

Benzonitrile Complexes of Ruthenium(II) and Ruthenium(III): Analogues of the Molecular Nitrogen Complex Ion $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{N}_2^{2+}$

By PETER C. FORD* and RICHARD E. CLARKE

(Department of Chemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, California 93106)

WE report the synthesis of some penta-ammine-ruthenium(II) and penta-ammineruthenium(III) substituted benzonitrile complexes. Since organonitriles have ligand properties analogous to molecular nitrogen (the co-ordinating atom is nitrogen, attached to the rest of the uncharged ligand by a triple bond, $\text{Ru}-\text{N}\equiv$), organonitrile co-ordination compounds are potential models of N_2 complexes in which the metal-N-N linkage is linear.¹ In particular, the Ru^{II} compounds reported, are analogous to the N_2 complex $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{N}_2^{2+}$ which has been synthesized by several routes.² The i.r. spectra of these compounds show the bonding between Ru^{II} or Ru^{III} and ligands of this type. Co-ordination to Ru^{II} leads to a decrease in the $\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$ stretching frequency ν_{CN} in all cases while co-ordination to Ru^{III} leads, with one exception, to increased ν_{CN} . To our knowledge, these are the first reported examples of decreased ν_{CN} resulting from co-ordination of monodentate organonitriles to a metal cation.†

The complex ions, $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{NC}-\text{R})^{2+}$, were synthesized by the procedure reported for the preparation of penta-ammineruthenium(II) complexes of aromatic heterocycles.⁴ Reduction of

aqueous $[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{Cl}](\text{CF}_3\text{CO}_2)_2$ over $\text{Zn}(\text{Hg})$ in the presence of an excess of nitrile gave the desired complex ion which could be isolated as the bromide or tetrafluoroborate salt in *ca.* 50% yield. The identities of some complexes were confirmed by elemental analysis.

Oxidation of the Ru^{II} complexes with Ce^{IV} or Ag^{I} gave the corresponding Ru^{III} complexes which were isolated as the Br^- or BF_4^- salts. These proved only marginally stable, decomposing slowly in aqueous solution and during recrystallization. For this reason, analysis results were poor although consistent with the Ru^{III} oxidation state. The oxidation was shown to be reversible by reducing the Ru^{III} compounds with $\text{Zn}(\text{Hg})$ to give the original Ru^{II} salts which were identified by their characteristic electronic and i.r. spectra.

The i.r. data reported in the Table demonstrate that each nitrile co-ordination to penta-ammine-ruthenium(II) decreases ν_{CN} , with $\delta\nu_{\text{CN}}$ ranging from -31 to -57 cm^{-1} . Such behaviour parallels the large decrease in ν_{NN} from free N_2 (2330 cm^{-1}) to $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{N}_2^{2+}$ (2105 – 2167 cm^{-1} , anion dependent, KBr disc),^{2b} and is in sharp contrast to the typical increase in ν_{CN} for organonitriles on co-ordination

† A recent communication notes a decrease in ν_{CN} resulting from Ru^{II} co-ordination with acrylonitrile; however, evidence is presented suggesting the olefinic bond as the co-ordination site (reference 3).

to Lewis acids.⁴ The Table also shows that, with one exception, co-ordination to penta-ammineruthenium(III) increases ν_{CN} . Unlike ν_{NN} for $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{N}_2^{2+}$, ν_{CN} (KBr disc) values for the Ru^{II} and Ru^{III} benzonitrile complexes are relatively insensitive to the identity of the anion. This fact and the observation that ν_{CN} for the 4-toluonitrile complexes changed little from the spectra obtained in a KBr disc to those obtained in aqueous solution confirms that the values of $\delta\nu_{\text{CN}}$ are inherent in the complexes and not due to solid-state effects.

