Y., Amemiya K., Ohnuma H., Furumura K., Masaki A., Matsuki T., Kikuchi M., *ibid.*, 46, 944–950 (1998); c) Ishizuka T., Yaoita Y., Kikuchi M., Natural Medicines, 52, 276–278 (1998); d) Idem, Tohoku Yakka Daigaku Kenkyu Nempo, 45, 135–138 (1998); e) Yaoita Y., Endo M., Tani Y., Machida K., Amemiya K., Furumura K., Kikuchi M., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 47, 847–851 (1999); f) Ueno T., Yaoita Y., Kakuda R., Machida K., Kikuchi M., J. Tohoku Pharmaceutical University, 46, 71–76 (1999); g) Ohnuma N., Amemiya K., Kakuda R., Yaoita Y., Machida K., Kikuchi M., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 48, 749–751 (2000).
- Yaoita Y., Machida K., Kikuchi M., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 47, 894– 896 (1999).
- Yaoita Y., Ishizuka T., Kakuda R., Machida K., Kikuchi M., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 48, 1356—1358 (2000).
- Akihisa T., Hori T., Suzuki H., Sakoh T., Yokota T., Tamura T., *Phyto-chemistry*, **31**, 1769–1772 (1992).
- Shirane N., Takenaka H., Ueda K., Hashimato Y., Katoh K., Ishii H., *Phytochemistry*, 41, 1301–1308 (1996).
- Lenton J. R., Goad L. G., Goodwin T. W., *Phytochemistry*, **12**, 1135– 1140 (1973).
- 8) Arthur H. R., Halsall T. G., Smith R. D., J. Chem. Soc., 1958, 2603-

594

2605.

- 9) Wieland P., Prelog V., Helv. Chim. Acta, **30**, 1028–1030 (1947).
- 10) Valisolalao J., Luu B., Ourisson G., *Tetrahedron*, **39**, 2779–2785 (1983).
- 11) Alt G. H., Barton D. H. R., J. Chem. Soc., 1954, 1356-1361.
- 12) Iorizzi M., Minale L., Riccio R., Lee J., Yasumoto T., J. Nat. Prod., 51, 1098—1103 (1988).
 (1) 1008-1103 (1988).
- 13) Ikeda M., Watanabe H., Hayakawa A., Sato K., Sassa T., Miura Y., *Agric. Biol. Chem.*, **41**, 1543—1545 (1977).
- 14) Bernardi M. D., Mellerio G., Vidari G., Vita-Finzi P., Fronza G., Kocor M., Pyrek J. S., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **44**, 351—356 (1981); Kubo I., Matsumoto A., Kozuka M., Wood W. F., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, **33**, 3821—3825 (1985).
- 15) Ikeda M., Niwa G., Tohyama K., Sassa T., Miura Y., Agric. Biol.

Chem., 41, 1803—1805 (1977).

- 16) Kobayashi M., Kanda F., J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1991, 1177–1179.
- 17) Wright J. L. C., McInnes A. G., Shimizu S., Smith D. G., Walter J. A., *Can. J. Chem.*, **56**, 1898—1903 (1978).
- 18) Demarco P. V., Farkas E., Doddrell D., Mylari B. L., Wenkert E., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 90, 5480—5486 (1968).
- 19) Dorfman L., Chem. Rev., 53, 47-144 (1953).
- 20) Ikeda M., Sato Y., Izawa M., Sassa T., Miura Y., Agric. Biol. Chem., 41, 1539—1541 (1977); Takahashi A., Kusano G., Ohta T., Ohizumi Y., Nozoe S., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 37, 3247—3250 (1989).
- 21) Banskota A. H., Tezuka Y., Tran K. Q., Tanaka K., Saiki I, Kadota S., J. Nat. Prod., 63, 57–64 (2000).