Fargosides A—E, Triterpenoid Saponins from Holboellia fargesii

Hongzheng Fu,^{*,*a,b*} Kazuo Koike,^{*a*} Quan Zheng,^{*a*} Katsuyoshi Mitsunaga,^{*a*} Zhonghua Jia,^{*a*} Tamotsu Nikaido,^{*,*a*} Wenhan Lin,^{*b*} Dean Guo,^{*b*} and Lihe Zhang^{*b*}

Department of Pharmacognosy, School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Toho University,^a 2–2–1 Miyama, Funabashi, Chiba 274–8510, Japan and National Laboratory of Natural and Biomimetic Drugs, Peking University,^b Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China. Received March 12, 2001; accepted May 1, 2001

Five new triterpenoid saponins, fargosides A, B, C, D, and E, were isolated from the roots of *Holboellia fargesii*. The structures of fargosides A—E were elucidated on the basis of chemical and physicochemical evidence and found to be 3β , 20α -dihydroxy-29-norolean-12-en-28-oic acid 3-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - β -D-glucopyranosyle (1), 3β , 20α , 24-trihydroxy-29-norolean-12-en-28-oic acid 23-O- β -D-fucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)]$ - β -D-glucopyranosyle (2), 3β , 23-dihydroxy-30-norolean-2, 20(29)-dien-28-oic acid 3-O- α -L-arabinopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 2)$ - $[\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyluronic acid- $(1\rightarrow 3)]$ - α -L-arabinopyranoside (3), 3β , 23-dihydroxy-30-norolean-12, 20(29)-dien-28-oic acid 3-O-methyl β -D-glucopyranosyluronate- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-arabinopyranoside (4), and 3β , 23-dihydroxy-olean-12-en-28-oic acid 3-O-methyl β -D-glucopyranosyluronate- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-arabinopyranoside (5), respectively.

Key words Holboellia fargesii; Lardizabalaceae; triterpenoid saponin

The medicinal plant *Holboellia fargesii* REAUB. (Lardizabalaceae) is a tree which grows in the southwest region of the People's Republic of China. Its stems, roots, and fruits have been used as folk medicine for the treatment of cough, lumbago, nephritis and abdominal hernia in Yunnan Province.^{1,2)} However, there has been no previous chemical study of this plant. Preliminary evaluation indicated that *H. fargesii* contains saponins. The potential medicinal importance and our continuing interest in the chemistry of saponins prompted us to initiate a chemical investigation of this plant. In this paper, we report the isolation and structure elucidation of five new triterpenoid saponins (**1**—**5**) from the roots of this species.

Results and Discussion

An ethanolic extract of roots of *H. fargesii* was suspended in water and then partitioned successively with EtOAc and *n*-BuOH. The *n*-BuOH soluble fraction, on chromatographic purification over Diaion HP-20 resin, followed by repeated medium-pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC) and HPLC purification, gave five new triterpenoid saponins, termed fargosides A—E (1—5).

Fargoside A (1) gave quasi-molecular ions at m/z 775 $[M+Na]^+$ and 791 $[M+K]^+$ by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) MS. The molecular formula of $C_{40}H_{64}O_{13}$ was confirmed by high resolution (HR)-FAB-MS. The IR spectrum of 1 showed an absorption bond for a carbonyl group at 1685 cm⁻¹. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum showed 40 carbon signals, of which 29 were assigned to the aglycon moiety and 11 were assigned to the sugar moiety (Tables 1–3). The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra showed two anomeric proton signals at δ 4.92 [d, J=7.8 Hz, glucose (Glc)] and 5.27 [d, J=6.9 Hz, xylose (Xyl)] and two anomeric carbon signals at δ 105.0 and 107.0, respectively. The six sp^3 hybrid carbons at δ 15.5, 16.5, 17.4, 25.7, 26.1 and 27.9, and sp^2 hybrid carbons at δ 122.7 (d) and 144.4 (s), together with information from the ¹H-NMR spectrum (six methyl proton singlets and a broad triplet olefinic proton at δ 5.54), indicated that the aglycon had an olean-12-ene skeleton.³⁾ Detailed analysis of various two-dimensional (2D) NMR spectra such as double-quantum filtered ¹H-¹H shift

