Nardosinane Sesquiterpenoids from the Formosan Soft Coral *Nephthea elongata*

Shang-Kwei WANG^{*a*} and Chang-Yih DUH^{*,*b*,*c*}

^a Department of Microbiology, Kaohsiung Medical University; Kaohsiung, 807 Taiwan: ^b Department of Marine Biotechnology and Resources, National Sun Yat-sen University; Kaohsiung, 804 Taiwan: and ^c Center of Asia-Pacific Marine Researches, National Sun Yat-sen University; Kaohsiung, 804 Taiwan. Received January 11, 2007; accepted February 28, 2007

Seven new nardosinane sesquiterpenoids, elongatols A—G (1—7) were isolated from the methylene chloride solubles of the Formosan soft coral *Nephthea elongata*. Their structures were elucidated by extensive spectroscopic analysis and their cytotoxicity against selected cancer cells was measured *in vitro*.

Key words Nephthea elongata; nardosinane sesquiterpenoid; cytotoxicity

Soft corals of the genus *Nephthea* are rich in terpenoids and steroids.^{1–14)} As part of our search for bioactive substances from marine organisms, the Formosan soft coral *Nephthea elongata* KÜKENTHAL (Nephtheidae) was studied because the CH₂Cl₂ extracts showed significant cytotoxicity to P-388 (mouse lymphocytic leukemia) cell culture as determined by standard procedures.^{15,16)} Bioassay-guided fractionation resulted in the isolation and chraracterization of seven new sesquiterpenoids, elongatols A—G (1–7).

Elongatol A (1) gave a formula of $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$, from the in-



Fig. 1. Structures of Compounds 1-7

Table 1. ¹H-NMR Data^{*a*)} (300 MHz, in CDCl₃) of 1-7

terpretatoion of its HR-FAB-MS, ¹³C-NMR, and DEPT spectroscopic data. Thus, four degrees of unsaturation were determined for 1. The ¹³C-NMR and DEPT spectra of 1 exhibited signals for three methyls, three sp^3 methylenes, six sp^3 methines, one sp^2 methine, one sp^3 quaternary carbon, and one sp^2 quaternary carbon. The presence of a secondary hydroxyl group in 1 was indicated from the IR (3460 cm^{-1}) and NMR data ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.04 dt; $\delta_{\rm C}$ 63.9 d) (Tables 1, 2). The presence of two sp^2 hybridized carbon atoms in the molecule, as deduced from the ¹³C- and DEPT NMR spectra (Table 2), corresponding to one carbon-carbon double bond as the only multiple bond, indicated compound 1 to be tricyclic. The ¹H-NMR spectrum contained signals for three methyl groups, two doublets ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.87, 1.21), and one singlet ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.95). In addition, a signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.00 was attributed to a proton on a carbon carrying two oxygens and confirmed by ¹³C-NMR spectroscopy $(\delta_{\rm C} 107.4 \text{ d})$. The presence of another carbon bearing oxygen $(\delta_{\rm C} 78.3 \text{ d})$ was shown in the ¹³C-NMR spectrum. The spectral data of 1 closely resembled those of armatin D isolated from the soft coral Nephthea armata except for the replacement of the methoxyl by a hydroxyl.¹³⁾ The α -configuration of hydroxy at C-2 was determined by comparison with $J_{1,2}$ of armatin D and its 2-epimeric analogues.¹⁷⁾ The α -configuration of hydroxy at C-12 was determined by comparison with $J_{11,12}$ of its 12-epimeric analogues.¹⁷⁾ The relative stereo-chemistry of **1** was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which indicated that Me-13, Me-14, Me-15, H-6, H-7, and

