## Cytotoxic Alkaloids and a Flavan from the Bulbs of *Crinum asiaticum* var. *japonicum*

Byung Sun MIN,<sup>a</sup> Jiang Jing GAO,<sup>a</sup> Norio NAKAMURA,<sup>a</sup> Young Ho KIM,<sup>b</sup> and Masao HATTORI<sup>\*,a</sup>

Institute of Natural Medicine, Toyama Medical and Pharmaceutical University,<sup>a</sup> 2630 Sugitani, Toyama, 930–0194, Japan and College of Pharmacy, Chungnam National University,<sup>b</sup> Taejon 305–764, Korea. Received March 27, 2001; accepted May 29, 2001

A new pyrrolophenanthridone alkaloid, criasiaticidine A (1), was isolated from the bulbs of *Crinum asiaticum* var. *japonicum*, together with pratorimine (2), lycorine (3) and 4'-hydroxy-7-methoxyflavan (4). The structure of the new alkaloid was determined to be 4,5-etheno-9,10-dihydroxy-6-phenanthridone by spectroscopic means. The cytotoxicity of the isolated compounds 1—4 was evaluated *in vitro* against Meth-A (mouse sarcoma) and Lewis lung carcinoma (mouse lung carcinoma) tumor cell lines. Furthermore, 3 was examined for *in vivo* antitumor activity with LLC tumor cells.

Key words Crinum asiaticum var. japonicum; alkaloid; criasiaticidine A; cytotoxicity; lycorine; antitumor activity

In the continuing search for antitumor compounds from natural sources, EtOAc- and alkaline EtOAc-soluble fractions of the bulbs of *Crinum asiaticum* var. *japonicum* BAKER (Amaryllidaceae) showed significant cytotoxicity, with ED<sub>50</sub> values of 1.1 and 0.9  $\mu$ g/ml, respectively, against Lewis lung carcinoma (LLC) tumor cells. *C. asiaticum* var. *japonicum* grows wild only in Japan and Korea.<sup>1)</sup> As regards phytochemical studies on this plant, the isolation of phenanthridine alkaloids, fatty acids, sterols and triterpene alcohols have been previously reported.<sup>2—4)</sup> Alkaloids isolated from the bulbs of the tribe Amaryllidaceae showed various pharmacological and microbiological effects, such as antiviral,<sup>5)</sup> antimalarial,<sup>6)</sup> cytotoxic<sup>6—9)</sup> and antineoplastic activities,<sup>10—12)</sup> as well as effects on diseases of the nervous system.<sup>13)</sup>

An EtOAc-soluble fraction of *C. asiaticum* var. *japonicum* was separated by column chromatography to afford a new pyrrolophenanthridone alkaloid, criasiaticidine A (1). Two known alkaloids, pratorimine (2) and lycorine (3), and 4'-hydroxy-7-methoxyflavan (4) were also isolated. The characterization of the new alkaloid 1 and the cytotoxic activity of 1-4, as well as the *in vivo* antitumor activity of 3, are reported in this paper.

## **Results and Discussion**

From EtOAc- and alkaline EtOAc-soluble fractions of the MeOH extract of the bulbs of *C. asiaticum* var. *japonicum*, three phenanthridine alkaloids and a flavan were isolated by a combination of silica gel column chromatography and



Chart 1. Structures of Compounds Isolated from the Bulbs of Crinum asiaticum var. japonicum

reversed phase medium pressure liquid chromatography (MPLC), followed by crystallization (Chart 1). The known compounds were identified as pratorimine (2),<sup>14–17)</sup> lycorine (3),<sup>6,18)</sup> and 4'-hydroxy-7-methoxyflavan  $(4)^{19}$  by comparison of the spectral properties with those reported previously.

