## Cembranoids from the Soft Corals *Sinularia granosa* and *Sinularia querciformis*

Yi Lu,<sup>*a*</sup> Jui-Hsin Su,<sup>*b*</sup> Chiung-Yao HUANG,<sup>*a*</sup> Yung-Chun LIU,<sup>*b*</sup> Yao-Haur KUO,<sup>*c*</sup> Zhi-Hong WEN,<sup>*a*</sup> Chi-Hsin Hsu,<sup>*a*</sup> and Jyh-Horng SHEU<sup>\*,*a*,*d*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Marine Biotechnology and Resources, National Sun Yat-sen University; <sup>d</sup> Asia-Pacific Ocean Research Center, National Sun Yat-sen University; Kaohsiung 804, Taiwan: <sup>b</sup> Department of Biological Science and Technology, Meiho Institute of Technology; 23 Pingguang Road, Neipu Hsiang, Pingtung 912, Taiwan: and <sup>c</sup> National Research Institute of Chinese Medicine; Taipei 112, Taiwan.

Received October 19, 2009; accepted January 7, 2010; published online January 28, 2010

Two new cembranoids, namely granosolides C (1) and D (2), along with one known cembranoid 4, were isolated from the soft coral *Sinularia granosa*. Chemical investigation of *Sinularia querciformis* also afforded one new cembranoid, querciformolide E (3), along with four known cembranoids 4—7. The structures of these compounds were elucidated on the basis of their spectroscopic data. Both 4 and 5 were shown to significantly inhibit the accumulation of the pro-inflammatory inducible nitric oxide synthase protein in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophage cells.

Key words cembranoid; soft coral; anti-inflammatory activity; Sinularia granosa

During the course of our search for bioactive metabolites from marine invertebrates inhabiting Taiwanese waters, several cembrene-type diterpenoids $^{1-3}$  and norcembranoidbased metabolites<sup>4-6</sup>) have been isolated from soft corals of the genus Sinularia. We recently reported six new cembranoids querciformolides A-D and granosolides A and B, along with two known metabolites from the soft coral Sinularia querciformis and S. granosa.<sup>7)</sup> In continuation of our search for bioactive metabolites from the above two soft corals, we have further isolated eight metabolites including three new cembranoids, granosolides C and D (1, 2) and querciformolide E (3), along with four known metabolites, flexibilisolide A (4),<sup>8)</sup> flexilarin (5),<sup>9)</sup> sinulariolide (6)<sup>10)</sup> and sinulaflexiolide E (7).<sup>11)</sup> The structures of compounds 1–3 have been established by extensive spectroscopic analysis, including 2D NMR (<sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H correlation spectroscopy (COSY), heteronuclear multiple quantum coherence (HMQC), heteronuclear multiple bond connectivity (HMBC), and nuclear Overhauser effect spectroscopy (NOESY)) experiments. The cytotoxicity of compounds 1-7 against human medulloblastoma (Daoy), human breast carcinoma (MCF-7), human cervical epitheloid (HeLa), and human laryngeal (HEp 2) carcinoma cells was studied, and the ability of 1-7 to inhibit upregulation of the pro-inflammatory iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthase) and COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2) proteins in LPS (lipopolysaccharide)-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophage cells was also evaluated.

Granosolide C (1) exhibited a  $[M+Na]^+$  peak at m/z 447.2357 (Calcd for  $C_{23}H_{36}O_7Na$  447.2359) and established the molecular formula  $C_{23}H_{36}O_6$  in the HR-electrospray ionization (ESI)-MS. Thus, 1 has six degrees of unsaturation. The IR spectrum showed the presence of hydroxy (3460 cm<sup>-1</sup>) and carbonyl (1716 cm<sup>-1</sup>) groups. Furthermore, the similar <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1, 2) of 1 and 4 revealed that both compounds have the similar 14-membered rings. However, an additional methoxy group ( $\delta_C$  52.4, CH<sub>3</sub>;  $\delta_H$  3.76, s) was observed in 1. In addition, the methoxy group positioned at C-16 was confirmed by the HMBC correlation between the methoxy protons ( $\delta_H$  3.76)

and the carbonyl carbon ( $\delta_{\rm C}$  165.9, qC, C-16). In order to confirm the structure, including the stereochemistry of 1, a base-catalyzed hydrolysis of 4 was performed and the reaction was found to afford 1.<sup>8)</sup> Thus, the relative structure of 1 was established.