Н	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	5.66 d (4.8) ^{b)}	5.75 d (4.5)	5.48 s	5.62 d (4.8)	5.44 d (9.6)	5.40 br s	5.82 s
2	4.04 dt (2.5, 4.8)	4.12 dt (2.5, 4.5)	4.22 m	4.14 m	5.71 ddd (9.6, 4.8, 1.8)	4.20 m	
3	1.57 m	1.62 m	1.24 m, 1.78 m	1.62 m	1.84 m, 1.97 m	1.43 m, 1.85 m	2.32 m
4	2.28 m	2.15 m	1.87 m	2.76 m	2.37 td (16.5, 6.9)	1.97 m	2.35 m
6	2.09 m	2.43 m	2.04 m	2.57 d (8.4)	1.98 m	1.57 m	1.78 m
7	4.30 dt (10.2, 5.4)	4.21 dt (9.5, 2.0)	4.30 dt (10.8, 6.6)	4.54 dt (9.6, 9.0)	4.58 t (4.2)	3.89 m	3.98 m
8	1.93 m	1.43 m, 2.23 m	1.87 m	1.65 m, 2.08 m	2.00 m, 2.33 m	1.56 m, 2.08 m	1.76 m, 2.17 m
9	2.18 m	2.20 m, 2.36 m	2.11 m, 2.23 m	2.20 m, 2.39 m	1.70 m, 2.30 m	2.03 m, 2.47 m	2.22 m, 2.70 m
11	2.02 m	2.42 m	1.96 m		2.56 q (6.9)	2.10 m	2.20 m
12	5.00 d (3.9)		5.02 d (4.5)	4.82 s	4.98 s	5.10 d (3.6)	5.13 d (3.9)
13	1.21 d (6.6)	1.39 d (7.0)	1.19 d (6.3)	1.52 s	0.96 d (6.9)	1.27 d (6.9)	1.31 d (6.9)
14	0.95 s	1.02 s	1.03 s	1.01 s	0.85 s	1.08 s	1.20 s
15	0.87 d (5.7)	0.93 d (6.5)	0.88 d (6.6)	1.02 d (6.0)	0.85 d (6.9)	1.01 d (6.6)	1.10 d (6.0)

a) Assigned by COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments. b) J values (in Hz) in parentheses.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. e-mail: yihduh@mail.nsysu.edu.tw

Table 2. ¹³C-NMR Spectral Data^{*a*} (75 MHz, in CDCl₃) of 1–7

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1	124.3	125.8	127.8	122.6	130.3	125.8	125.5
2	63.9	63.6	67.5	64.1	128.3	67.2	198.8
3	35.6	35.5	36.9	35.7	31.6	39.1	43.5
4	28.7	29.1	33.3	27.5	30.8	31.8	33.2
5	41.0	40.8	40.9	39.6	38.2	40.1	40.9
6	54.8	51.8	54.9	51.1	46.4	59.9	59.4
7	78.3	75.7	78.6	75.0	77.2	76.7	75.8
8	30.7	29.5	31.1	30.5	31.1	30.1	29.4
9	29.7	28.5	29.7	28.9	25.4	27.3	28.5
10	145.3	143.4	141.9	145.9	78.4	148.8	172.6
11	42.0	36.2	41.9	78.3	39.0	44.0	44.0
12	107.4	179.8	107.5	108.7	102.0	106.9	106.7
13	19.3	17.7	19.2	23.2	15.6	18.5	18.1
14	20.0	19.2	21.2	21.4	14.4	21.2	19.6
15	16.0	15.8	16.1	16.8	13.1	18.7	18.7

a) Assigned by DEPT, COSY, HSQC, and HMBC experiments.



Fig. 2. Selected NOESY Correlations of 1

H-12 are on one side of the molecule, while H-4, and H-11 are on the opposite side of the molecule (Fig. 2). From the aforementioned data, elongatol A can be formulated as lemnal-1(10)-ene- 2α , 12α -diol.

HR-EI-MS and NMR spectroscopic data revealed elongatol B (2) to have a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{22}O_3$. The IR spectrum showed the presence of a lactone (1742 cm^{-1}) and a secondary hydroxyl group (3530 cm⁻¹). The ¹³C-NMR features (Table 2) of 2 closely resembled those of 1 except that the resonances for the hemiacetal in 1 were replaced by those of a γ -lactone in 2. HMBC correlations from H-13 to C-6/C-11/C-12; from H-6 to C-5/C-7/C-8/C-13/C-14; from H-7 to C-5/C-6/C-8/C-9/C-11; and from H-11 to C-5/C-6/C-13 confirmed the position of the γ -lactone in 2. The relative stereochemistry of 2 was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which indicated that Me-13, Me-14, Me-15, H-7, and H-6 are on one side of the molecule, while H-4, and H-11 are on the opposite side of the molecule. Therefore, elongatol B was assigned as 2α -hydroxy-lemnal-1(10)-en-12-one on the basis of the above results.

Elongatol C (3) was assigned a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$, as indicated by HR-FAB-MS and ¹³C-NMR (Table 2). The spectroscopic data of **3** were very similar to those of **1** with the exception for the resonances and splitting pattern for the secondary hydroxyl methine at C-2. The β -configuration of hydroxy at C-2 was determined by comparison with $J_{1,2}$ of lemnacarol ($J_{1,2}=6$ Hz) and its 2-epimeric analogues ($J_{1,2}=0$ Hz).¹⁷) The α -configuration of hydroxy at C-12 was determined by comparison with $J_{1,12}$ of its 12-epimeric analogues.¹⁷) The relative stereochemistry of **3** was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which showed similar results as described for **1**. The structure of elongatol C (**3**) was thus



Fig. 3. Selected NOESY Correlations of 5

determined as lemnal-1(10)-en-2 β ,12 α -diol.

