Tubiferic Acid, a New 9,10-Secocycloartane Triterpenoid Acid Isolated from the Myxomycete *Tubulifera arachnoidea*

Yukihito Ippongi,^a Takashi Ohtsuki,^a Kazufumi Toume,^a Midori A. Arai,^a Yukinori Yamamoto,^b and Masami Ishibashi^{*,a}

^a Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Chiba University; 1–33 Yayoi-cho, Inage-ku, Chiba 263–8522, Japan: and ^b Yamamoto Laboratory; 1010–53 Ohtsu-ko, Kochi 781–5102, Japan.

Received September 11, 2010; accepted November 8, 2010; published online November 9, 2010

Tubiferic acid (1), a new triterpenoid acid having a 2,6-dimethyl-4,5-dihydroxy-2-hexenoic acid moiety as a side chain, was isolated from field-collected fruit bodies of the myxomycete *Tubulifera arachnoidea*, and its structure was elucidated by spectral data. Tubiferic acid (1) had the same unique 9,10-secocycloartane carbon skeleton as tubiferal A (2).

Key words myxomycete; Tubulifera arachnoidea; triterpenoid; 9,10-secocycloartane

During our search for bioactive natural products from myxomycetes,¹⁾ we have recently isolated a number of new bioactive secondary metabolites such as a chlorinated polyene-pyron²⁾ and a naphthoquinone pigment.³⁾ In 2004 we reported isolation of a cytotoxic triterpenoid aldehyde lactone, named tubiferal A (**2**), having a unique triterpenoid carbon skeleton with a consecutive 6-7-6-5 ring system, from field-collected fruit bodies of *Tubifera dimorphotheca*.⁴⁾ We recently investigated field-collected fruit bodies of *Tubulifera arachnoidea* (=*Tubifera ferruginosa*), and here we describe the isolation and structure elucidation of a new triterpenoid acid, named tubiferic acid (**1**). This compound (**1**), with a 2,6-dimethyl-4,5-dihydroxy-2-hexenoic acid moiety as a side chain, had the same unique triterpenoid carbon skeleton as tubiferal A (**2**).

Results and Discussion

Fruit bodies of the myxomycete *Tubulifera arachnoidea* were extracted with MeOH, and the EtOAc-silane fraction of the MeOH extract was subjected to octadecyl silane (ODS) column chromatography to give a new compound, tubiferic acid (1), in 0.016% yield.

The molecular formula of 1 was suggested to be $C_{30}H_{46}O_5$ by high resolution-electrospray ionization (HR-ESI)-MS data $[m/z \ 485.3294 \ (M-H)^-, \ \Delta \ +2.2 \text{ mmu}]$. Compound 1 showed IR absorption bands at 3410 and 1700 cm⁻¹, implying the presence of hydroxyl and carboxyl groups. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of 1 in CD₃OD (Table 1) showed signals due to one secondary methyl ($\delta_H \ 0.93$, d, J=6.6 Hz) and five tertiary methyl groups at ($\delta_H \ 0.77, \ 0.78, \ 0.91, \ 1.04, \ 1.89$), three sp^3 oxymethines ($\delta_H \ 3.39, \ 3.50, \ 4.30$), and two sp^2 methines ($\delta_H \ 5.23, \ 6.66$). The ¹³C-NMR spectrum revealed signals of six olefinic carbons ($\delta_C \ 121.7, \ 129.6, \ 132.1, \ 137.8, \ 138.3, \ 143.3$) and one carboxyl group ($\delta_C \ 172.2$), thus accounting for four out of eight unsaturation degrees. The remaining four were therefore ascribable to four rings.

Analysis of the 2D-NMR data of **1** (Fig. 1) showed the presence of three partial structures, **A**, **B**, and **C**. The ¹H–¹H correlation spectroscopy (COSY) spectrum showed proton connectivities for H₂-1/H₂-2/H-3, H₂-6/H₂-7/H-8, and H-11/H₂-12 for the partial structure **A**, and a proton network for H₂-15/H₂-16/H-17/H-20(H₃-21)/H-22/H-23/H-24 in partial structure **C** was also suggested from the ¹H–¹H COSY spec-



Table 1. ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR Spectral Data of Tubiferic Acid (1) in CD₃OD