correlation spectroscopy (DQF-COSY), heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC), heteronuclear multiple bond correlation (HMBC) and phase-sensitive nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy (NOESY) spectra indicated that the structural features in the A, B, C and D rings of the aglycon were similar to those of oleanolic acid.⁴⁾ However, direct bond and long-range correlation data suggested that one of the geminal methyl groups at C-20 had been replaced by a hydroxyl group, forming 29-noroleanolic acid. In the NOESY spectrum (Fig. 1), H-18 was correlated with the methyl group at C-20, indicating that the hydroxyl group was in an α -configuration. These results suggested that the aglycon of 1 was 3β ,20 α -dihydroxy-29-norolean-12-en-28-oic acid. A related compound, 3β ,20 ξ -dihydroxy-30(or 29)-



© 2001 Pharmaceutical Society of Japan

Table 1. ¹³C-NMR Data for the Aglycon Moieties of Fargosides A—E (1-5) (125 MHz, Pyridine- d_5)

Table 3.	¹ H-NMR Data for Fargosides A—E (1—5) (500 MHz, Pyridine
$(d_5)^{a)}$	

Carbon	1	2	3	4	5
1	38.8	38.8	38.9	38.9	38.9
2	26.7	28.1	26.0	26.3	26.3
3	89.1	79.9	82.1	81.8	81.8
4	39.6	42.7	43.7	43.6	43.6
5	55.9	56.6	47.9	47.5	47.6
6	18.5	19.0	18.2	18.1	18.2
7	33.2	33.5	32.9	32.9	32.9
8	39.8	39.7	39.8	39.8	39.8
9	48.0	48.0	48.0	48.1	48.2
10	37.0	37.1	36.9	36.9	37.0
11	23.9	23.8	23.8	23.8	23.7
12	122.7	122.5	123.1	123.1	122.6
13	144.4	144.3	144.2	144.1	144.8
14	42.2	42.1	42.1	42.1	42.2
15	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.3	28.4
16	23.8	24.0	23.8	23.8	23.9
17	46.8	46.8	47.0	47.0	46.7
18	44.4	44.4	48.1	47.9	42.0
19	48.1	48.1	42.0	41.9	46.4
20	69.9	69.9	149.1	149.1	31.0
21	36.2	36.2	30.4	30.4	34.2
22	35.2	35.1	38.4	38.4	33.3
23	27.9	23.3	64.2	64.2	64.2
24	16.5	73.2	13.5	13.7	13.7
25	15.5	15.9	16.1	16.1	16.1
26	17.4	17.3	17.4	17.4	17.5
27	26.1	25.9	26.2	26.2	26.2
28	180.0	179.9	179.4	179.4	180.2
29			107.1	107.1	33.3
30	25.7	25.7			23.8

Table 2. ¹³C-NMR Data for the Sugar Moieties of Fargosides A—E (1— 5) (125 MHz, Pyridine- d_5)

Carbon	1	2	3	4	5
	Glc	Glc	Ara (inner)	Ara	Ara
1	105.0	103.5	104.5	106.7	106.7
2	84.0	77.3	77.6	72.1	72.1
3	78.5	87.0	83.8	84.1	84.1
4	71.7	69.5	69.1	69.4	69.4
5	78.1	78.4	66.4	67.3	67.3
6	62.9	62.2			
	Xyl	Fuc	GlcA	MeGlcA	MeGlcA
1'	107.0	103.2	105.4	106.8	106.8
2'	76.6	73.5	75.1	75.4	75.4
3'	78.2	76.0	77.8	77.6	77.6
4′	71.1	73.5	73.4	73.2	73.2
5'	67.6	71.2	77.6	77.4	77.4
6'		17.1	172.7	170.6	170.6
OCH ₃				52.1	52.1
		Ara	Ara (terminal)		
1″		105.5	105.0		
2″		73.0	73.6		
3″		74.8	75.1		
4″		69.7	69.8		
5″		67.8	67.2		
6″					