Elongatol D (4) was shown to have a molecular formula of C15H24O4 from its HR-FAB-MS and NMR spectroscopic data. The NMR features of 4 closely resembled those of 1 except that the resonances for the secondary Me-13 in 1 were replaced by those of a tertiary methyl adjacent to a tertiary hydroxyl in 4. HMBC correlations from H-13 to C-6/C-11/C-12; from H-6 to C-5/ C-13/C-14; and from H-11 to C-5/C-6/C-13 helped ascertain the position of the tertiary hydroxyl at C-12 in 4. The α -configuration of hydroxy at C-2 was determined by comparison with $J_{1,2}$ of lemnacarol and its 2-epimeric analogues.¹⁷⁾ The relative stereochemistry of 4was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which indicated that Me-14, Me-15, H-7, and H-6 are on one side of the molecule, while H-4, and Me-13 are on the opposite side of the molecule. From the these data, elongatol D (4) was formulated as lemnal-1(10)-en- 2α , 11 β , 12 β -triol.

Elongatol E (5) analyzed for $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$ from HR-FAB-MS and ¹³C-NMR spectroscopic data. The spectroscopic data of 5 were analogous to those of 1 with the exception that the resonance for the secondary hydroxyl and the trisubstituted olefin in 1 were replaced by those of a tertiary hydroxyl and a disubstituted olefin in 5. COSY correlation from H-1 to H-2 and HMBC correlations from H-1 to C-5/C-9/C-10; from H-3 to C-2/C-1; and from H-14 to C-5/C-10 helped ascertain the position of the tertiary hydroxyl and the disubstituted olefin. The relative stereochemistry of 5 was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which indicated that Me-13, Me-14, Me-15, H-12, H-6, and H-7 are on one side of the molecule, while H-4, H-11, and OH-10 are on the opposite side of the molecule (Fig. 3). The structure of elongatol E (5) was thus formulated as lemnal-1(2)-en-12 β ,10 α -diol.

Elongatol F (6) was assigned to have a molecular formula of $C_{15}H_{24}O_3$, as shown by its HR-FAB-MS, ¹³C-NMR, and DEPT spectroscopic data. Thus, four degrees of unsaturation were determined for 6. The ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR spectral data of 6 closely resembled those of armatin A isolated from the soft coral *Nephthea armata* except for the chemical shifts and coupling constants of H-1/H-2 and C-1/C-2.¹³ The β configuration of hydroxy at C-2 was determined by comparison with $J_{1,2}$ of armatin A and its 2-epimeric analogues.¹⁷) The relative stereochemistry of 6 was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which indicated that Me-13, Me-14, Me-15, H-6, and H-12 are on one side of the molecule, while H-4, H-7, and H-11 are on the opposite side of the molecule (Fig. 4). From these results, elongatol F can be formulated as (7 α H)-lemnal-1(10)-ene-2 β ,12 α -diol.

The molecular formula of elongatol G (7) was obtained from HR-FAB-MS and ¹³C-NMR (Table 2) spectroscopic data. The spectroscopic data of 7 were analogous to those of



Fig. 4. Selected NOESY Correlations of 6



Fig. 5. ¹H-NMR Chemical Shift Differences [$\delta(S)$ MTPA – $\delta(R)$ -MTPA] of the MTPA Esters

6 with the exception that the resonance for the secondary hydroxyl in **6** was replaced by that of a ketone in **7**. HMBC correlations from H-1 to C-2/C-3/C-9; from H-3 to C-2/C-1; and from H-4 to C-2/C-3/C-6 helped ascertain the position of the α , β -unsaturated ketone group. The relative stereochemistry of **7** was deduced from a 2D NOESY experiment, which showed similar results as described for **6**. The structure of elongatol G was thus assigned as 12α -hydroxy-(7α H)-lemnal-1(10)-en-2-one.

Using Mosher's method,¹⁸⁾ the absolute stereochemistry of **2** was readily defined by analysis of NMR shift data from the corresponding C-2 (R)- and (S)-MTPA esters. The significant proton chemical shift differences between the (S)- and (R)-MTPA esters **2a** and **2b** demonstrated that C-2 possessed the *S*-configuration (Fig. 5). This analysis required that **2** possessed 2*S*, 4*R*, 5*R*, 6*R*, 7*S*, and 11*S* absolute configuration.

