## The <sup>31</sup>P Chemical Shift of Phosphorus Pentafluoride

By L. MAIER

(Monsanto Research S.A., Eggbühlstrasse 36, CH 8050 Zürich, Switzerland)

and R. Schmutzler\*

(Institut für Anorganische Chemie der Technischen Universität, Pockelsstrasse 4, D 33 Braunschweig, Germany)

Summary The  $\delta_P$  value of PF<sub>5</sub> employed previously has been shown to be in error: the implications of this finding are discussed.

The 31P chemical shift of phosphorus pentafluoride is significant, both because it concerns one of the simplest inorganic phosphorus compounds and because of its relationship to its substituted derivatives, the fluorophosphoranes.1 For these compounds a characteristic upward trend in  $\delta_{\mathbf{P}}$  has been found, as the electronegativity of the equatorial substituents in the trigonal bipyramid increases. This trend appeared to be broken by the rather low  $\delta_{\rm P}$  value of +35 p.p.m. reported for PF<sub>5</sub>.<sup>2</sup> This value which has been widely quoted in the secondary literature,3 and has been employed in a theoretical study,4 has now been found to be in error, and we report the correct  $\delta_{P}$ value for PF<sub>5</sub> as + 80·3 p.p.m. The P-F coupling constant was found as 938 Hz., which is in reasonable accord with the value derived from the <sup>19</sup>F spectrum (see Table). The <sup>31</sup>P spectrum of PF<sub>5</sub> was measured on a liquid sample, in the  $-84 \text{ to } -90^{\circ}$  region, at 24.288 MHz. The above values are the average of four independent runs.

It is apparent that the reported erroneous  $\delta_P$  value must be ascribed to POF $_3$  which is known to be formed readily when PF $_5$  is handled in glass apparatus, as was the case in the original study. In the present work a commercial sample of PF $_5$  was kept in a steel cylinder, and its contact with glass was kept to a minimum.

	TABLE	
Compound	$\delta_P$ (from $85\%$ $H_3PO_4$ )	$J_{\mathrm{P-F}}$ (Hz.)
$\mathrm{PF}_{5}$	+35·1a	1010 <sup>a, b</sup> 916 <sup>c</sup>
$\mathrm{POF}_3$	$^{+80\cdot3\mathrm{d}}_{+35\cdot5^\mathrm{a}}$	938b,d 1080a,b 1058e
<sup>a</sup> From ref. 2. <sup>d</sup> This work.	<sup>b</sup> From <sup>31</sup> P n.m.r. <sup>e</sup> From <sup>19</sup> F n.m.r. <sup>6</sup>	c From <sup>19</sup> F n.m.r. <sup>5</sup>

A re-inspection of the original  $^{31}P$  spectrum of what was then believed to be  $PF_5$  showed that only four peaks of approximate intensity ratio 1:3:3:1 (as expected for  $POF_3$ ) were observed. In the present work the required

six peaks in the correct intensity ratio of 1:5:10:10:5:1 are observed for authentic PF<sub>5</sub>.

The  $\delta_P$  value of +80.3 p.p.m. is much more in line with the previously mentioned trend in  $\delta_{P}$  of the fluorophosphoranes. It also requires the revision of the conclusion by Letcher and van Wazer4 that PF5 has the highest occupation of phosphorus π-orbitals of all PZ<sub>5</sub> compounds. It should be noted, finally, that the new  $\delta_P$  value for  $\mathrm{PF}_5$ is virtually identical with that? of PCl<sub>5</sub> (+80  $\pm$  2 p.p.m.).

(Received, July 4th, 1969; Com. 969.)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a review on chemistry and stereochemistry of fluorophosphoranes see: R. Schmutzler, in "Halogen Chemistry," ed. V. Gut-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For a review on chemistry and stereochemistry of fluorophosphoranes see: R. Schmutzler, in "Halogen Chemistry," ed. V. Gutmann, Academic Press, London, 1967, p. 31 ff.

<sup>2</sup> K. Moedritzer, L. Maier, and L. C. D. Groenweghe, J. Chem. and Eng. Data, 1962, 7, 307.

<sup>3</sup> Cf., for example: (a) E. Fluck, "Die Kernmagnetische Resonanz und ihre Anwendung in der Anorganischen Chemie"; Springer Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg, 1963, p. 256; (b) A. J. Kirby and S. G. Warren, "The Organic Chemistry of Phosphorus"; Elsevier, Amsterdam, London, New York, 1967; p. 27; (c) M. M. Crutchfield, C. H. Dungan, J. H. Letcher, V. Mark, and J. R. van Wazer, in "Topic in Phosphorus Chemistry," vol. 5, 1967, p. 422.

<sup>4</sup> J. H. Letcher and J. R. van Wazer, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 45, 2926.

<sup>5</sup> E. L. Muetterties, W. Mahler, and R. Schmutzler, Inorg. Chem., 1963, 2, 613.

<sup>6</sup> H. S. Gutowsky, D. W. McCall, and C. P. Slichter, J. Chem. Phys., 1953, 21, 279.

<sup>7</sup> J. R. van Wazer, J. N. Shoolery, and R. C. Jones, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1956, 78, 5715.