Criasiaticidine A (1) was isolated as pale brown needles (CH<sub>3</sub>CN–H<sub>2</sub>O), mp 277–279 °C. The molecular formula of 1 was assigned to be  $C_{15}H_9NO_3$  from its molecular ion peak at *m*/*z* 251.0567 in the high-resolution electron impact mass spectrum (HR-EI-MS). The UV spectrum showing absorption bands at 225, 235, 253, 258, 296 and 345 nm indicated the presence of a pyrrolophenanthridone derivative.<sup>20)</sup>

The proton nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (<sup>1</sup>H-NMR) and carbon-13 nuclear magnetic resonance spectrum (<sup>13</sup>C-NMR) data of **1** were similar to those for **2**, except for the absence of a methoxy group in **1** (Table 1). Information for all of the functional groups and their locations in the molecule was obtained from the heteronuclear multiple-bond correlation spectrum (HMBC) (Fig. 1). Significant HMBC correlations between signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.76 (H-11) and  $\delta_{\rm C}$  146.8

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Data of Compounds 1 and 2 (DMSO- $d_6$ ,  $\delta$  ppm; J in Hz; 400 MHz, <sup>1</sup>H; 100 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C)

		1		2
	<sup>13</sup> C	<sup>1</sup> H	<sup>13</sup> C	$^{1}\mathrm{H}$
1	118.1	8.04 (d, 7.7)	118.5	8.09 (d, 7.7)
2	124.0	7.48 (t, 7.7)	124.1	7.50 (t, 7.7)
3	121.3	7.78 (d, 7.7)	122.3	7.82 (d, 7.7)
3a	127.8		127.9	
4	110.6	7.03 (d, 3.5)	110.7	7.04 (d, 3.5)
5	123.3	8.06 (d, 3.5)	123.5	8.10 (d, 3.5)
7	157.4		157.4	
7a	118.8		118.6	
8	114.1	7.79 s	109.0	7.85 s
9	146.8		148.7	
10	151.7		152.4	
11	108.8	7.76 s	110.1	7.82 s
11a	127.8		129.1	
11b	118.1		116.2	
11c	130.3		130.4	
OCH <sub>3</sub>			55.8	3.96 s (3H)

 $\delta$  values in ppm and coupling constants (in parentheses) in Hz.

(C-9), as well as between those at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.79 (H-8) and  $\delta_{\rm C}$ 151.7 (C-10), confirmed the presence of two hydroxyl groups at C-9 and C-10, as shown in 1. This was further supported by the chemical shift difference of C-7 to C-11 of 1, compared with those of 2 (Table 1), and eliminated the possibility of a methoxy substituent at either C-9 or C-10. An  $sp^2$  methine carbon signal at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  110.6 (C-4) showed long-range correlations with signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.78 (H-3) and 8.06 (H-5). The former proton was further coupled with another quaternary carbon at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  130.3 (C-11c). This suggested the presence of a nuclear indole at C-3a, 4, 5, 11c and N-6. The placement of a carbonyl carbon at C-7 was supported by the observation of long-range correlations of signals at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  7.76 (H-11) and 7.79 (H-8) with a carbon resonance at  $\delta_{\rm C}$  157.4. Consequently, the structure of 1 was determined to be 4,5-etheno-9,10-dihydroxy-6-phenanthridone.

Compounds 1—4 were tested for their cytotoxicity against Meth-A (mouse sarcoma) and Lewis lung carcinoma (mouse carcinoma) tumor cell lines. Compound 1 showed moderate cytotoxic effects with  $ED_{50}$  values of 3.2 and 4.2 µg/ml, respectively, on Meth-A and LLC cells, and 3 showed strong cytotoxicity ( $ED_{50}$ , 0.3 and 0.5 µg/ml) against both tumor



Fig. 1. Long-Range Correlations Observed in the HMBC Spectrum of 1



Fig. 2. In vivo Antitumor Activity of Lycorine (3) against LLC Tumor Cells.