Compounds 1 and 5 exhibited cytotoxicity against P-388 cell line with ED_{50} of 3.8 and 3.6 μ g/ml, respectively. The other compounds were not cytotoxic to P-388 line.

Experimental

General Experimental Procedures Optical rotations were determined on a JASCO DIP-181 polarimeter. IR spectra were recorded on a Hitachi 26-30 spectrophotometer. The NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance 300 NMR spectrometer at 300 MHz for ¹H and 75 MHz for ¹³C, respectively, using TMS as internal standard. HR-MS were obtained with a JEOL JMS-SX/SX 102A mass spectrometer at 70 eV. Silica gel 60 (Merck, 230—400 mesh) was used for column chromatography; precoated silica gel plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄, 0.25 mm) were used for TLC analysis.

Animal Material The soft coral *N. elongata* was collected at Green Island, off Taiwan, in September 2004, at a depth of 3 m and was stored for 2 weeks in a freezer until extraction. A voucher specimen, NSUGN-071, was deposited in the Department of Marine Biotechnology and Resources, National Sun Yat-sen University, Taiwan.

Extraction and Isolation The bodies of the soft coral *N. elongata* were freeze dried to give 1.2 kg of a solid, which was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (21×3 , overnight for each cycle) at room temperature. After removal of solvent *in vacuo*, the residue (40 g) was chromatographed over a column containing silica gel 60 using *n*-hexane–EtOAc and MeOH–EtOAc mixtures as eluting solvents. Elution by *n*-hexane–EtOAc (60:40) afforded fractions containing **1** and **5**. Elution by *n*-hexane–EtOAc (30:70) afforded fractions

containing **4**. Elution by EtOAc afforded fractions containing **6** and **7**. Compound **1** (10 mg) was further purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ (95 : 5). Compound **2** (5 mg) was further purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with *n*-hexane–acetone (85 : 15). Compound **3** (12 mg) was further purified by HPLC (LiChrosorb Si 60, 7 μ , 25×250 mm), eluting with *n*-hexane–acetone (85 : 15). Compound **4** (2 mg) was further purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ (90 : 10). Compound **5** (8 mg) was further purified by silica gel column chromatography, eluting with MeOH–CH₂Cl₂ (99 : 1). Compound **6** (2 mg) was further purified by HPLC (LiChrosorb RP-18, 7 μ , 25×250 mm), by eluting with MeOH–H₂O (52 : 48). Compound **7** (1 mg) was further purified by HPLC (LiChrosorb RP-18, 7 μ , 25×250 mm), by eluting with MeOH–H₂O (55 : 45).

Elongatol A (1): $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} - 42^{\circ}$ (c = 0.3, CHCl₃). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3460. ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-FAB-MS *m/z*: 275.1626 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₃Na: 275.1623).

Elongatol B (2): $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} - 90^{\circ} (c=0.2, \text{CHCl}_3)$. IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3530, 1742. ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-EI-MS *m/z*: 250.1566 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₃: 250.1569).

Elongatol C (3): $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 23^{\circ}$ (c=0.2, CHCl₃). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3456. ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-FAB-MS *m/z*: 275.1628 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₃Na: 275.1623).

Elongatol D (4): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 38^{\circ}$ (c=0.1, CHCl₃). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3515. ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-FAB-MS m/z: 291.1576 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₄Na: 291.1572).

Elongatol E (**5**): $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 25^\circ$ (*c*=0.2, CHCl₃). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3520. ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-FAB-MS *m*/*z*: 275.1626 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₃Na: 275.1623).

Elongatol F (6): $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} + 108^{\circ}$ (c=0.1, CHCl₃). IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3450. ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-FAB-MS m/z: 275.1622 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₄O₃Na: 275.1623).

Elongatol G (7): $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 163^{\circ}$ (*c*=0.1, CHCl₃); IR (neat) cm⁻¹: 3480, 1710. UV λ_{max} (MeOH) nm (log ε): 236 (3.68). ¹H-NMR: see Table 1. ¹³C-NMR: see Table 2. HR-FAB-MS *m/z*: 275.1620 (Calcd for C₁₅H₂₂O₃Na: 273.1467).

