A Novel Type of Long-range Spin Coupling across Five Single Bonds¹

By KAZUO TORI and MASAKO OHTSURU

(Shionogi Research Laboratory, Shionogi & Co., Ltd., Fukushima-ku, Osaka, Japan)

In recent years, a number of types of long-range spin coupling have been reported and discussed.² In the course of n.m.r. studies of bridged ring compounds, we have found a novel type of longrange spin coupling across five single bonds in the 100 Mc./sec. spectrum of endo-tricyclo[3,2,1,0^{2,4}]oct-6-ene (I),³ which was taken with a Varian HA-100 spectrometer in the frequency-swept and external Me Si-locked mode as shown in Figure 1(a). To confirm the assignment of the signals, we made n.m.d.r. and n.m.t.r. experiments using two Hewlett-Packard HP-200ABR audio-oscillators and an HP-5212A electronic counter. On double irradiation at the frequency of the signal of H-6 (H-7), the downfield half of an AB-type quartet (τ 8.24 and 8.33), further split into multiplets, became somewhat sharper as shown in Figure 1(b). This fact results from the disappearance of the long-range spin couplings of H-8a to H-6 and H-7.2a Thus the signals at τ 8.24 and 8.33 were assigned to those of H-8a and H-8s, respectively. Double irradiation on H-1 and H-5 $(\tau 7.27)$ changes the signals of H-8a, H-8s, and H-2 (H-4) into those shown in Figure 1(c). It is quite certain from the coupling constants of protons on a cyclopropane ring that the multiplet at τ 9.46 and the doublet of triplets at τ 9.66 arise from H-3x and H-3n, respectively. Since it became highly possible that there is a long-range spin coupling between H-3x and H-8s in view of the spectra shown in Figures 1(a) and 1(c), we further made spin-decoupling experiments to confirm this finding. Double irradiation on H-8s and H-2 (H-4) gave the spectra shown in Figures 1(d) and 1(e), respectively. On triple irradiation at



the frequencies of H-1 (H-5) and H-3x, the signals of H-8a and H-8s collapse to an clear AB-type quartet as shown in Figure 1(f). Therefore, the existence of the long-range spin coupling of $2\cdot3$ c./sec. between H-3x and H-8s has been confirmed. On the other hand, all attempts to find out a similar coupling between the bridgemethylene protons and the methylene protons on



(a) N.m.r. spectrum of endo-tricyclo[3,2,1,0²,4]-oct-6-ene in carbon tetrachloride (5%) at 100 Mc./sec. (b) N.m.d.r. spectrum irradiated on H-1 and H-5. (d) N.m.d.r. spectrum irradiated on H-1 and H-5. (d) N.m.d.r. spectrum irradiated on H-8s. (e) N.m.d.r spectrum irradiated on H-2 and H-4. (f) N.m.t.r. spectrum irradiated on H-3x, and H-1 and H-5.

the cyclopropane ring in tricyclo $[3,2,1,0^{2,4}]$ -exo-oct-6-ene (II)⁴ were unsuccessful.

This surprisingly large value of the long-range coupling across five single bonds in (I) can result from the transmission through the double "zigzag" paths of σ -bonds between the two protons. Probably, the intervening cyclopropane ring also plays a significant role. Similarly, unexpectedly large values of long-range couplings have been observed in the spectra of several bicyclo[2,1,1]hexane derivatives (III),⁵ cyclohexa-1,4-dienes (IV and V),⁶ and bicyclo-[1,1,0]butane and -[1,1,1]pentane derivatives⁷ (VI and VII). These large values can also be ascribed to the double or triple path mechanism.^{2b,6,8}

(Received, October 25th, 1966; Com. 822.)

¹ Previous paper: see K. Tori, K. Aono, K. Kitahonoki, R. Muneyuki, Y. Takano, H. Tanida, and T. Tsuji, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1966, 2921.

² For leading references, see (a) S. Sternhell, *Rev. Pure Appl. Chem. (Australia)*, 1964, 14, 15; (b) M. Barfield, J. Chem. Phys., 1964, 41, 3825; (c) A. Rassat, C. W. Jefford, J. M. Lehn, and B. Waegell, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1964, 233. ³ (a) K. B. Wiberg and W. J. Bartley, *L. Amag. Chem.* Soc. 1960, 82, 6325; (b) C. L. Chess and K. D. Krantski, *L. Amag. Chem.* Soc. 1960, 82, 6325; (b) C. L. Chess, and K. D. Krantski, *L. Amag. Chem.* Soc. 1960, 82, 6325; (b) C. L. Chess, and K. D. Krantski, *L. Amag. Chem.* Soc. 1960, 82, 6325; (c) A. Rassat, C. W. Jefford, J. M. Lehn, and B. Waegell, *Tetrahedron Letters*, 1964, 233.

³ (a) K. B. Wiberg and W. J. Bartley, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1960, **82**, 6375; (b) G. L. Closs and K. D. Krantz, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **31**, 638. We thank Drs. H. Tanida and T. Tsuji of this laboratory for supplying us with this compound.

⁴ H. E. Simmons and R. D. Smith, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 4256. We thank Dr. H. Iwamura of Tokyo University for a generous gift of this compound.

⁵ (a) J. Meinwald and A. Lewis, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1961, 83, 2769; (b) K. B. Wiberg, B. R. Lowry, and B. J. Nist, *ibid.*, 1962, 84, 1594.

⁶L. J. Durham, J. Studebaker, and M. J. Perkins, Chem. Comm., 1965, 456.

⁷ K. B. Wiberg, G. M. Lampman, R. P. Ciula, D. S. Connor, P. Schertler, and J. Lavanish, *Tetrahedron*, 1965, 21, 2749.

⁸ We are indebted to Dr. J. M. Lehn of Université de Strasbourg, who gave us valuable information.

The following papers were originally published in Chem. Comm. 1966, 810, 812. Unfortunately a block was accidentally omitted, which renders both papers unintelligible. We are therefore reproducing the two papers with all three blocks of formulae.