Position	$\delta_{_{ m H}}(J ext{ in Hz})$	$\delta_{ m C}$
1	2.15 (m), 2.00 (m)	30.8
2	1.66 (m, α -H), 1.38 (m, β -H)	28.3
3	3.39 (dd, 10.9, 3.4)	76.9
4		40.9
5		138.3
6	2.36 (m, α -H), 2.26 (m, β -H)	28.1
7	1.47 (m), 1.57 (m)	26.5
8	2.21 (m)	47.5
9		137.8
10		129.6
11	5.23 (br d, 5.0)	121.7
12	1.93 (br d, 5.0, α -H), 2.14 (m, β -H)	38.8
13		45.9
14		48.6
15	1.38 (m) (2H)	34.4
16	1.67 (m), 1.96 (m)	27.6
17	2.07 (m)	48.0
18	0.77 (s) (3H)	15.3
19	2.64 (d, 14.0), 2.74 (d, 14.0)	42.9
20	1.91 (m)	37.6
21	0.93 (d, 6.6) (3H)	12.0
22	3.50 (dd, 9.0, 1.4)	76.5
23	4.30 (t, 9.0)	69.0
24	6.66 (dd, 9.0, 1.4)	143.3
25		132.1
26		172.2
27	1.89 d (1.4) (3H)	13.4
28	1.04 s (3H)	25.4
29	0.91 s (3H)	20.7
30	0.78 s (3H)	18.5



Fig. 1. Three Partial Structures (A—C) and Key 2D-NMR Data for Compound 1





Fig. 2. Connection of Three Partial Structures (A-C) by HMBC Correlations

trum. The heteronuclear multiple bond connectivity (HMBC) spectrum of **1** revealed the key correlations for H₂-1/C-10, H₂-1/C-5, H₃-28/C-3, H₃-28/C-4, H₃-28/C-5, H₃-29/C-3, H₃-29/C-4, H₂-6/C-4, H₂-6/C-8, H₂-6/C-10, H₂-19/C-9, H₂-19/C-10, H₂-19/C-11, H-11/C-8, and H-11/C-19, leading to the partial structure **A**. The HMBC correlations for H₃-18/C-13, H₃-18/C-14, H₃-30/C-13, and H₃-30/C-14 suggested the partial structure **B**, while the HMBC correlations for H₃-21/C-17, H₃-21/C-20, H₃-21/C-22, H-22/C-21, H-22/C-24, H-23/C-24, H-23/C-25, H-24/C-26, H-24/C-27, H₃-27/C-24, H₃-27/C-25, and H₃-27/C-26 corroborated the partial structure **C**.

These three partial structures were then connected by further analysis of the HMBC spectral data, as shown in Fig. 2. Partial structures **A** and **B** were connected between C-12 and C-13 positions and between C-8 and C-14 positions on the basis of the HMBC correlations observed for H₃-18/C-12, H₃-30/C-8, and H-11/C-13, where as partial structures **B** and **C** were connected at C-14 and C-15 positions and at C-13 and C-17 positions by HMBC cross peaks for H₃-18/C-17, H₃-30/C-15, and H-17/C-13. HMBC correlation observed from H-8 to C-15 also supported the connection of these partial structures, suggesting that C-8 in partial structure **A** was correlated with C-15 in partial structure **C** through C-14 in partial structure **B**.

From the results described above, the whole planar structure of tubiferic acid was constructed as 1, which possesses the same carbon skeleton with a 6-7-6-5 ring system contained in tubiferal A (2); this carbon skeleton may correspond to a 9,10-secocycloartane.^{5,6)}

The hydroxyl group on the C-3 position of **1** was suggested to be equatorially oriented, since the H-3 signal was observed as a doublet of doublet (J=10.9, 3.4 Hz), implying that H-3 was α -axial and coupled with the 2 β -axial hydrogen of C-2 with a large coupling constant (10.9 Hz). This assignment was also supported by nuclear Overhauser effect (NOE) observations from H-3 to H-2 α ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.66) and H₃-28 (α -equatorial methyl group attached on C-4) as shown in Fig. 3a. The



Fig. 3. Assignment of NOE Correlations Observed for Compound **1** Stereochemical relationship between A/B and C/D rings is arbitrary.

geometry of the $\Delta^{24,25}$ -double bond was assigned as Z on the basis of the high-field resonance of the C-27 methyl carbon $(\delta_{\rm C} 13.4)^{7)}$ as well as the NOE correlation observed from H₃-27 $(\delta_{\rm H} 1.89)$ to H-23 $(\delta_{\rm H} 4.30)$. NOE correlations observed for other positions were assigned as shown in Fig. 3, on which bases we propose that the stereochemistry of C/D rings of 1 was parallel to that of tubiferal A (2), although the stereochemistry of a diol moiety in the side chain of 1 remains undefined. Since we could not obtain the NOE correlations between A/B and C/D rings, their stereochemical relationship is arbitrary.