The decrease in ν_{CN} on co-ordination to penta-ammineruthenium(II) undoubtedly results from a decreased C-N bond order due to metal-electron backbonding into nitrile π^* orbitals. Consistent with this explanation is the observation that the larger $\delta\nu_{\text{CN}}$ values occur with electron-withdrawing

ligand and the stronger band at a frequency 23 cm^{-1} less than the free ligand. Since 4-carboxybenzonitrile is bidentate, it is possible that the stronger band is the result of Ru^{III} co-ordination at the carboxylate and the weaker band the result of co-ordination at the nitrile. Similar decreases in ν_{CN} have been seen for 4-cyanopyridine co-ordinated at the pyridine nitrogen to metal ion centres which increase ν_{CN} when co-ordinated to aryl nitriles.⁸ However, the electronic spectra of the Ru^{II} and Ru^{III} 4-carboxybenzonitrile complexes are markedly similar to the spectra of the 4-chlorobenzenitrile analogues, which implies similarity in structure.

The difference in the sign of $\delta\nu_{\text{CN}}$ for Ru^{II} as opposed to Ru^{III} benzonitrile complexes suggests that while penta-ammineruthenium(II) is capable

Infrared spectral data, ν_{CN} (cm^{-1})^a

R-	RCN	$[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{RCN})]\text{Br}$	$[\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5(\text{RCN})]\text{Br}_3$
Ph	2231s ^b	2194s	2268m
(BF_4^- salts)		2194s	2272m
<i>p</i> - MeC_6H_4^-	2230s ^c	2198s	2263m
		2199m ^d	2263w ^d
<i>p</i> - ClC_6H_4^-	2228s	2192s	2264m
	2232s ^c		
<i>p</i> - $\text{HO}_2\text{C}\cdot\text{C}_6\text{H}_4^-$	2231s	2179s	2208m, 2275w
Ph	2246s ^b	2189s	

^a Spectra taken on a Beckman IR-7 spectrophotometer in KBr disc except where noted; ^b neat; ^c in CHCl_3 ; in aqueous solution, Irtran liquid cell.

phenyl substituents. However, the magnitude of the shift is unlikely to be a quantitative measure of backbonding interaction as there is no information about delocalization into the phenyl ring.

Co-ordination normally increases ν_{CN} for organonitriles,⁵ and this effect has been ascribed both to kinematic coupling of the carbon-nitrogen and nitrogen-metal stretching vibrations⁶ and to a larger $\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$ force constant.⁷ Apparently these effects predominate for most benzonitriles co-ordinated to Ru^{III} . The one exception is the Ru^{III} 4-carboxybenzonitrile complex which has two absorption bands in the nitrile region, the weaker one at a higher frequency than the free

of substantial π -backbonding towards ligands of this type, penta-ammineruthenium(III) is a much "harder" acid and hence acts primarily as a σ -acceptor for the lone electron pair on the nitrile nitrogen. A similar conclusion has been drawn concerning the bonding between these moieties and aromatic heterocycles.³ Since oxidation of $\text{Ru}(\text{NH}_3)_5\text{N}_2^{2+}$ leads to quantitative release of N_2 ,^{2c} it is apparent that the substantial π -backbonding is essential to the $\text{Ru}^{\text{II}}-\text{N}_2$ bond stability.

We thank the Petroleum Research Fund and the Faculty Research Committee, University of California, Santa Barbara for support.

(Received, July 12th, 1968; Com. 937.)

¹ J. H. Enemark, B. R. Davis, T. A. McGinney, and J. A. Ibers, *Chem. Comm.*, 1968, 96.

² (a) A. D. Allen and C. V. Senoff, *Chem. Comm.*, 1965, 621; (b) A. D. Allen, F. Bottomley, R. O. Harris, V. P. Reinsalu, and C. V. Senoff, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 5595; (c) D. E. Harrison and H. Taube, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 5706; (d) J. Chatt and J. E. Fergusson, *Chem. Comm.*, 1968, 126.

³ A. Misono, Y. Uchida, M. Hidai, and I. Inomata, *Chem. Comm.*, 1968, 705.

⁴ P. Ford, D. Rudd, R. Gaunder, and H. Taube, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 1187.

⁵ R. A. Walton, *Quart. Rev.*, 1965, **19**, 126.

⁶ T. L. Brown and M. Kubota, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1961, **83**, 4175.

⁷ K. F. Purcell and R. S. Drago, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 919.

⁸ R. A. Walton, *J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem.*, 1966, **29**, 2229.