Granosolide D (2) showed a pseudomolecular ion peak  $[M+Na]^+$  at m/z 431.2406 in the HR-ESI-MS, corresponding to the molecular formula  $C_{23}H_{36}O_6$  and six degrees of unsaturation. The complete assignment of the <sup>1</sup>H- and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR spectroscopic data (Tables 1, 2) for compound 2 was achieved by a combination of distortionless enhancement by polarization transfer (DEPT), COSY, HMBC, and HMQC data (Fig. 1). Analysis of the <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectrum suggested that one of the four methyl groups is due to an acetoxy group resonating at  $\delta_H 2.13$  (s). The planar structure of 2 was proposed by the assistance of extensive 2D NMR study (Fig. 1). In order to confirm the structure, including the stereochemistry of 2, a base-catalyzed hydrolysis of 6 was performed and the reaction was found to afford a known compound flexibilisin B (8).<sup>8</sup> We further observed that acetylation of 8



© 2010 Pharmaceutical Society of Japan

Table 1. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Data for Compounds 1—3

	<b>1</b> <sup><i>a</i>)</sup>	<b>2</b> <sup>b)</sup>	<b>3</b> <sup>b)</sup>
1	2.84 m	2.69 m	2.90 m
2	2.01 m; 1.42 m	1.84 m; 1.56 m	2.04m; 1.37 m
3	2.84 m	2.93 dd (8.0, 5.0)	2.95 dd (10.0, 4.0)
5	2.61 dd $(14.4, 6.8)^{c}$	2.02 m	2.48 d (7.0)
	2.18 dd (14.4, 8.0)		
6	5.62 ddd (16.0, 8.0, 6.8)	1.43 m	5.50 dt (15.5, 7.0)
7	5.42 d (16.0)	5.14 dd (7.0, 7.0)	5.46 d (15.5)
9	1.52 m	2.15 m; 1.78 m	1.70 m; 1.31 m
10	1.83 m; 1.64 m	1.81 m	1.54 m; 1.48 m
11	4.95 d (10.0)	5.05 d (8.0)	4.86 d (10.0)
13	1.46 m; 1.43 m	1.53 m	1.50 m; 1.34 m
14	1.52 m	1.70 m; 1.53 m	1.81 m; 1.50 m
17	6.28 s; 5.53 s	6.29 s; 5.60 s	6.30 s; 5.54 s
18	1.40 s	1.31 s	1.35 s
19	1.26 s	1.65 s	1.28 s
20	1.14 s	1.14 s	1.15 s
OMe	3.76 s	3.76 s	3.76 s
OAc	2.11 s	2.13 s	2.11 s

a) Spectra recorded at 400 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. b) Spectra recorded at 500 MHz in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. c) J values (Hz) in parentheses.

Table 2. <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Data for Compounds 1—3

	<b>1</b> <sup><i>a</i>)</sup>	$2^{b)}$	<b>3</b> <sup>b)</sup>
1	37.1 (CH) <sup>c)</sup>	38.2 (CH)	35.4 (CH)
2	31.6 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	34.2 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	29.3 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
3	59.8 (CH)	60.9 (CH)	58.8 (CH)
4	61.4 (C)	59.7 (C)	61.2 (C)
5	42.1 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	37.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	39.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
6	123.6 (CH)	23.2 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	123.3 (CH)
7	138.3 (CH)	123.8 (CH)	140.1 (CH)
8	73.5 (C)	135.4 (C)	73.3 (C)
9	38.3 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	33.6 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	38.0 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
10	24.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	26.4 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	24.4 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
11	79.3 (CH)	76.3 (CH)	79.8 (CH)
12	74.2 (C)	74.3 (C)	74.3 (C)
13	36.1 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	37.2 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	34.4 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
14	26.1 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	26.2 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	23.2 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
15	141.4 (C)	143.1 (C)	142.1 (C)
16	165.9 (C)	167.4 (C)	167.4 (C)
17	123.7 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	124.5 (CH <sub>2</sub> )	124.6 (CH <sub>2</sub> )
18	18.7 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	17.0 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	18.3 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
19	28.9 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	17.8 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	30.2 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
20	24.2 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	25.1 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	23.3 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
OMe	52.4 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	52.0 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	52.0 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
OAc	22.0 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	21.0 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	21.1 (CH <sub>3</sub> )
	170.6 (C)	170.7 (C)	172.1 (C)

a) Spectra recorded at 100 MHz in  $CDCl_3$ . b) Spectra recorded at 125 MHz in  $CDCl_3$ . c) Deduced from DEPT.

gave a product which was found to be identical with 2 by comparison of the physical and spectroscopic data. Thus, the relative structure of 2 was determined.

