## Deoxygenation of 2-Nitrophenyl Phenyl Sulphides by Triethyl Phosphite: A New Aromatic Rearrangement

By J. I. G. CADOGAN,\* S. KULIK, and M. J. TODD

(Department of Chemistry, University of St. Andrews, St. Andrews, Scotland)

THE reaction of triethyl phosphite with 2-nitrophenyl phenyl sulphide gives phenothiazine in good yield.1 A detailed investigation of this reaction has now revealed a new molecular rearrangement. Thus, under the normal conditions for deoxygenation by triethyl phosphite,2 while 4-methyl-2-nitrophenyl phenyl sulphide gives 2-methylphenothiazine (36%) and N-ethyl-2-methylphenothiazine (25%), the latter produced by ethylation of the first formed phenothiazine, the isomeric 4-methylphenyl 2-nitrophenyl sulphide gives 3-methylphenothiazine and its N-ethyl derivative, as shown by comparison with authentic samples. By effecting the reaction in solution in cumene, 4chloro-2-nitrophenyl phenyl sulphide gives 2chlorophenothiazine (55%) while 4-chlorophenyl 2-nitrophenyl sulphide gives 3- (63%) rather than 2-chlorophenothiazine (Scheme 1), as shown by comparison with authentic samples. These observations suggest that the six-membered ring is being formed after rearrangement of a five-membered intermediate formed by electrophilic attack at the electron-rich 1'-position. Such attack may involve a nitrene (Scheme 2) by analogy with other deoxygenations by triethyl phosphite.3 It is significant, in this connection, that we find that thermal decomposition of 2-azidophenyl 4-chlorophenyl sulphide gives 3-chlorophenothiazine, it being generally accepted that such decompositions of azides proceed via nitrenes. It is probable that ring closure involving cyclisation on to an unsubstituted ring also proceeds by such a rearrangement, which is similar to that involved in the Hayashi rearrangement of carboxybenzophenones in strong acid.4

Scheme 1

(Received, April 25th, 1968; Com. 500.)

Scheme 2

J. I. G. Cadogan, R. K. Mackie, and M. J. Todd, Chem. Comm., 1966, 491.
J. I. G. Cadogan, M. Cameron-Wood, R. K. Mackie, and R. J. G. Searle, J. Chem. Soc., 1965, 4831.
J. I. G. Cadogan and M. J. Todd, Chem. Comm., 1967, 178; J. I. G. Cadogan, Quart. Rev., 1968, 22, 222.
Cf. R. B. Sandin, R. Melby, R. Crawford, and D. McGreer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 3817.