Proton	1	2	3	4	5
Aglycon					
3	3.32 dd	3.35	4.19	4.31 dd	4.30
5	(11.9, 4.1)	0.02.4	1 (0 4	(11.9, 4.6)	1 72 4
5	0.74 d	0.83 d	1.68 d	1./2 d	1./3 d
12	(11.0) 5 54 hrt	(12.0) 5.52 hrt	(9.0) 5.48 het	(11.0) 5 40 hrt	(10.0)
12	(3.2)	(3.3)	(3.2)	(3.49) or ((3.2)
18	(3.2) 3 36 dd	3 35	(3.2) 3.22 dd	(3.4) 3.23 dd	(3.2) 3.30 dd
10	(13741)	5.55	(13 1 4 5)	(13.7, 5.0)	(13941)
23	1.31 s	1.46 s	3.76, 4.43	3.74, 4.35	3.74, 4.36
			Each d (10.5)	Each d (10.5)	Each d (10.5)
24	1.10 s	4.12	1.09 s	0.94 s	0.94 s
25	0.84 s	0.71 s	0.93 s	0.92 s	0.94 s
26	1.00 s	0.90 s	1.00 s	1.01 s	1.03 s
27	1.28 s	1.20 s	1.21 s	1.21 s	1.25 s
29			4.74, 4.79	4.75, 4.80	0.94 s
			Each br s	Each br s	
30	1.58 s	1.58 s			1.01 s
Sugar	Glc	Glc	Ara (inner)	Ara	Ara
1	4.92 d (7.8)	4.80 d (7.4)	4.96 d (7.2)	4.98 d (7.5)	4.98 d (7.6)
2	4.15	4.23	4.70 dd	4.60 dd	4.60 dd
			(7.4, 7.2)	(8.2, 7.5)	(7.6, 7.4)
3	4.31 t (8.7)	4.21	4.12	4.06	4.07
4	4.17	4.09	4.47	4.34	4.35
5	3.93 m	3.82 m	3.52 br d	3.65 br d	3.65 br d
			(12.0)	(12.1)	(11.2)
			4.12 br d	4.18 dd	4.17 dd
(4 25 44	4.29	(12.0)	(12.1, 2.0)	(11.2, 2.1)
0	4.33 uu	4.28			
	(12.0, 0.5) 4 54 dd	4 44 dd			
	(12.0, 2.0)	(10.4, 1.6)			
	(,)	(,,			
17	Xyl	Fuc	GICA	MeGIcA	MeGIcA
1	5.27 d (6.9)	5.50 d (7.5)	5.38 d (7.8)	5.44 d (7.8)	5.44 d (7.8)
2	4.12	4.52 dd	4.05	(0, 2, 7, 8)	(0, 0, 7, 8)
3'	4 13	(9.7, 7.5) 3 96 dd	$4.31 \pm (9.0)$	(9.2, 7.8) 4 29 t (9 2)	(9.0, 7.8) 4 29 t (9 2)
5	1.15	(9730)	1.51 ().0)	1.2) (().2)	1.2) (().2)
4'	4.24 m	3.91 d (3.0)	4.53 t (9.0)	4.46 t (9.2)	4.46 t (9.2)
5'	3.70 dd	3.66 q (6.4)	4.62 d (9.0)	4.58 d (9.2)	4.58 d (9.2)
	(11.0, 10.5)				
	4.39 dd				
	(11.0, 5.0)				
6'		1.51 d (6.4)			
OCH ₃				3.73 s	3.72 s
		Ara	Ara (terminal)		
1″		5.21 d (7.5)	5.32 d (7.5)		
2″		4.47 dd	4.45 dd		
		(8.2, 7.5)	(8.2, 7.5)		
3″		4.11	4.06		
4″		4.25	4.17		
5″		3.77 br d	3.47 br d		
		(10.3)	(11.2)		
		4.26	4.19		

a) ${\cal J}$ values (in Hz) are in parentheses. Overlapped signals are reported without designating multiplicity.

