The Involvement of Excited Atomic Orbitals in SF₆⁻ and XeF₆

By K. A. R. MITCHELL

(Department of Chemistry, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver 8, B.C., Canada)

MODEL calculations¹⁻³ and SCF calculations⁴ have established that *d*-orbitals can contribute substantially to the electronic structure of molecules of main-group elements, and this is particularly important in examples like SF₆ and Cl₃PO which involve an expansion of the valence shell of the central atom. It is suggested here that in some circumstances other orbitals with high energies and diffuse radial functions in a free atom may also be sufficiently stabilised in electronegative environments to be included in discussions of electronic structure; in particular, attention is drawn to the possible use of 4f- and outer *s*-orbitals in SF₆⁻ and XeF₆.

The electron affinity of SF₆ is⁵ 34 \pm 5 kcal. mole⁻¹, and

this molecule is unusually efficient at capturing electrons in mass spectrometry by forming the negative ion $SF_6^{-.6}$. The electronic distribution in SF_6 involves a net drift to the fluorines,² and simple considerations of electron repulsion therefore suggest that to a first approximation it may be helpful to imagine the unpaired electron in SF_6^{-} accommodation in a molecular orbital with a larger contribution from the sulphur atomic orbitals. In part, this may involve excited atomic orbitals at sulphur, and this feature has been investigated for SF_6^{-} (assuming the molecular geometry of SF_6) with a model calculation which includes the electrostatic field and single-centre exchange terms.¹

The sulphur configurations investigated for SF₆- include

those of the type $3s^{1}3p_{x}{}^{1}3p_{y}{}^{1}3p_{z}{}^{1}3d_{z2}{}^{1}3d_{x^{2}-y^{2}}{}^{1}\phi^{1}$ where ϕ is $3d_{xz}$ (symmetry t_{2g}), $4s(a_{1g}, 4f_{z3}(t_{1u}), 4f_{xyz}(a_{2u}))$, and $4f_{z(x^{2}-y^{2})}(t_{2u})$.⁷ The 4s-orbital was orthogonalised to the inner 1s-, 2s-, and 3s-orbitals as in another study of 4sorbitals in sulphur,⁸ and exponents in Slater-type radial functions appropriate to the particular principal quantum number of ϕ were varied for minimum energy assuming the sulphur inner-shell orbitals and all fluorine orbitals have exponents fixed at the values given by Clementi and Raimondi.⁹ For $\phi = 3d_{xz}$, $4f_{xyz}$, and $4f_{z(x^2-y^2)}$, minimum energy corresponds to exponents less than 0.15, and these values indicate situations where the unpaired electron is unbound; by contrast, the electrostatic field stabilises 4s and $4f_{z^3}$ and the respective optimised exponents are 1.0 and 1.2, indicating contracted orbitals. In principle, these orbitals can be stabilised and contracted further by their partial involvement in the σ -bonds, and this should limit the destabilisation arising from the non-orthogonality to nonbonding orbitals on the fluorines.

In extension, it is expected that the result found here for 4s- and 4f-orbitals^{\dagger} in SF₆⁻ should be applicable to other molecules which involve a central atom surrounded by a number of strongly electronegative groups. Indeed in suitable neutral molecules the effect may be stronger, and a possible example is XeF_6 . This molecule has one more electron in the valence shell than SF_6 , and, on the basis of an electron-diffraction study, Bartell and ${\rm Gavin^{10}}$ have concluded that XeF_6 has an equilibrium structure which is a distorted octahedron with an average Xe-F bond of 1.89 Å. The distortions from O_h amount to about 5-10° in the bond directions and are such that the fluorine atoms are apparently repelled from one particular region. Intuitively, one may associate the latter with a lone pair. Additionally we note that XeF_6 is exceptionally flexible

The measured Xe-F bond lengths seem indicative of essentially single-bond character and therefore of substantial 5d-orbital involvement, and the latter possibility has been indicated theoretically for XeF2.3 Without serious error, the static configuration of XeF₆ can be envisaged as intermediate between an octahedron and a seven-co-ordinate structure, and, assuming localised electron-pair bonds, these configurations can be discussed in terms of hybridisation of 5s-, 5p-, 5d-, and 6s-orbitals.3 The configuration observed for XeF_6 can be rationalised by noting the tendency of the nonbonding lone pair to occupy a hybrid with maximum 5s-character and minimum 5d-orbital character consistent with the constraints in the σ -bonds. That bonding by 6s-orbitals of xenon in XeF₆ is suggested by the calculation reported here which shows that 4s-orbitals can be stabilised in the less favourable environment appropriate to SF₆-.

Finally, whatever the extent of involvement by the 4forbitals of t_{1u} symmetry in the ground state of XeF₆, their contribution to the first excited state could be more substantial. Bartell and Gavin,10 using the formalism of pseudo-Jahn-Teller theory, have suggested that the large t_{1u} vibrations may be related to a small energy difference between the highest occupied molecular orbital (probably a_{1q} symmetry) and the lowest unoccupied orbital (probably t_{1u}). If this is correct, 4f-orbital involvement may be important for determining the characteristics of the molecular force field.

I thank the National Research Council of Canada for support.

(Received, February 6th, 1969; Com. 162.)

 † 4*p*- and 4*d*-Orbitals should also contribute but probably less strongly than the 4*f*-orbitals. The latter are the deepest of their symmetry type and consequently are naturally orthogonal to inner orbitals. Contraction of outer *s*-, *p*-, and *d*-orbitals is, however, restricted by the necessity to maintain orthogonality to orbitals of the conventional valence shell.

- ¹ K. A. R. Mitchell, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1968, 2676; 2683; Canad. J. Chem., 1968, 46, 3499.
 ² D. P. Santry and G. A. Segal, J. Chem. Phys., 1967, 47, 158.
 ³ K. A. R. Mitchell, J. Chem. Soc. (A), in the press. 8/1813.
 ⁴ D. B. Boyd and W. N. Lipscomb, J. Chem. Phys., 1967, 46, 910; 1968, 48, 4968.
 ⁵ L. Korn and F. M. Boyce Transc. Examples Soc. 1042, 60, 1042.

- ⁵ D. B. Boyd and W. N. Elpstenne, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1967, 40, 910, 1968, 486, 4968.
 ⁵ J. Kay and F. M. Page, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1964, 60, 1042.
 ⁶ C. A. McDowell, "Mass Spectrometry," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1963, p. 506.
 ⁷ The notation for *f*-orbitals follows H. G. Friedman, G. R. Choppin, and D. G. Feuerbacher, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 1964, 41, 354.
 ⁷ F. Bernardi and C. Zauli, *J. Chem. Soc.* (A), 1968, 2633.
 ⁹ E. Clementi and D. L. Raimondi, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1963, 38, 2686.
 ¹⁰ L. S. Bartell and R. M. Gavin, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1968, 48, 2460; 2466.