Molecular Structure of the Polymerization Catalyst–Monomer Complex, (Me₂AlOCPhNPh,MeCHO)₂

By YASUSHI KAI, NORITAKE YASUOKA, NOBUTAMI KASAI,* and MASAO KAKUDO

(Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Osaka University, Yamada-Kami, Suita, Osaka, 564, Japan)

and HAJIME YASUDA and HISAYA TANI

(Department of Polymer Science, Faculty of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 560, Japan)

RECENCLY we reported the molecular structure of a stereospecific polymerization catalyst for acetaldehyde, (Me₂AlOC-PhNPh)₂.¹ We now describe the X-ray structure analysis of the catalyst-acetaldehyde complex (Me₂AlOCPhNPh, MeCHO)₂ which is most important in attempts to clarify the initiation mechanism of acetaldehyde polymerization.²

As the complex is very unstable in air, and also at temperatures above 20°, the crystal chosen was sealed in a thin-walled glass capillary tube in argon, and the temperature was strictly controlled (not exceeding 15° during the experiments). However, this was not enough to prevent the decomposition and four crystals were used for the intensity data collection. Crystal data: (Me₂AlOCPhNPh, MeCHO)₂; $a = 15.83 \pm 0.03$, $b = 12.61 \pm 0.01$, $c = 17.66 \pm 0.01$ Å; space group Pcca, D_c 1.12, D_m 1.14 g.cm.⁻³, Z = 4 (as a dimer).

the *a* and *c* axes, and 2185 independent reflexions were obtained out of 2053 0kl to 11,k,l and 515 kk0 to kk2 reflexions. By starting with the three-dimensional Patterson function, which allowed the location of the aluminium atom, the structure was solved through the minimum function, Fourier synthesis, and the block-diagonal least-squares refinement. For non-zero reflexions R = 0.147 (including hydrogen atoms), which is relatively large but is sufficient to determine the co-ordination of the acetalde-hyde to the catalyst.

The molecular structure is shown in the Figure. The molecule is dimeric about the crystallographic two-fold axis. The most interesting feature of the molecule is the co-ordination of acetaldehyde to the catalyst, particularly the formation of C(16)-N single bond. The acetaldehyde molecule is connected to the catalyst by both O(2) and



Geometry around the penta-co-ordinated aluminium (estimated standard deviations are shown in parentheses)



FIGURE. Molecular structure of (Me2AlOCPhNPh,MeCHO)2.

With nickel-filtered $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, multi-film equiinclination Weissenberg photographs were taken around

C(16). The oxygen atom is bridged between two aluminium atoms. The Al-O(2') distance [1.966 Å; e.s.d., $\sigma = 0.006$ Å, abbreviated as (6) hereafter] is significantly longer than Al-O(2) [1.868(6) Å], which may support the chemical

evidence that the dimeric molecule dissociates to monomeric units under polymerization conditions.²

In the aldehyde moiety, the O(2)-C(16) distance $[1\cdot43(1) \text{ Å}]$ is longer than that in the acetaldehyde monomer $[1.22 \text{ Å} (vapour)^3 \text{ or } 1.25 \text{ Å} (crystal)]^4 \text{ and is equal to the}$ ordinary C-O single-bond distance. The α -carbon of the acetaldehyde moiety assumes sp^3 instead of the ordinary sp^2 hybridization due to the bonding to the nitrogen atom, as had been suggested by n.m.r. studies.² The C(16)-N distance [1.49(1) Å] is equal to the sum of the covalent radii, 1.47 Å, within experimental error.

Another interesting feature is that the aluminium atom is penta-co-ordinated, and that a distorted trigonalbipyramid is formed (Table). This is the first example of penta-co-ordinated aluminium determined definitely by X-ray analysis, although such co-ordination was suggested in AlH₃,2NMe₃.5

The Al–O(1) distance in the catalyst moiety [2.047(7) Å]is much longer than that in the catalyst itself [1.81(1) Å].

The conformation of the amide group in the catalyst moiety does not show any great deviation from that of the catalyst. The two benzene rings adopt a cis-configuration, and the dihedral angle of their best planes is 62° .

The contribution of this structure determination to the polymerization mechanism, including the co-catalytic action of water,⁶ will be the subject of a future paper.

(Received, March 31st, 1969; Com. 462.)

- ¹Y. Kai, N. Yasuoka, N. Kasai, M. Kakudo, H. Yasuda, and H. Tani, Chem. Comm., 1968, 1332.
- ² H. Tani, T. Araki, and H. Yasuda, J. Polymer Sci., Part B, Polymer Letters, 1968, **6**, 389. ³ D. Stevenson, H. D. Burnham, and V. Schomaker, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1939, **61**, 2922.

⁶ H. Tani, and H. Yasuda, J. Polymer Sci., Part B, Polymer Letters, in the press.

⁴ M. Letort, and A-J. Richard, J. Chem. Phys., 1960, 57, 752. ⁵ C. W. Heitsch, C. E. Nordman, and R. W. Parry, Inorg. Chem., 1963, 2, 508.