tions, were identified by HPLC analysis following their conversion to the 1-[(S)-N-acetyl- α -methylbenzylamino]-1-deoxy-alditol acetate derivatives.^{6,7)} The interglycosidic linkage and the position of the sugar moiety attached to the aglycon were determined unambiguously by HMBC spectrum. The correlation between H-1 (δ 5.27) of Xyl and C-2 (δ 84.0) of Glc indicated that Xyl was linked to C-2 of Glc. A significant long-range correlation between H-1 (δ 4.92) of

norolean-12-en-28-oic acid has been isolated from *Guaiacum* officinale.⁵)

Acid hydrolysis of 1 M hydrochloric acid in dioxane–water (1:1) gave D-glucose and D-xylose as the carbohydrate components. The monosaccharides, including their configura-



Fig. 1. Key HMBC and NOE Correlations Observed for 1 and 2

Glc and C-3 (δ 89.1) of the aglycon indicated that Glc was linked to C-3 of the aglycon. The ¹³C-NMR data indicated that both of the sugars were in the pyranose form. The β anomeric configurations for glucose and xylose were determined from their large ³J_{H1,H2} coupling constants (7—8 Hz). Based upon all of the above evidence, the structure of fargoside A (1) was elucidated as 3β ,20 α -dihydroxy-29-norolean-12-en-28-oic acid 3-*O*- β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Fargoside B (2) gave quasi-molecular ions at m/z 937 $[M+Na]^+$ and 953 $[M+K]^+$ by MALDI-TOF MS. The molecular formula of $C_{46}H_{74}O_{18}$ was confirmed by HR-FAB-MS. Comparison of the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **2** with those of 1 indicated one methyl group in 1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.10 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.5) was replaced by a hydroxylmethyl group in 2 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.12 and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 73.2). The ¹³C-NMR chemical shift of C-4 at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 42.7 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 39.6 in 1) indicated that the hydroxylmethyl group had replaced the 23- or 24-CH₃ group. The stereochemistry of the hydroxylmethyl group on C-4 was assigned as β -configuration by a NOESY spectrum (Fig. 1). Therefore, the aglycon of **2** was identified as $3\beta_{20}\alpha_{24}$ -trihydroxy-29-norolean-12en-28-oic acid, a new triterpenoid sapogenin. The presence of three sugar units was indicated from the ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data, which showed three sugar anomeric protons at δ 4.80 (d, J=7.4 Hz), 5.21(d, J=7.5 Hz), and 5.50 (d, J=7.5 Hz), and three anomeric carbons at δ 103.2, 103.5 and 105.5, respectively. The identity and sequence of the sugars were established using the same protocol as described for 1. The three monosaccharide units were identified as β -D-glucose (Glc), α -D-arabinose (Ara) and β -D-fucose (Fuc), and further confirmed by HPLC analysis of the acid hydrolysate. The linkages of the sugar units at C-24 were established from the following HMBC correlations: H-1 of Fuc with C-2 of Glc and H-1 of Ara with C-3 of Glc. The attachment of the oligosaccharide moiety to C-24 of the aglycon was confirmed by a long-range correlation between H-1 of Glc with C-23 of the aglycon. Thus, the structure of fargoside B (2) was established as $3\beta_{20\alpha,24}$ -trihydroxy-29-norolean-12-en-28-oic acid 23-*O*- β -D-fucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -L-arabinopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$]- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Fargoside C (3) gave quasi-molecular ions at m/z 919 $[M+Na]^+$ and 935 $[M+K]^+$ by MALDI-TOF MS. The molecular formula of $C_{45}H_{68}O_{18}$ was confirmed by HR-FAB-MS. ¹H-NMR spectrum showed four methyl proton singlets at δ 0.93, 1.00, 1.09, and 1.21, a broad triplet olefinic proton at δ 5.48, and two exo-methylene protons at δ 4.74 (s) and 4.79