Querciformolide E (3) was isolated as a white powder and showed a  $[M+Na]^+$  ion peak in the HR-ESI-MS corresponding to the molecular formula  $C_{23}H_{36}O_7$ , the same as that of 1. Furthermore, it was found that the NMR data of 3 were very similar to those of 1 (Tables 1, 2). By analysis of 2D NMR (<sup>1</sup>H–<sup>1</sup>H COSY, HMQC, and HMBC) correlations, compound 3 was shown to possess the same molecular framework as that of 1. The *J* values for both H-6 and H-7 (15.5 Hz) further confirmed the *E*-configuration of the 6,7-double bond. The relative configurations of the six chiral centers at C-1, C-3, C-4, C-8, C-11, and C-12 in 3 were elucidated by detailed



Fig. 1. Key <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY and HMBC Correlations for 2



Fig. 2. Selective NOESY Correlations of 3

analysis of NOE correlations, as shown in Fig. 2. It was found that H-1 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  2.90, m) showed an NOE interaction with  $H_3$ -18 ( $\delta_H$  1.35, s), and H-6 ( $\delta_H$  5.50, dd, J=15.5, 7.0 Hz) showed NOE interactions with all of H-9 $\alpha$  ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  1.31, m), H<sub>3</sub>-18, and H<sub>3</sub>-19 ( $\delta$  1.28, s), and H-9 $\alpha$  also showed NOE interactions with H<sub>3</sub>-19 and H-11 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  4.86, d, J=10.0 Hz), while H-7 ( $\delta_{\rm H}$  5.46, d, J=15.5 Hz) was NOE correlated with H-3  $(\delta_{\rm H} 2.95, \text{ dd}, J=10.0, 4.0 \text{ Hz})$ . Therefore, H-1, H<sub>3</sub>-18, H<sub>3</sub>-19, and H-11 are situated on the  $\alpha$ -face, and in contrast H-3 and the acetoxy group at C-11 should be positioned on the  $\beta$ face. Furthermore, the NOE interactions found between H<sub>2</sub>-20 and both H-13a and H-13b, but not between H<sub>3</sub>-20 and H-11, assigned the  $\alpha$ -orientation of the hydroxy group of C-12. From the above observations and further analysis of other NOE interactions (Fig. 2), the 1R\*, 3S\*, 4S\* and 8S\* relative configurations of **3** were established.

In order to explore the biological activities of the isolated compounds, the cytotoxicity and anti-inflammatory activities of these compounds were evaluated. The cytotoxicity of compounds 1-7 was tested against the proliferation of a limited panel of cancer cell lines, including Daoy, MCF-7, HeLa and HEp2 cells. The results showed that all of the compounds were not cytotoxic toward the above cancer cells (IC<sub>50</sub>'s >20  $\mu$ g/ml). The inhibiting up-regulation of proinflammatory iNOS and COX-2 proteins of LPS-stimulated RAW264.7 macrophage cells was further evaluated using immunoblot analysis. At a concentration of  $10 \,\mu\text{M}$ , both 4 and 5 were found to significantly reduce the levels of iNOS protein to  $19.4 \pm 4.5\%$  and  $13.8 \pm 2.1\%$ , respectively, relative to the control cells stimulated with LPS only. At the same concentration, metabolites 1-7 did not inhibit the COX-2 expression by LPS treatment (Fig. 3).

## Experimental

Melting points were determined using a Fisher-Johns melting point apparatus and were uncorrected. Optical rotations were measured on a JASCO DIP-1000 digital polarimeter. UV spectra were recorded on a JASCO V650



Fig. 3. Effect of Compounds 1—7 on iNOS and COX-2 Proteins Expression of RAW264.7 Macrophage Cells by Immunoblot Analysis

Under the same experimental condition CAPE (caffeic acid phenylethyl ester,  $10 \,\mu$ M) reduced the levels of the iNOS and COX-2 to  $2.5 \pm 3.7\%$  and  $67.2 \pm 13.4\%$ , respectively. \* Significantly different from LPS alone stimulated group (p<0.05). *a*) Stimulated with LPS. *b*) Stimulated with LPS in the presence of 1—7 ( $10 \,\mu$ M).

spectrophotometer. IR spectra were recorded on a JASCO FT/IR-4100 infrared spectrophotometer. ESI-MS were obtained with a Bruker APEX II mass spectrometer. The NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity INOVA 500 FT-NMR at 500 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 125 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C or on a Varian 400 MR FT-NMR at 400 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H and 100 MHz for <sup>13</sup>C, respectively, in CDCl<sub>3</sub> using TMS as an internal standard. Silica gel 60 (Merck, 230— 400 mesh) was used for column chromatography. Precoated silica gel plates (Merck, Kieselgel 60 F<sub>254</sub>, 0.2 mm) were used for analytical TLC. High-performance liquid chromatography was performed on a Hitachi L-7100 HPLC apparatus with a Merck Hibar Si-60 column (250×21 mm, 7  $\mu$ m).

