Deuteriation of Diazoacetone

By A. SANTUCCI, A. FOFFANI,* and G. PIAZZA (Institute of Physical Chemistry, University of Perugia, Italy)

Summary Reaction of CD_3 ·COCl with CH_2N_2 gives CD_3 ·CO·CHN₂; exchange of CH_3 ·CO·CHN₂ with D_2O in the presence of sodium azide gives CH_3 ·CO·CDN₂.

VIBRATIONAL-SPECTROSCOPIC work under way in this laboratory¹ on aliphatic diazo-carbonyl compounds required deuteriation of the methyl and/or methine groups of diazoacetone, CH_3 ·CO·CHN₂.

Both synthesis and exchange were attempted for deuteriation of the methyl group. Exchange did not proceed satisfactorily; in fact, under experimental conditions similar to those for effecting exchange in acetone,² decomposition was complete at 70° within about $\frac{1}{2}$ hr., and at 50° the extent of decomposition was too high for a convenient preparation. Synthesis of CD_3 ·CO·CHN₂ was achieved by the method of Arndt and Amende,³ from CD_3 ·COCl and CH_2N_2 . [²H₄]Malonic acid, obtained⁴ by exchange at room temperature with 99·75% D₂O (repeated six times), was thoroughly dried by warming under vacuum for about 3 hr. at 90° in the presence of P₂O₅. It was converted into CD_3CO_2D and, by standard methods,⁵ into CD_3COCl . Diazomethane was prepared by the method of de Boer.⁶ Under these conditions the yield of CD_3 ·CO·CHN₂ was almost quantitative. The final purification of the deuteriated compound met with some difficulties, because partial decomposition took place both on silica-gel t.l.c. and alumina column chromatography; repeated distillations under vacuum gave better results.

A mass-spectrometric purity check indicated a content

CHEMICAL COMMUNICATIONS, 1969

of < 0.1% of totally or partially non-deuteriated material; the only impurity present to an appreciable extent (ca. 3-4%) in the mass spectrum was probably the species CD₃·CH₂·COCl. The i.r. spectrum of the sample did not show interference from this impurity and, when recorded at different times, did not show any evidence for methylmethine exchange; e.g., the relative peak intensity of the 3108 cm.⁻¹ methine C-H ctretching band (CS₂ soln.) did not change appreciably with time.

Synthesis was considered unsuitable for methine deuteriation, because of the large number of steps involved.7

Direct exchange with D₂O was more convenient and, considering the acidic character of the methine proton,⁸ allowed considerable reduction of the reaction time, even at room temperature. The exchange was performed in basic medium, slightly modifying the conditions suggested by Morrison and Yates⁹ for 2-diazo-2',4',6'-trimethylacetophenone. Diazoacetone (500 mg.), prepared by the method of Wilds and Meader,¹⁰ was exchanged overnight at room temperature with 99.75% D₂O (0.9 ml.) in the presence of sodium azide (10 mg.). From the water-diazoacetone reaction mixture, separated from the catalyst by vacuum

distillation, $\operatorname{CH}_3\operatorname{\cdot}\operatorname{CO}\operatorname{\cdot}\operatorname{CDN}_2$ was recovered by repeated extractions with small portions of anhydrous ether, drying over magnesium sulphate, and distillations.

The i.r. spectrum of liquid $CH_3 \cdot CO \cdot CDN_2$ thus obtained was remarkably different from that of the undeuteriated material in the "fingerprint" region; it showed, moreover, a characteristic C-D stretching band at 2312 cm.-1. A single exchange sufficed to leave a very weak methine C-H stretching band at 3108 cm.⁻¹ (CS₂ soln.), which disappeared after two exchanges. The mass spectrum of the exchanged sample did not permit in this instance a check on its purity; in fact, exchange occurred in the inlet system of the mass spectrometer, presumably catalysed by previously deposited transition-metal films, giving back CH₃·CO·CHN₂.

The presence of $CH_3 \cdot CO \cdot CDN_2$ is further supported by the reappearance of the 3108 cm.⁻¹ band on repeating the i.r. spectrum of the solution after a few days; this indicates that CH₃·CO·CDN₂, unlike CD₃·CO·CHN₂, undergoes fairly rapid exchange in the air even in the absence of catalysts.

This investigation was supported in part by a research grant from the Italian National Research Council.

(Received, September 8th, 1969; Com. 1360.)

¹ A. Santucci, A. Poletti, and A. Foffani, to be published.

² J. O. Halford, L. C. Anderson, and J. R. Bates, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1934, 54, 491.

³ F. Arndt and J. Amende, Ber., 1928, 61, 1122.

 J. O. Halford and L. C. Anderson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1936, 58, 736.
⁵ R. Adams, J. R. Johnson, and C. F. Wilcox, jun., "Laboratory Experiments in Organic Chemistry," 5th edn., Macmillan, New York, 1963.

⁶ Th. J. de Boer and H. J. Backer, Org. Synth., 1963, Coll. vol. 4, 250. ⁷ H. Dahn, A. Donzel, A. Merbach, and H. Gold, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1963, 46, 994.

- ⁸ F. Kaplan and G. K. Meloy, Tetrahedron Letters, 1964, 2427.
- 9 H. Morrison and P. Yates, Chem. and Ind., 1962, 931

¹⁰ A. L. Wilds and A. L. Meader, J. Org. Chem., 1948, 13, 763.