(s). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum showed four tertiary methyl carbon signals at δ 13.5, 16.1, 17.4, and 26.2, and four olefinic carbons at δ 107.1, 123.1, 144.2, and 149.1. After extensive NMR analysis, the aglycon was characterized as 3β ,23-dihydroxy-30-norolean-12,20(29)-dien-28-oic acid (30-norhederagenin).⁸⁾ Among the 45 carbon signals in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum, 29 were assigned to the aglycon (see Table 1). The remaining 16 signals were indicative of the presence of one hexose and two pentoses, in agreement with the three anomeric signals at δ 4.96 (d, J=7.2 Hz), 5.32 (d, J=7.5 Hz), and 5.38 (d, J=7.8 Hz) in the ¹H-NMR spectrum and the three anomeric carbon signals at δ 104.5, 105.0, and 105.4 in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum. Individual monosaccharides were identified by the 2D experiments. Three sugar units were identified as one glucuronic acid (GlcA) and two arabinoses (Ara), as further confirmed by GLC and TLC analysis of the acidic hydrolysate. The linkages of the sugar units were established from the following HMBC correlations: H-1 of the terminal Ara with C-2 of the inner Ara; H-1 of GlcA with C-3 of the inner Ara; and the attachment of the oligosaccharide moiety to the aglycon was confirmed by a long-range correlation between H-1 of the inner Ara with C-3 of the aglycon. The α -anometric configurations for arabinoses and β anomeric configuration for glucuronic acid were evident from their ${}^{3}J_{H1,H2}$ coupling constants (7–8 Hz) and from NOE information. Based on these results, the structure of fargoside C (3) was established as 3β ,23-dihydroxy-30norolean-2,20(29)-dien-28-oic acid $3-O-\alpha$ -L-arabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[β -D-glucopyranosyluronic acid (1 \rightarrow 3)]- α -Larabinopyranoside.

Fargoside D (4) gave quasi-molecular ions at m/z 801 $[M+Na]^+$ and 817 $[M+K]^+$ by MALDI-TOF MS. The molecular formula of C₄₁H₆₂O₁₄ was confirmed by HR-FAB-MS. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data indicated that the aglycon of 4 was the same as that of 3, but that the two compounds have different sugar units. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra showed two anomeric proton signals at δ 4.98 (d, J=7.5 Hz, Ara) and 5.44 (d, J=7.8 Hz, GlcA) and two anomeric carbon signals at δ 106.7 and 106.8. The structure of the sugar moieties was determined by a combination of the 2D NMR experiments. The linkages of the sugar units were established by HMBC correlations observed between H-1 of GlcA with C-3 of Ara, while the position of the disaccharide chain relative to C-3 of the aglycon was based on a HMBC correlation between H-1 of Ara and C-3 of the aglycon. In addition, the resonance at δ 170.6 (C-6, GlcA) correlated with δ 3.73 (3H, s, OCH₃) in the HMBC spectrum and indicated that the glucuronic acid unit was in the form of a methyl ester. Based on these results, the structure of fargoside D (4) was determined as 3β ,23-dihydroxy-30-norolean-12,20(29)-dien-28-oic acid 3-O-methyl β -D-glucopyranosyluronate-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-arabinopyranoside.

Fargoside E (5) gave quasi-molecular ions at m/z 817 $[M+Na]^+$ and 833 $[M+K]^+$ by MALDI-TOF MS. The molecular formula of $C_{42}H_{66}O_{14}$ was confirmed by HR-FAB-MS. Its ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectra indicated that 5 has the same sugar structure as that of 4, and the aglycon was identified as hederagenin.^{9,10)} Based on the above information, the structure of fargoside E (5) was established as 3β ,23-dihydroxy-olean-12-en-28-oic acid 3-*O*-mehtyl β -D-glucopyranosyluronate-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-arabinopyranoside.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures Optical rotations were recorded on a JASCO DIP-370 digital polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO 300E FT-IR spectrophotometer. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR were recorded using a JEOL ECP-500 FT-NMR spectrometer. Chemical shifts are expressed in δ values (ppm) with TMS as internal standard and the coupling constants are in Hz. MALDI-TOF MS was conducted using a PerSeptive Biosystems Voyager DE-STR mass spectrometer. HR-FAB-MS were determined on a Kratos CONCEPT mass spectrometer. Diaion HP-20 (Mitsubishi Chemical), silica gel 60 (Merck) and ODS (Chromatorex, 100—200 mesh, Fuji Silysia) were used for column (Senshu Pak, PEGASIL ODS, 10 mm i.d.×250 mm, detector 210 nm). GLC was carried out on a Shimadzu GC-7A instrument with Silicone OV-17 column on Uniport HP 2%, 3 mm i.d.×2.1 m. Column temperature, initially 140 °C for 16 min and rising 2 °C/min to a final 170 °C; carrier gas N₂, flow rate, 25 ml/min.

