# Structure of Podecdysone A, a Steroid with Moulting Hormone Activity from the Bark of Podocarpus elatus R.Br. 
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The bark of the tree Podocarpus elatus R.Br. contains besides crustecdysone ( $0 \cdot 05 \%$ ) (already reported ${ }^{1}$ as a constituent of the wood) much smaller amounts of three other active compounds, podecdysones A, B, and C. ${ }^{2}$ We now propose structure (I) for podecdysone A. This structure, based on the carbon skeleton of $\beta$-sitosterol, is of particular interest because of the widespread occurrence of $\mathrm{C}(24)$-alkylated sterols in plants. In the Calliphora bioassay, podecdysone A shows moulting hormone activity equal to that of crustecdysone.

The molecular formula of podecdysone A (I), $\mathrm{C}_{29} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{7}$, m.p. 262-264 (decomp), $\lambda_{\text {max }}$ (ethanol) $243 \mathrm{~m} \mu(\epsilon 14,000), \quad \nu_{\max }(\mathrm{KBr}) 3470$ and 1650 $\mathrm{cm} .^{-1}$, was established by microanalysis and the
appearance fo the $M^{+}$peak at $m / e 508$ in the mass spectrum (direct inlet).

Brief acetylation of podecdysone A with pyri-dine-acetic anhydride at room temperature ${ }^{3}$ gave a 2 -acetate [(II), m.p. 145- $\left.147^{\circ}\right)$ ] which on oxidation with periodic acid afforded the same methyl ketone (III) as that obtained by periodic acid oxidation of crustecdysone 2 -acetate (IV). ${ }^{4}$ Podecdysone A thus has the same tetracyclic nucleus as crustecdysone. The $C(22)$-hydroxygroup of podecdysone A undergoes acetylation, but the rate (rate constant $k=0.2 \times 10-^{2}$ min. ${ }^{-1}$ ) was less than that of the 22 -hydroxy-group of crustecdysone $\left(k=0.5 \times 10^{-2} \mathrm{~min} .^{-1}\right)^{3}$ indicating steric hindrance by an additional side-chain substituent.

| Chemical shifts of methyl resonances ( $\delta$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Compound | Solvent | C(18) | $\mathrm{C}(19)$ | $\mathrm{C}(21)$ | $\mathrm{C}(26)$ | C (27) | $\mathrm{C}(26), \mathrm{C}(27)$ | C (29) |
| Podecdysone A | $\left.{ }_{[2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right]$ Pyridine | 1.21 | 1.07 | 1.55 | $1 \cdot 39$ | $1 \cdot 26$ | av. 1.33 | $1 \cdot 10^{*}$ |
| Crustecdysone | ," | $1 \cdot 22$ | 1.08 | 1.59 |  |  | 1.37 |  |
| Podecdysone A | $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right]$ Methanol | 0.90 | 0.96 | 1.23 | 1.23 | $1 \cdot 11$ | av. 1.17 | $1 \cdot 00^{*}$ |
| Crustecdysone | [ ${ }_{4}$ | $\stackrel{0.88}{ }$ | 0.95 | 1-19 |  |  | $1 \cdot 19$ |  |

In its mass spectrum podecdysone A has prominent ions at $m / e 363$ and 345 , characteristic of easy 20,22 -diol cleavage, ${ }^{5}$ and a weak peak at $m / e 508$ which is assigned to the parent ion. This assignment is supported by the observation that the prominent ions attributed to the side-chain fragments ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e} 127$ and 109) are exactly 28.032 mass units $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ higher than the corresponding ions ( $m / e 81$ and 99) in the spectrum of crustecdysone.

When the n.m.r. spectra of podecdysone $A$ in two solvents are compared with those of crustecdysone (see Table), it is seen that the signals ascribed to the $C(25)$ - and $C(26)$-methyl groups of podecdysone A are in contrast to those in crustecdysone non-equivalent, indicating the close proximity of an asymmetric centre. The above evidence indicates that podecdysone A differs from crustecdysone in having an ethyl group in its side-chain. The signal attributed to the methyl

protons of the ethyl group appears in the n.m.r. spectrum as a broad doublet ( $J 5 \mathrm{c} . / \mathrm{sec}$.), doubtless due to virtual coupling. This signal was collapsed to a singlet by irradiation at $\delta$ 1.73. The ethyl group can be placed only at $\mathrm{C}(23)$ or $\mathrm{C}(24)$, and from the magnitude of the non-equivalence ( $0.12 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$.) of the $\mathrm{C}(26)$ - and $\mathrm{C}(27)$-methylgroups, it is concluded that podecdysone $A$ has the ethyl substituent at $\mathrm{C}(24)$. This assignment is the most likely on biogenetic grounds, as the phytosterols commonly carry a C(24)-alkyl substituent (see for example ref. 6). Since $\beta$-sitosterol is the major sterol of the barks of conifers, ${ }^{7}$ it is likely that podecdysone A is synthesised from this sterol and that its 24 -ethyl group has the same $\alpha$-configuration (I).

The isolation of a $\beta$-sitosterol analogue of crustecdysone is of particular interest since phytosterol analogues might be expected to predominate in plants. In fact, crustecdysone or other $\mathrm{C}(27)$ ecdysones appear to be the main active compounds present in plants and arthropods. Early experiments ${ }^{8}$ suggest that crustecdysone may be synthesised in plants from cholesterol rather than $\beta$-sitosterol. It is also of interest that phytophagous insects synthesise C(27) ecdysones ${ }^{9}$ presumably from $\mathrm{C}(29)$ sterols. ${ }^{10}$

Professor K. Nakanishi, Tohoku University, has kindly informed us that both he and Professor T. Takemoto of the same University have independently isolated compounds (respectively maki-sterone-C and lemmasterone) from plant sources, to which they have assigned structures (side-chain configurations undefined) the same as that derived above for podecdysone A.
(Received, June 10th, 1968; Com. 758.)
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