Statistical Significance: \*<br/>  $p{<}0.05,$  \*\* $p{<}0.001,$  vs. control. Points, Mean±S.E. (Lycorine: 10 mg/kg/d, Adriamycin: 2 mg/kg/d)

cells. Compound **2** exhibited moderate activity against only Meth-A cells (ED<sub>50</sub>, 4.1  $\mu$ g/ml), while **4** was inactive.

The cytotoxic properties of **3** agreed with those previously reported for several human and mouse tumor cell lines with  $ED_{50}$  values of 0.3—1.8 µg/ml.<sup>6,9,21—22)</sup> Compound **4** has been reported to show an inhibitory effect on the incorporation of <sup>3</sup>H-thymidine in Molt 4 cells (child T-cell leukemia), with an IC<sub>50</sub> value of less than 10 µg/ml, as well as a cytotoxic effect on Molt 4 cells (ED<sub>50</sub>, 42 µg/ml).<sup>7)</sup>

Compound **3** was also reported to inhibit the *in vivo* growth of a murine tranplantable ascites tumor.<sup>20)</sup> Therefore, we examined the *in vivo* antitumor activity of lycorine with Lewis lung carcinoma tumor cells in BDF-1 mice. When the mice were treated for 2 weeks, **3** showed antitumor activity with a T/C value (tumor inhibition rate, as described in Experimental) of 80.5% at a dose of 10 mg/kg on day 19 (Table 3 and Fig. 2). Compound **3** at a dose of 10 mg/kg maximally decreased the body weight by approximately 5% of the control, but the body weight loss was gradually improved to the range of the control after the termination of administration (Table 3). On considering the antitumor activity and toxicity, **3** might be used as a lead to develop a potential anticancer agent.

## Experimental

Melting points were measured on a Yanagiomoto micro hot-stage melting point apparatus and are not corrected. Optical rotations were measured with a DIP-360 automatic polarimeter (Jasco Co.). UV spectra were measured with a UV-2200 UV-VIS recording spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Co.). IR spectra were measured on a FT/IR-230 infrared spectrometer (Jasco Co.). <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectra were measured with Jeol JNA-LAA 400 WB-FT (<sup>1</sup>H, 400 MHz; <sup>13</sup>C, 100 MHz; Jeol Co.) spectrophotometer, the chemical shifts being represented as ppm with tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. HR-EI-MS and EI-MS were measured with a JMX-AX 505 HAD mass spectrophotometer (Jeol Co.). Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel (Kieselgel 60, 70–230 mesh, Merck Co.). MPLC was carried out on a LiChroprep RP-18 (size A, Merck Co.). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was carried out on pre-coated Silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates (0.25 mm, Merck Co.) and RP-18 F<sub>254</sub> S (0.25 mm, Merck Co.), and spots were detected under a UV light and by spraying with Dragendorff reagent.

Plant Material The bulbs of *C. asiaticum* var. *japonicum* BAKER (Amaryllidaceae) were collected during October 1999 at Cheju-island,

Table 2. Cytotoxicity of Compounds (1-4) against Meth-A and LLC Tumor Cells

Compound	ED <sub>50</sub> (µg/ml)		
Compound	Meth-A	LLC	
Criasiaticidine A (1)	3.2	4.2	
Pratorimine (2)	4.1	> 10	
Lycorine (3)	0.3	0.5	
4'-Hydroxy-7-methoxyflavan (4)	>10	>10	
Adriamycin <sup>a)</sup>	< 0.09	0.1	

a) Positive control.

Table 3.	Antitumor	Activity of	f Lycorine	(3)	against LL	C Im	planted	s.c.	in	Mouse
			J · · ·	<- /						

Compound	Dose (mg/kg/d) <sup>a)</sup>	No. of mice	Tumo	r	<i>p</i> value <i>versus</i> Control	Body wt.	
			Size $(mm^3)^{b}$	T/C (%)		(T/C, %)	
Control		6	9089±545			100	
Lycorine (3)	10	6	$7321 \pm 587$	80.5	< 0.05	99.5	
Adriamycin <sup>c)</sup>	2	6	$3566 \pm 168$	39.2	< 0.001	68.9	

Listed are the data on day 19. a) Drugs were administered intraperitoneally once daily for 2 consecutive weeks. b) Mean±S.E. c) Positive control.