Plant Material. Fresh roots of *Holboellia fargesii* were collected in Kunming, Yunnan Province, People's Republic of China in May 1999 and identified by Professor Wen-Cai Fang (Kunming Institute of Chinese Medicinal and Folk Herb Drugs). A voucher specimen (no. 9905) is deposited at the herbarium of Beijing University, Beijing, People's Republic of China.

Extraction and Isolation The finely cut roots (4 kg) were extracted with 95% EtOH three times under reflux for 3 h. The combined EtOH extracts were evaporated under reduced pressure to yield a brown residue (260 g), suspended in H_2O , and then partitioned successively with EtOAc and *n*-BuOH. The *n*-BuOH-soluble fraction (124 g) was applied to a column of Diaion HP-20 (3000 ml) and eluted with 30, 50, 70, and 100% MeOH. The fractions eluted with 70% MeOH were combined and repeatedly chromatographed over silica gel and ODS columns to give several saponin fractions. Further HPLC purification of the highly polar fraction (60—70% MeOH–0.06% TFA in H_2O , 1.0 ml/min, UV detector, 210 nm) gave 1 (22 mg), 2 (78 mg), 3 (5 mg), 4 (5 mg), and 5 (4 mg), respectively.

Fargoside A (1): An amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 24.4^{\circ}$ (c=1.0, MeOH); IR (KBr) ν_{max} 3423, 2925, 1685, 1466, 1388, 1202, 1045 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data, see Tables 1—3; MALDI-TOF MS (positive-ion mode) m/z 775 [M+Na]⁺, 791 [M+K]⁺; HR-FAB-MS (negative-ion mode) m/z 751.4263 [M-H]⁻ (Calcd for C₄₀H₆₃O₁₃, 751.4269).

Acid Hydrolysis of 1 A solution of 1 (10 mg) in 1 M HCl (dioxane-H₂O, 1:1, 5 ml) was heated at 90 °C for 2 h under an Ar atmosphere. After dioxane was removed, and the solution was extracted with EtOAc $(1 \text{ ml} \times 3)$. The extract was washed with H₂O and then concentrated to give a residue, which was purified by silica gel column chromatography to give an aglycon (1a, 3 mg). The aqueous layer was neutralized by passing through an ion-exchange resin (Amberlite MB-3, Organo, Tokyo, Japan) column, concentrated under reduced pressure to dryness, to give a sugar fraction. The sugar fraction (3 mg) was dissolved in H₂O (1 ml), to which (-)- α -methylbenzylamine (7 mg) and NaBH3CN (10 mg) in EtOH (1 ml) were added. After being set aside at 40 °C for 4 h followed by addition of glacial HOAc (0.2 ml) and evaporated to dryness, the reaction mixture was acetylated with Ac₂O (0.3 ml) and pyridine (0.3 ml) in the presence of 4-dimethylaminopyridine (5 mg) catalyst at 40 °C for 12 h. The crude mixture was passed through a Sep-Pak C₁₈ cartridge (Waters) with H₂O-MeCN (4:1; 1:1, each 10 ml) mixtures as solvents. The H2O-MeCN (1:1) eluate was further passed through a Toyopak IC-SP M cartridge (Tosoh, Tokyo, Japan) with EtOH (10 ml) to a give mixture of the 1-[(S)-N-acetyl- α -methylbenzylamino]-1-deoxyalditol acetate derivatives of the monosacchrides,^{5,6)} which was then analyzed by HPLC under following conditions: solvent, MeCN-H₂O (2:3); flow rate, 0.8 ml/min; detection, UV 230 nm. The derivatives of D-xylose and D-glucose were detected as follows: $t_{\rm R}$ (min) 26.50 (derivative of D-xylose) and 39.38 (derivative of D-glucose).