Animal Material Sinularia querciformis (specimen no. 20040112-7) and S. granosa (specimen no. 20040112-2) were collected by hand by scuba diving off the coast of Pingtung, located on the southernmost tip of Taiwan in January, 2004, at a depth of 5—10 m, and stored in a freezer until extraction. Two voucher samples were deposited at the Department of Marine Biotechnology and Resources, National Sun Yat-sen University.

**Extraction and Separation** The sliced tissues of the soft coral *Sinularia granosa* (0.8 kg, wet wt) were exhaustively extracted with EtOH ( $11 \times 5$ ). The combined EtOH extract was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H<sub>2</sub>O. The EtOAc extract (8.7 g) was chromatographed over silica gel by column chromatography and eluted with EtOAc in *n*-hexane (0—100%, stepwise) then with MeOH in EtOAc (5--50%, stepwise) to yield 26 fractions. Fraction 17, eluted with *n*-hexane–EtOAc (1:1), was further separated by normal phase HPLC using *n*-hexane–acetone (6:1) to yield 2 (1.8 mg). Fraction 19, eluted with *n*-hexane–acetone (6:1) to afford 1 (3.0 mg) and 4 (8.9 mg).

Sliced tissues of the soft coral Sinularia querciformis (0.9 kg, wet wt) were exhaustively extracted with EtOH ( $11 \times 6$ ). The combined EtOH extract was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was partitioned between EtOAc and H<sub>2</sub>O, and the EtOAc extract (9.4 g) subjected to column chromatography on silica gel and eluted with EtOAc in n-hexane (0-100%, stepwise) to yield 15 fractions. Fraction 9, eluted with nhexane-EtOAc (1:1), was further purified over silica gel using *n*hexane-acetone (4:1) to afford 3 subfractions. Subfraction 2 was also separated by normal phase HPLC using *n*-hexane-acetone (7:1) to afford 6 (32.3 mg) and 7 (22.2 mg). Fraction 10, eluted with n-hexane-EtOAc (1:2), was purified on a silica gel column using n-hexane-acetone (2:1) followed by normal phase HPLC, using *n*-hexane-acetone (4:1) to afford 4 (11.4 mg) and 5 (5.1 mg). Fraction 11, eluted with n-hexane-EtOAc (1:3), was rechromatographed on a silica gel column using n-hexane-acetone (1:1) followed by purification by normal phase HPLC, using n-hexane-acetone (2:1) to afford 3 (1.8 mg).

Granosolide C (1): Colorless oil;  $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 11.8$  (c=0.53, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat)  $v_{max}$  3460, 2968, 2929, 2859, 1716, 1626, 1440, 1375 and 1235 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  216 (log  $\varepsilon=3.8$ ); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR

(CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz), see Tables 1 and 2; ESI-MS m/z: 447 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 447.2357 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 447.2359).

Granosolide D (2): Colorless oil;  $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} - 101.7$  (*c*=0.18, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat)  $v_{max}$  3440, 2966, 1721, 1376 and 1242 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  213 (log  $\varepsilon$ =3.8); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz), see Tables 1 and 2; ESI-MS *m/z*: 431 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; HR-ESI-MS *m/z*: 431.2406 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Na, 431.2409).

Querciformolide E (3): White powder; mp 70—72 °C;  $[\alpha]_{D}^{25} - 17.1$  (c= 0.14, CHCl<sub>3</sub>); IR (neat)  $\nu_{max}$  3448, 2926, 1738, 1710, 1456, 1375, and 1242 cm<sup>-1</sup>; UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  211 (log  $\varepsilon$ =3.9); <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) and <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 125 MHz), see Tables 1 and 2; ESI-MS m/z: 447 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>; HR-ESI-MS m/z: 447.2361 [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> (Calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>36</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na, 447.2359).

**Hydrolysis of 4** A solution of 4 (8.0 mg) was dissolved in 10% methanolic NaOH solution (1.0 ml), and the mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 12 h. The mixture was then neutralized with diluted HCl (0.1 N) and evaporated, and the residue was extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub> (2.0 ml×3). The CHCl<sub>3</sub>-soluble layers were combined, dried over anhydrous NaSO<sub>4</sub> and evaporated. The residue was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc–*n*-hexane (1:3) to yield 1 (1.5 mg, 17.3%).