Korea, and dried at room temperature. A voucher specimen (CNU1005) is deposited in the herbarium of the College of Pharmacy, Chungnam National University, Taejon, Korea.

**Tumor Cells** Meth-A (mouse sarcoma) and LLC (mouse lung carcinoma) cells were purchased from RIKEN Cell Line Bank (Tsukuba, Japan). The cells were maintained as monolayer cultures in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 7% fetal bovine serum, sodium bicarbonate (2 g), penicillin G (100000 units) and streptomycin (100 mg).

Isolation Procedure The minced bulbs of C. asiaticum var. japonicum (3 kg) were extracted with MeOH  $(10 \text{ l} \times 3)$  to give an extract (1091 g). A part of the MeOH extract (1 kg) was suspended in H<sub>2</sub>O (2000 ml) and extracted with hexane  $(1000 \text{ ml} \times 3)$  to give a hexane soluble fraction (21 g). The H<sub>2</sub>O layer was extracted with EtOAc (1000 ml×3, 6.9 g). The resulting H<sub>2</sub>O layer was basified with NH<sub>4</sub>OH to pH 9.0, and then extracted with EtOAc (40.2 g) and BuOH (26.7 g), respectively. Each fraction was then evaluated for cytotoxic activity against LLC tumor cells. The EtOAc-fraction (ED<sub>50</sub>, 1.1  $\mu$ g/ml) and the basic EtOAc-fraction (ED<sub>50</sub>, 0.9  $\mu$ g/ml) were selected for further investigation. The EtOAc-soluble fraction (6.9 g) was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (300 g). The column was eluted with a stepwise gradient of CHCl<sub>3</sub> and MeOH to give 4 fractions (Fr. A-D; 2.9, 1.1, 0.6 and 1.1 g, respectively). Repeated column chromatography of Fr. A on silica gel (hexane-acetone, 4:1) and MPLC on RP-18 (50 and 75% aq. CH<sub>3</sub>CN) afforded 1 (1.5 mg), 2 (11 mg) and 4 (7.2 mg). The crude basic-EtOAc fraction was dissolved in MeOH, kept overnight at room temperature, and lycorine (3, 1.5 g) was crystallized as colorless prisms

4,5-Etheno-8,9-dihydroxy-6-phenanthridone (1, Criasiaticidine A): Pale brown needles (CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.5 mg), mp 277–279 °C. UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ , MeOH): 225 (4.10), 235 (4.19), 253 (4.30), 258 (4.30), 287 (sh), 296 (4.26), 345 (3.89), 355 (sh). IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3410, 1671, 1613, 1509, 1459, 1328. EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 251 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100). HR-EIMS *m/z*: 251.0567 (M<sup>+</sup>, Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>9</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>: 251.0583). <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C- NMR: see Table 1.

Pratorimine (2): Pale brown needles (CH<sub>3</sub>CN-H<sub>2</sub>O, 11 mg), mp 224— 226 °C. UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ , MeOH): 203 (4.29), 225 (4.31), 235 (4.30), 252 (4.44), 257 (4.30), 287 (sh), 296 (4.34), 336 (sh), 345 (4.02), 358 (3.92). IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3330, 1650, 1613, 1502, 1439, 1320. EI-MS *m/z* (rel. int.): 265 [M]<sup>+</sup> (100).

Lycorine (3): Colorless prisms (MeOH, 1.5 g), mp 254–256 °C.  $[\alpha]_D^{26}$ : -105° (MeOH, c=0.1). UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ , MeOH): 205 (4.42), 235 (3.62), 292 (3.70). IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3410, 1671, 1613, 1509, 1459, 1328. EIMS *m/z* (rel. int.): 287 [M]<sup>+</sup> (60) with a base peak at 226.