Experimental

General Optical rotations were measured with a JASCO P-1020 polarimeter. IR spectra were measured on ATR in a JASCO FT-IR 230 spectrophotometer. UV spectra were measured in a Shimadzu UV mini-1240 spectrometer. NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL JNM-A400 and JEOL JNM-ECP600 spectrometers with a deuterated solvent, the chemical shift of which was used as an internal standard. HR-ESI-MS were obtained on an Exactive (Thermo Scientific, Japan).

Organisms Fruit bodies of *Tubulifera arachnoidea* were collected and identified by Y.Y. in Konan-shi, Kochi Prefecture, Japan, in July 2008. A voucher specimen (#31366) is maintained by Y.Y. (Yamamoto Lab., Kochi, Japan).

Extraction and Isolation Air-dried fruiting bodies of Tubulifera arachnoidea (30.1 g) were extracted with MeOH (100 ml×3), and the combined MeOH extracts (1.24 g) suspended in 10% aqueous MeOH (100 ml) were partitioned with hexane (1300 ml×3), EtOAc (100 ml×3) and *n*-BuOH $(100 \text{ ml} \times 3)$ to give four fractions, a hexane layer (102 mg), EtOAc layer (211 mg), n-BuOH layer (346 mg), and water layer (612 mg). The EtOAcsoluble fraction (193 mg), which was positive for anisaldehyde reagent by TLC examination, was subjected to ODS column chromatography $(2.0 \times$ 27.5 cm, Chromatorex ODS C18; Fuji Silysia Chemical Ltd., Japan) eluted with 70-100% MeOH in water to give compound 1 (4.8 mg) in the fraction eluted with 83% MeOH in water. By TLC examination using anisaldehyde reagent as well as crude ¹H-NMR spectral analysis, α -spinasterol⁸ (3.7 mg) was obtained from less polar fraction of the same ODS column eluted with MeOH/CHCl₂ (80:20). From the *n*-BuOH soluble fraction, D-trehalose⁹⁾ (9.0 mg) was isolated by Sephadex LH-20 column chromatography (14 \times 620 mm, 100% MeOH).

Tubiferic Acid (1): Colorless solid; $[\alpha]_D^{19} + 19.5 \ (c=0.5, \text{ MeOH}); \text{ IR } v_{\text{max}}$ (ATR) 3410, 2940, 1700, 1650, 1570, 1460, and 1380 cm⁻¹; ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data in Table 1; HR-ESI-MS *m/z* 485.3294 [M–H]⁻, Calcd for $C_{30}H_{45}O_5$, 485.3272.

Acknowledgements This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (JSPS).

References and Notes

- 1) Ishibashi M., Yakugaku Zasshi, 127, 1369-1381 (2007).
- Shintani A., Ohtsuki T., Yamamoto Y., Hakamatsuka T., Kawahara N., Goda Y., Ishibashi M., *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 50, 3189–3190 (2009).
- Shintani A., Yamazaki H., Yamamoto Y., Ahmed F., Ishibashi M., Chem. Pharm. Bull., 57, 894–895 (2009).
- Kamata K., Onuki H., Hirota H., Yamamoto Y., Hayashi M., Komiyama K., Sato M., Ishibashi M., *Tetrahedron*, **60**, 9835–9839 (2004).
- 5) The carbon skeletons of 1 and 2 were the same as that of acerinol,

which was an artificially generated compound from a cycloartanetriterpene by treatment with mineral acid: Kusano G., Uchida H., Murakami Y., Sakurai N., Takemoto T., *Yakugaku Zasshi*, **96**, 321—325 (1976).

6) The fission of the cyclopropane ring for acerinol⁵⁾ was likely to be assisted by 3,10-epoxy ring formation, although it was previously reported that an acerinol-related compound was isolated from *Cimicifuga heracleifolia* without acid treatment: Li J. X., Kadota S., Hattori

M., Yoshimachi S., Shiro M., Oogami N., Mizuno H., Namba T., Chem. Pharm. Bull., **41**, 832-841 (1993).

- Carey L., Clough J. M., Pattenden G., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1, 1983, 3005–3009 (1983).
- 8) Furuya T., Orihara Y., Tsuda Y., Phytochemistry, 29, 2539-2543 (1990).
- Matsuura H., Asakawa C., Kurimoto M., Mizutani J., Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem., 66, 1576—1578 (2002).