**Hydrolysis of 6** By using the same procedure as for the preparation of **8**, the reaction of **6** (5.0 mg) with 10% methanolic NaOH solution (1 ml) afforded a crude product which was subjected to column chromatography over silica gel using EtOAc–n-hexane (1 : 1) to yield **8** (1.8 mg, 32.8%).

Acetylation of 8 A solution of 8 (1.8 mg) in pyridine (0.1 ml) was mixed with  $Ac_2O$  (0.1 ml), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. After evaporation of excess reagent, the residue was subjected to column chromatography over Si gel using *n*-hexane–acetone (6:1) to yield the diacetyl derivative 2 (1.5 mg, 75.0%).

**Cytotoxicity Testing** Cell lines were purchased from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC). Cytotoxicity assays of compounds 1-7 were performed using the MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-tetrazolium bromide] colorimetric method.<sup>12,13</sup>

*In Vitro* Anti-inflammatory Assay Macrophage (RAW264.7) cell line was purchased from ATCC. *In vitro* anti-inflammatory activities of compounds 1—4 and 6—8 were measured by examining the inhibition of LPS (lipopolysaccharide)-stimulated upregulation of iNOS (inducible nitric oxide synthetase) and COX-2 (cyclooxygenase-2) proteins in macrophage cells using Western blot analysis.<sup>7,14,15</sup>

Acknowledgment Financial support was provided by Ministry of Education (96C031702) and National Science Council of Taiwan (NSC 95-2113-M-110-011-MY3) awarded to J.-H. Sheu.

## References

- Su J.-H., Ahmed A. F., Sung P.-J., Chao C.-H., Kuo Y.-H., Sheu J.-H., J. Nat. Prod., 69, 1134–1139 (2006).
- Ahmed A. F., Wen Z.-H., Su J.-H., Hsieh Y.-T., Wu Y.-C., Hu W.-P., Sheu J.-H., J. Nat. Prod., 71, 179–185 (2008).
- Ahmed A. F., Tai S.-H., Wen Z.-H., Su J.-H., Wu Y.-C., Hu W.-P., Sheu J.-H., J. Nat. Prod., 71, 946–951 (2008).
- Tseng Y.-J., Ahmed A. F., Dai C.-F., Chiang M. Y., Sheu J.-H., Org. Lett., 7, 3813–3816 (2005).
- Ahmed A. F., Shiue R.-T., Wang G.-H., Dai C.-F., Kuo Y.-H., Sheu J.-H., *Tetrahedron*, 59, 7337–7344 (2003).
- 6) Ahmed A. F., Su J.-H., Kuo Y.-H., Sheu J.-H., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **67**, 2079–2082 (2004).
- Lu Y., Huang C.-Y., Lin Y.-F., Wen Z.-H., Su J.-H., Kuo Y.-H., Chiang M. Y., Sheu J.-H., *J. Nat. Prod.*, **71**, 1754–1759 (2008).
- Su J.-H., Lin Y.-F., Lu Y., Yeh H.-C., Wang W.-H., Fan T.-T., Sheu J.-H., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 57, 1189–1192 (2009).
- Lin Y.-S., Chen C.-H., Liaw C.-C., Chen Y.-C., Kuo Y.-H., Shen Y.-C., *Tetrahedron*, 65, 9157—9764 (2009).
- Kashman Y., Bodner M., Loya Y., Benayahu Y., Israel J. Chem., 16, 1-3 (1977).
- Wen T., Ding Y., Deng Z., Ofwegen L., Proksch P., Lin W., J. Nat. Prod., 71, 1133–1140 (2008).
- Alley M. C., Scudiero D. A., Monks A., Hursey M. L., Czerwinski M. J., Fine D. L., Abbott B. J., Mayo J. G., Shoemaker R. H., Boyd M. R., *Cancer Res.*, 48, 589–601 (1988).
- Scudiero D. A., Shoemaker R. H., Paull K. D., Monks A., Tierney S., Nofziger T. H., Currens M. J., Seniff D., Boyd M. R., *Cancer Res.*, 48, 4827–4833 (1988).
- 14) Jean Y.-H., Chen W.-F., Sung C.-S., Duh C.-Y., Huang S.-Y., Lin C.-S., Tai M.-H., Tzeng S.-F., Wen Z.-H., *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, **158**, 713—725 (2009).
- 15) Jean Y.-H., Chen W.-F., Duh C.-Y., Huang S.-Y., Hsu C.-H., Lin C.-S., Sung C.-S., Chen I.-M., Wen Z.-H., *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, **578**, 323– 331 (2008).