4'-Hydroxyl-7-methoxyflavan (4): Colorless prisms (hexane-acetone, 7.2 mg), mp 115–117 °C.  $[\alpha]_{26}^{26}$ :  $-12^{\circ}$  (MeOH, c=0.1). UV  $\lambda_{max}$  nm (log  $\varepsilon$ , MeOH): 234 (4.26), 282 (3.74), 286 (sh). IR  $v_{max}$  cm<sup>-1</sup>: 3378, 1671, 1617, 1587, 1501, 1152, 832. EI-MS m/z (rel. int.): 256 [M]<sup>+</sup> (15) with a base peak at 55.

Cytotoxicity Assay LLC cells were cultured with RPMI 1640 medium containing 7% fetal bovine serum (FBS). For sulforhodamine B (SRB) assay,<sup>23)</sup> the cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium containing 7% FBS. A portion of the cell suspension  $(4-5\times10^4 \text{ cells/ml})$  in the culture medium was inoculated to each well of 96-well microtiter plates. One day after plating, a time zero control plate was made. Compounds were directly treated, and the cells were incubated for a further 48 h in a humidified 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at 37 °C. The cells were fixed with 50  $\mu$ l of 50% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) solution for 1 h at 4 °C, and the plates were washed 5 times with tap water and air-dried. A 50 µl sample of SRB solution (0.4% in 1% acetic acid) was added, and staining was done at room temperature for 30 min. The residual dye was washed out with 1% acetic acid, and the plates were airdried. To each well, Tris buffer solution (10 mM, pH 10.5) was added. Optical density (OD) was measured with a microtiter plate reader at 540 nm. Growth inhibition was calculated as follows: the OD of the treated well was subtracted with the OD at a time-zero (Tz) plate and divided by a calculated value of untreated control. 50% Growth inhibition of (ED<sub>50</sub>) was calculated by the Probit method.24)

**Animal** Specific pathogen-free female BDF-1 mice (4 weeks of age, weighing 14 to 16 g) were purchased from Japan SLC, Inc. (Shizuoka). The animals were fed a commercial pellet chow (Clea Japan Inc., Tokyo) in a temperature-controlled room at  $25\pm2$  °C and water *ad libitum*.

**Tumor Transplantation** LLC cells were maintained in cell culture. A suspension of  $5 \times 10^5$  cells in 0.2 ml of 0.9% NaCl solution was inoculated subcutaneously into the left flank of mice for the subcutaneous tumor assay.<sup>25)</sup>

**Drug** Compound **3** was dissolved in 0.1 ml of 0.9 % NaCl solution, and administered intraperitoneally once daily for 2 consecutive weeks to mice.

Control animals were given 0.1 ml of a 0.9 % NaCl solution by i.p. injection.

**Estimation** Tumors were measured on each alternate day using a vernier caliper from the initiation of treatment to the time when gross ulceration of the tumor was developed in control mice. The tumor size was calculated as:

tumor vol. (mm<sup>3</sup>)=
$$0.5 \times a \times b^2$$

where *a* is the longest diameter and *b* is the shortest diameter.<sup>26)</sup> The effects by treatments were represented by:

```
T/C (%)=(mean value of a treated group/mean value of a control group) \times 100
```

**Statistical Analysis** The significance of differences between the experimental groups was calculated by Dunnett's *t* test. p < 0.05 was considered significant.

