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The question whether the dibenzoheptafulvenes ( $\mathbf{I}$ ) are planar or not has been discussed on various occasions, but no final answer has yet been put forward. ${ }^{2,3}$ This is particularly true for the case of the tetrabenzoheptafulvalene (II). ${ }^{4-6}$ Amongst the methods which might lead to a decision, we have studied the n.m.r. spectrum of (II). This

(I)
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spectrum $\dagger$ is composed of four multiplets and one singlet as follows: (a) quartet: $6.535,6.550,6 \cdot 610$, and 6.625 p.p.m.; $J_{1} 1.5, J_{2} 6.0, J_{3} 1.5 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$. (b) sextet: $6.800,6.815,6.875,6.890,6.947$, and 6.960 p.p.m.; $J_{1}$ 1.5, $J_{2} 6 \cdot 0, J_{3} \mathrm{I} \cdot 3, J_{4} 5 \cdot 9, J_{5} 1 \cdot 3$ c./sec. (c) sextet: $6 \cdot 975,6 \cdot 990,7 \cdot 050,7 \cdot 063,7 \cdot 122$, and $7 \cdot 135$ p.p.m.; $J_{1} \mathrm{I} \cdot 5, J_{2} 6 \cdot 0, J_{3} 1 \cdot 3, J_{4} 5 \cdot 9, J_{5} \mathrm{I} \cdot 3$ c. $/ \mathrm{sec}$. (d) singlet: 7.085 p.p.m. (e) triplet: $7 \cdot 253$, $7 \cdot 310$, and $7 \cdot 326$ p.p.m.; $J_{2} 5 \cdot 7, J_{3} 1 \cdot 6 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$. (this multiplet is in reality a quartet, the fourth peak at
$7 \cdot 238-7 \cdot 240$ p.p.m. being hidden by the absorption due to $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ). The quartets (a) and (e) of type AB represent four hydrogen atoms which have only one neighbour in the ortho-position, H-1, -4, -5, -8 (and $\left.\mathrm{H}-1^{\prime},-4^{\prime},-5^{\prime},-8^{\prime}\right)$. The sextets (b) and (c) of type $\mathrm{AB}_{2}$ correspond to four hydrogen atoms which possess two ortho-hydrogen atoms each, H-2, -3, -6, -7 (and $\mathrm{H}-2^{\prime},-3^{\prime},-6^{\prime},-7^{\prime}$ ). The singlet (d) represents the two other (equivalent) hydrogen atoms, H-9, $-10\left(\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{H}-9^{\prime}, 10^{\prime}\right) .{ }^{7}$

The quartet at higher field [quartet (a), centre at 6.58 p.p.m.] shows unequivocally that the molecule (II) is not planar. Since it cannot represent H-4 and $\mathrm{H}-5$ (and $\mathrm{H}-4^{\prime}$ and $-5^{\prime}$ ), it must be assigned to the four hydrogen atoms ortho to the central double bond, i.e., H-1, $-8,-1^{\prime},-8^{\prime}$. Consequently, quartet (e) represents $\mathrm{H}-4,-5,-4^{\prime},-5^{\prime}$. For similar reasons, sextet (b) should be assigned to H-2, $-7,-2^{\prime},-7^{\prime}$, and sextet (d) to H-3, $-6,-3^{\prime},-6^{\prime}$. (In this case the


Figure 1. Tetrabenzoheptofulvalene, model of cisoid conformation.
shielding effect is much less pronounced, as expected). A priori, a nonplanar molecule of (II) can exist in two conformations: a cisoid (Figure 1)


Figure 2. Tetrabenzokeptafulvalene, model of transoid comformation.
and a transoid (Figure 2). These conformations should differ in the absorption of the four hydrogen atoms ortho to the central double bond: cisoid conformation requires a strong interaction between $\mathrm{H}-1$ and $\mathrm{H}-8^{\prime}$ (and between $\mathrm{H}-8$ and $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{l}^{\prime}$ ), leading to an absorption at lower field than normal aromatic hydrogen atoms. Such an interaction was observed in the case of the analogous tetrabenzopentafulvalene (III). ${ }^{8}$ On the other hand, in the transoid conformation each hydrogen atom ortho to the central double bond lies above (or below) the plane of the other half of the molecule, leading to an absorption at higher field than normal aromatic hydrogen atoms. Thus, the n.m.r. spectrum of (II) and particularly the shielding of 0.65 p.p.m. of quartet ( $a$ ) proves not only that the molecule (II) is not planar, but in addition that it has the transoid conformation. It is worthy of note that an analogous structure has been found for the non-annellated heptafulvalene by $X$-ray diffraction of its crystalline form. ${ }^{9}$
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