Complexes of Indium with Unsaturated Bidentate Sulphur-donor Ligands

By F. W. B. EINSTEIN, G. HUNTER, D. G. TUCK,* and M. K. YANG (Department of Chemistry, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby 2, B.C., Canada)

THERE is an extensive literature on the chemistry of transition-metal complexes with unsaturated bidentate ligands in which sulphur is the donor atom,¹ but, of the main-group elements, only zinc(II) and tin(IV) compounds have been reported. The structure of $[Zn(MNT)_2]^{2-}$ (MNT²⁻ = maleonitriledithiolate anion) is not known;² the tin(IV) complexes are polymeric unless aryl groups are also bonded to the metal.³

We find that the reaction of indium(III) chloride with MNT²⁻ gives rise to the anionic complexes [In(MNT)₂]⁻ or [In(MNT)₃]³⁻, depending on the In:MNT²⁻ mole ratio used in the preparation. Treatment of [In(MNT)₂]⁻ with neutral bidentate donor ligands in alcohol gave anionic complexes of the type $[In(MNT)_2L]^-$ [L = 2,2'-bipyridyl, 1,10phenanthroline, 8-hydroxyquinoline, ethylenediamine, or 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane]. The Et_AN^+ salts of all of these anions are yellow; salts of the adduct species are 1:1 electrolytes in acetonitrile, except that the 8-hydroxyquinoline adduct has a conductivity ca. 50% lower than expected; $Et_4 N[In(MNT)_2]$ is a 1:1 electrolyte, and $(Et_4N)_3[In(MNT)_3]$ a 3:1 electrolyte in this solvent. No reaction of [In(MNT)₂]⁻ was detected either chemically or spectroscopically with monodentate donors (pyridine, ammonia, urea, Ph₃P) other than NN'-dimethylacetamide (dma), which gave an unstable adduct $Et_4N[In(MNT)_2(dma)_2]$ (decomp. $\sim 60^{\circ}$). From similar reactions involving indium chloride and TDT^{2-} (TDT^{2-} = toluene-3,4-dithiolate anion) we obtained the 1:1 electrolytes $Et_4N[In(TDT)_2]$ and $[In(TDT)_2L]$ (L = bipy, phen), and the 3:1 electrolyte $(Et_4N)_3[In(TDT)_3]$. The acceptor ability of the [In(MNT)₂]⁻ and [In- $(TDT)_2$]⁻ complexes, while in keeping with the ability of the metal in this oxidation state to form $[InCl_4L_2]^-$ species,⁴ is markedly different from the behaviour of the complexes of transition-metal ions other than cobalt(III) with di-sulphur ligands.1,5

Polarographic reduction of these complexes in aqueous or absolute methanol showed in almost each case a series of reversible one-electron changes, formally $In^{III} \rightarrow In^{II} \rightarrow In^{I} \rightarrow In^{0}$. This behaviour is in sharp contrast to the usual aqueous phase $In^{III} \rightarrow In^0$ polarographic reduction,⁶ and represents the first identification of a formally In^{II} complex, although this oxidation state has been reported⁷ in a matrix of frozen aqueous sulphuric acid irradiated with γ -rays at -77° . In view of earlier work¹, however, it seems highly probable that the added electrons are associated with the metalligand ring system rather than being in purely metal orbitals.

In those analogous transition-metal complexes for which structure determinations have been reported,⁸ the MS₆ co-ordination is either a precise or distorted trigonal prismatic arrangement. An X-ray structure determination on (Et₄N)₃[In- $(MNT)_3$] shows that the InS_6 kernel in this anion has a distorted octahedral structure, with the In-S bond length 2.59 Å. The S-In-S angle within the chelate ring is 80°, and the S–S distance in the ring 3.39 Å. A three-dimensional electron-density difference map shows the positions of all the remaining non-hydrogen atoms; refinement by block-diagonal least-squares method is presently being undertaken with the 25 atoms of the anion (R = 0.24 for 1866reflexions). The $[In(MNT)_3]^{3-}$ anion is thus the first example of a di-sulphur ligand complex with the "classical" distorted octahedral symmetry associated with tris(bidentate ligand) co-ordination, yet showing the stabilization of unusual formal oxidation states.

This work was supported in part by Operating Grants from the National Research Council of Canada.

(Received, February 2nd, 1968; Com.128.)

¹ See, for example, H. B. Gray, Progr. Transition Metal Chem., 1965, 1, 239.

² H. B. Gray, R. Williams, I. Bernal, and E. Billig, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 3596.

^a R. C. Poller and J. A. Spillman, J. Chem. Soc., (A), 1966, 958, 1024.
^a D. G. Tuck and E. J. Woodhouse, Chem. and Ind., 1965, 1363.
^b A. Davidson, N. Edelstein, R. H. Holan, and A. H. Maki, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 2799; G. N. Schrauzer, V. P. Mayweg, H. W. Frick, and W. Heinrich, *ibid.*, 1966, 88, 4604; N. G. Connelly, J. A. McCleverty, and C. J. Wienerg, Network, 1967, 60158. Winscom, Nature, 1967, 216, 999; A. L. Balch, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 2158.

I. M. Kolthoff and L. J. Lingane, Chem. Rev., 1939, 20, 1.
 D. M. Brown and F. S. Dainton, Trans. Faraday Soc., 1966, 62, 1139.

⁶ R. Eisenberg and J. A. Ibers, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **87**, 3776; *Inorg. Chem.*, 1966, **5**, 411; E. I. Stiefel and H. B. Gray, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1965, **81**, 4012; R. Eisenberg, E. I. Stiefel, R. C. Rosenberg, and H. B. Gray, *ibid.*, 1966, **88**, 997, 1997 2874; R. E. Eisenberg and H. B. Gray, Inorg. Chem., 1967, 6, 1844; H. B. Gray, private communication.