## References

- 1) Lee T. B. (ed.), "Illustrated Flora of Korea," Hyangmunsa, Seoul, 1982, p. 222.
- Kobayashi S., Ishikawa H., Kihara M., Shing T., Uyeo S., *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 24, 2553—2555 (1976).
- 3) Takagi S., Yamaki M., Yakugaku Zasshi, 97, 1155-1157 (1977).
- Kihara M., Koike T., Imakura Y., Kida K., Shingu T., Kobayashi S., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 35, 1070–1075 (1987).
- Gabrielsen B., Monath T. P., Huggins J. W., Kefauver D. F., Pettit G. R., Groszek G., Hollingshead M., Kirsi J. J., Shannon W. M., Schubert E. M., Dare J., Ugarkar B., Ussery M. A., Phelan M. J., *J. Nat. Prod.*, 55, 1569–1581 (1992).
- Likhitwitayawuid K., Angerhofer C. K., Chai H., Pezzuto J. M., Cordell G. A., *J. Nat. Prod.*, 56, 1331–1338 (1993).
- Abd El Hafiz M. A., Ramadan M. A., Jung M. L., Beck J. P., Antor R, *Planta Medica.*, 57, 437–439 (1991).
- Antoun M. D., Mendoza N. T., Rios Y. R., Proctor G. R., Wickramaratne D. B. M., Pezzuto J. M., Kinghorn A. D., *J. Nat. Prod.*, 56, 1423–1425 (1993).
- Weniger B., Italiano L., Beck J. P., Bastida J., Bergonon S., Codina C., Lobstein A., Anton R., *Planta Medica.*, 61, 77–79 (1995).
- Pettit G. R., Gaddamidi V., Goswami A., Cragg G. M., J. Nat. Prod., 47, 796–801 (1984).
- Pettit G. R., Gaddamidi V., Cragg G. M., J. Nat. Prod., 47, 1018– 1020 (1984).
- 12) Pettit G. R., Cragg G. M., Singh S. B., Duke J. A., Doubek D. L., J. Nat. Prod., 53, 176—178 (1990).
- 13) Thomsen T., Kewitz H., *Life Sci.*, **46**, 1553–1558 (1990).
- 14) Ghosal S., Rao P. H., Jaiswal D. K., Kumar Y., Frahm A. W., *Phyto-chemistry*, **20**, 2003—2007 (1981).
- Ghosal S., Saini K. S., Frahm A. W., *Phytochemistry*, 22, 2305–2309 (1983).
- 16) Maddry J. A., Joshi B. S., Ali A. A., Newton M. G., Pelletier S. W., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 26, 4301–4302 (1985).
- 17) Ali A. A., El Sayed H. M., Abdallah O. M., Steglich W., *Phytochem-istry*, 25, 2399—2401 (1986).
- 18) Ali A. A., Kating H., Frahm A. W., El Moghazi A. M., Ramadan M. A., *Phytochemistry*, **20**, 1121—1123 (1981).
- 19) Abd El Hafiz M. A., Ramadan M. A., J. Nat. Prod., 53, 1349—1352 (1990).
- 20) Ghosal S., Saini K. S., Razdan S., Phytochemistry, 24, 2141–2156 (1985).
- Lin L. Z., Hu S. F., Chai H. B., Pengsuparp T., Pezutto J. M., Cordell G. A., Ruangrungsi N., *Phytochemistry*, 40, 1295–1298 (1995).
- 22) Campbell W. E., Nair J. J., Gammon D. W., Bastida J., Codina C., Viladomat F., Smith P. J., Albrecht C. F., *Planta Medica.*, **64**, 91–93 (1998).
- 23) Skehan P., Storeng R., Scudiero D., Monks A., McMahon J., Vistica D., Warren J. T., Bokesch H., Kenney S., Boyd M. R., *J. Natl. Cancer Inst.*, 82, 1107—1112 (1990).
- 24) Wu L., Smythe A. M., Stinson S. F., Mullendore L. A., Monks A., Scudiero D. A., Paull K. D., Koutsoukos A. D., Rubinstein L. V., Boyd M. R., Shoemaker R. H., *Cancer Res.*, **52**, 3029–3034 (1992).
- 25) Kato T., Sato K., Kakinuma H., Matsuda Y., Cancer Res., 54, 5143– 5147 (1994).
- 26) Geran R. I., Greenberg N. H., MacDonald M. M., Schumacher A. M., Abbott B. J., *Cancer Chemother. Rep.*, 3, 1–103 (1972).