Aglycon (1a): An amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_{0}^{25} + 57.0^{\circ}$ (*c*=0.2, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3420, 2931, 1695, 1461, 1383, 1117, 1030 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 500 MHz): δ 5.58 (1H, br s, H-12), 3.46 (1H, m, H-3), 3.39 (1H, dd, *J*=10.4. 3.7 Hz, H-18), 1.60 (3H, s, H-30), 1.27 (6H, s, H-23), 1.26 (3H, s, H-27), 1.04 (6H, s, H-24, 26), 0.91 (3H, s, H-25); ¹³C-NMR (pyridine- d_5 , 500 MHz): δ 180.0 (C28) 144.4 (C-13), 122.2 (C-12), 78.1 (C-3), 69.9 (C-20), 55.8 (C-5), 48.2 (C-19), 48.1 (C-9), 46.8 (C-17), 44.5 (C-18), 42.2 (C-4), 39.8 (C-14), 39.4 (C-8), 38.9 (C-1), 37.4 (C-10), 36.3 (C-21), 35.2 (C-22), 33.3 (C-7), 28.8 (C-23), 28.4 (C-15), 28.1 (C-2), 26.1 (C-27), 25.7 (C-30), 23.9 (C-16), 23.8 (C-11), 18.8 (C-6), 17.5 (C-26), 16.5 (C-24), 15.6 (C-25); MALDI-TOF MS (positive-ion mode) *m*/*z* 481 [M+Na]⁺, 497 [M+K]⁺.

Fargoside B (2): an amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_D^{22} + 39.6^\circ$ (*c*=1.0, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3414, 2930, 1689, 1459, 1380, 1165, 1061 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-

NMR data, see Tables 1—3; MALDI-TOF MS (positive-ion mode) m/z 937 [M+Na]⁺, 953 [M+K]⁺; HRFABMS (negative-ion mode) m/z 913.4787 [M-H]⁻ (Calcd for $C_{46}H_{72}O_{19}$, 913.4797).

 $[M-H]^{-}$ (Calcd for $C_{46}H_{73}O_{18}$, 913.4797). Acid Hydrolysis of 2 Compound 2 (10 mg) was subjected to acid hydrolysis with 1 M HCl (dioxane-H₂O, 1 : 1, 5 ml) at 90 °C for 2 h under an Ar atmosphere, and workup similar to that of 1 gave a sugar fraction and an aglycon fraction. The monosacchrides in the sugar fraction were converted to the corresponding 1-[(S)-N-acetyl- α -methylbenzylamino]-1-deoxyalditol acetate derivatives, which were then analyzed by HPLC. The derivatives of L-arabinose, D-fucose, and D-glucose were detected: $t_{\rm R}$ (min) 25.06 (derivative of L-arabinose), 32.49 (derivative of D-fucose), and 39.18 (derivative of D-glucose). The aglycon fraction was purified by silica gel column chromatography using CHCl₃–MeOH (19:1) to give the aglycon **2a**.

Aglycon (2a): An amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 39.2^{\circ}$ (*c*=0.2, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3415, 2937, 1697, 1428, 1250, 1038 cm⁻¹; ¹H-NMR (pyridined₅, 500 MHz): δ 5.57 (1H, br s, H-12), 4.50 (1H, d, *J*=10.8 Hz, H-23), 3.65 (1H, m, H-3, overlapped with H-23), 3.64 (1H, H-23, overlapped with H-3), 3.38 (1H, dd, *J*=13.6, 5.5 Hz, H-18), 1.60 (3H, s, H-30), 1.56 (3H, s, H-24), 1.26 (3H, s, H-27), 0.99 (3H, s, H-26), 0.86 (3H, s, H-25); ¹³C-NMR (pyridine-d₅, 125 MHz): δ 180.7 (C28), 144.4 (C-13), 122.6 (C-12), 80.2 (C-3), 69.9 (C-20), 64.5 (C-24), 56.4 (C-5), 48.2 (C-19), 48.1 (C-9), 46.8 (C-17), 44.4 (C-18), 43.2 (C-4), 42.1 (C-14), 39.8 (C-8), 38.7 (C-1), 37.1 (C-10), 65.3 (C-21), 35.2 (C-22), 33.6 (C-7), 28.4 (C-16), 28.3 (C-2), 26.0 (C-27), 25.7 (C-30), 24.0 (C-16), 23.9 (C-11), 23.6 (C-23), 19.1 (C-6), 17.3 (C-26), 16.0 (C-25); MALDI-TOF MS (positive-ion mode) *m/z* 497 [M+Na]⁺.