Preparation of (R)- and (S)-MTPA Esters (2a, 2b) of 2 To a solution of compound 2 (1.4 mg, 5.6 µmol) in pyridine (0.5 ml) at RT was added (R)-MTPA-Cl (2.0 μ l, 10.6 μ mol) and the resultant mixture was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was worked up by adding 2 ml of H₂O to give the corresponding (S)-MTPA ester 2a (0.4 mg): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz), δ: 7.40-7.66 (5H, aromatic H), 5.82 (1H, d, J=5.1 Hz, H-1), 5.34 (1H, m, H-2), 4.70 (1H, m, H-7), 3.53 (3H, s, OMe), 2.35 (1H, m, H-6), 2.36 (1H, m, H-8β), 2.35 (1H, m, H-9β), 2.21 (1H, m, H-9α), 1.93 (1H, m, H-4), 1.66 (2H, m, H-3), 1.33 (1H, m, H-8a), 1.16 (3H, d, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-13), 1.02 (3H, m, H₃-14), 0.85 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-15). Treatment of 2 (1.1 mg) in the same manner with (S)-MTPA chloride in pyridine gave the corresponding (R)-MTPA ester 2b (0.5 mg): ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz), δ: 7.41-7.65 (5H, aromatic H), 5.81 (1H, d, J=5.1 Hz, H-1), 5.28 (1H, m, H-2), 4.66 (1H, m, H-7), 3.55 (3H, s, OMe), 2.34 (1H, m, H-6), 2.20 (1H, m, H-8β), 2.31 (1H, m, H-9β), 2.19 (1H, m, H-9α), 2.00 (1H, m, H-4), 1.68 (1H, m, H-3), 1.27 (1H, m, H-8α), 1.15 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-13), 1.01 (3H, m, H₃-14), 0.87 (3H, d, J=6.6 Hz, H₃-15).

Cytotoxicity Testing P-388 cells were kindly supplied by Dr. J. M. Pezzuto, formerly of the Department of Medicinal Chemistry and Pharmacognosy, University of Illinois at Chicago; Cytotoxic assays were carried out according to the procedure described previously.¹⁶ Three concentrations (50, 5, 0.5 μ g/ml) of the tested compounds were used in the cytotoxicity assays.

Acknowledgments We thank Dr. C.-F. Dai, Institute of Oceanography, National Taiwan University, for the identification of the soft coral specimen. This work was supported by grants from the National Science Council and Ministry of Education (96C030311) of Taiwan awarded to C.-Y. Duh.

References

- Coll J. C., Bowden B. F., Tapiolas D. M., Willis R. H., *Tetrahedron*, 41, 1085–1092 (1985).
- 2) Poet S. E., Ravi B. N., Aust. J. Chem., 35, 77-83 (1982).
- Ahond A., Bowden B. F., Coll J. C., Fourneron J., Mitchell S. J., *Aust. J. Chem.*, 34, 2657–2664 (1981).
- Blackman A. J., Bowden B. F., Coll J. C., Frick B., Mahendran M., Mitchell S. J., Aust. J. Chem., 35, 1873–1880 (1982).
- Kitagawa I., Cui Z., Son B. W., Kobayashi M., Kyogoku Y., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 35, 124–135 (1987).
- 6) Bowden B. F., Coll J. C., Mitchell S. J., Aust. J. Chem., 33, 1833-

May 2007

1839 (1980).

- Handayani D., Edrada R. A., Proksch P., Wray V., Witte L., J. Nat. Prod., 60, 716—718 (1997).
- 8) Duh C.-Y., Wang S.-K., Weng Y.-L., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **41**, 1401–1404 (2000).
- Duh C.-Y., Wang S.-K., Weng Y.-L., Chiang M. Y., Dai C.-F., J. Nat. Prod., 62, 1518—1521 (1999).
- Rao M. R., Venkatesham U., Venkateswarlu Y., J. Nat. Prod., 62, 1584—1585 (1999).
- 11) Zhang W.-H., Williams I. D., Che C.-T., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **42**, 4681–4686 (2001).
- 12) Duh C.-Y., Wang, S.-K., Chu M.-J., Sheu J.-H., J. Nat. Prod., 61,

1022—1024 (1998).

- El-Gamal A. A. H., Wang S.-K., Dai C.-F., Duh C.-Y., J. Nat. Prod., 67, 1455–1458 (2004).
- 14) El-Gamal A. A. H., Wang S.-K., Dai C.-F., Chen I.-C., Duh C.-Y., J. Nat. Prod., 68, 74—77 (2005).
- 15) Geran R. I., Greenberg N. H., MacDonald M. M., Schumacher A. M., Abbott B. J., *Cancer Chemother. Rep.*, **3**, 1—91 (1972).
- 16) Hou R.-S., Duh C.-Y., Chiang M. Y., Lin C.-N., J. Nat. Prod., 58, 1126—1130 (1995).
- 17) Bowden B. F., Coll J. C., Mitchell S. J., Skelton B. W., White A. H., *Aust. J. Chem.*, 33, 2737–2747 (1980).
- 18) Dale J. A., Dull D. L., Mosher H. S., J. Org. Chem., 34, 2543 (1969).