Fargoside C (3): An amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 54.0^\circ$ (c=0.6, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3405, 2929, 1691, 1443, 1382, 1171, 1058 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data, see Tables 1—3; MALDI-TOF MS (positive-ion mode) m/z 919 [M+Na]⁺, 935 [M+K]⁺; HR-FAB-MS (negative-ion mode) m/z 895.4313 [M-H]⁻ (Calcd for C₄₅H₆₇O₁₈, 895.4327).

Fargoside D (4): An amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +65.6° (*c*=0.5, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3418, 2936, 1739, 1689, 1443, 1383, 1134, 1057 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data, see Tables 1—3; MALDI-TOF MS (positive ion mode) *m/z* 801 [M+Na]⁺, 817 [M+K]⁺; HR-FAB-MS (negative-ion mode) *m/z* 777.4055 [M-H]⁻ (Calcd for C₄₁H₆₁O₁₄, 777.4061).

Fargoside E (**5**): An amorphous solid; $[\alpha]_D^{28} + 18.3^\circ$ (c=0.8, MeOH); IR (KBr) v_{max} 3397, 2944, 1739, 1692, 1444, 1384, 1136, 1057 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data, see Tables 1—3; MALDI-TOF MS (positive-ion mode) m/z 817 [M+Na]⁺, 833 [M+K]⁺; HR-FAB-MS (negative-ion mode) m/z 793.4397 [M-H]⁻ (Calcd for $C_{42}H_{65}O_{14}$, 793.4374).

Acid Hydrolysis of 3—5 A solution of compounds 3—5 (each 2 mg) in 1 ml of 1 M HCl (dioxane–H₂O, 1:1) was heated under reflux for 2 h. After cooling, the reaction mixture was neutralized with by an ion-exchange resin (Amberlite MB-3) and resin was filtered. After removal of the solvent under reduced pressure, the residue was passed through a Sep-Pak C₁₈ cartridge using H₂O and MeOH. The H₂O eluate was analyzed by co-TLC to reveal the presence of glucuronic acid and arabinose with standard samples, then concentrated and the residue was treated with 1-trimethylsilylimidazole at room temperature for 1 h. Excess reagent was then decomposed with H₂O, and the reaction product was extracted with *n*-hexane (1 ml×2). The TMSi derivatives of the monosaccharides were identified as arabinose], by co-GLC analysis with standard monosaccharide.

References

- Institutum Botanicum Kunmingense Academiae Sinicae (ed.), "Index Florae Yunnanensis," The People's Publishing House: Kunming, Yunnan, People's Republic of China, 1984, p. 148.
- Yunnan Medicine Herbs Corporation, "Dictionary of Yunnan Medicinal Plants," Kunming, Yunnan, People's Republic of China, 1989, p. 138.
- 3) Mahato S., Kunda A. P., Phytochemistry, 37, 1517-1575 (1994).
- Zhang Z., Koike K., Jia Z., Nikaido T., Guo D., Zheng J., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 47, 388—393 (1999).
- 5) Ahmad V. U., Bano N., Bano S., *Phytochemistry*, **23**, 2613–2616 (1984).
- 6) Oshima R., Kumanotani J., Chem. Lett., 1981, 943-946.
- Oshima R., Yamauchi Y., Kumanotani J., Carbohydr. Res., 107, 169– 176 (1982).
- 8) Ikuta A., Itokawa H., Phytochemistry, 28, 2663-2665 (1989).
- Kojima K., Zhu X.-B., Ogihara Y., *Phytochemistry*, 48, 885–888 (1998).
- 10) Li H. Y., Koike K., Ohmoto T., Phytochemistry, 35, 751-756 (1994).