

On the Anodic Oxidation of Hydroquinone in Acetonitrile

By VERNON D. PARKER and LENNART EBERSON*

(Division of Organic Chemistry, Chemical Center, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden)

Summary Rotating-disc electrode voltammetry provides evidence for a two-electron oxidation of hydroquinone in acetonitrile.

two-electron process. The quantity, $i_L/\omega^{1/2}C$ (where i_L is the limiting current in μA and ω is the angular rotation

THE suggestion¹ from this laboratory that the complexity of the hydroquinone-quinone redox behaviour in acetonitrile could be explained by protonation effects without invoking the one-electron dimerisation scheme² has been questioned by Eggins.³ Eggins³ correctly pointed out that lithium perchlorate is not a suitable supporting electrolyte to be used in comparisons of reduction behaviour of quinones, due to the occurrence of ion pairing when lithium ion is used.⁴ However, the oxidation behaviour of hydroquinone is independent of the supporting electrolyte.

We now present evidence that the dimerisation scheme^{2,3} is not correct. A rotating-disc electrode (RDE) study of the anodic oxidation of hydroquinone in acetonitrile in the presence and absence of 2,6-lutidine using several different supporting electrolytes, shows that under all conditions studied the anodic oxidation in acetonitrile occurs by a

RDE data for the anodic oxidation of hydroquinone in acetonitrile^a

$(\omega^{1/2})^b$	(LiClO ₄)	(Bu ₄ NClO ₄)	(Bu ₄ NBF ₄)	(Bu ₄ NClO ₄) ^c
2.5	29.4	30.8	30.8	30.4
5.0	29.0	30.4	30.4	30.4
7.5	28.7	30.2	30.8	30.1
10.0	28.2	29.8	30.0	30.0
12.5	28.2	29.8	29.9	30.0
15.0	28.2	29.6	29.9	29.6
17.5	28.2	29.6	30.0	29.6
20.0	28.1	29.8	30.0	29.7
22.5	28.0	29.5	29.7	29.6

^a Substrate concentration = 1.0 mM, supporting electrolyte concentration = 0.1 M.

^b Data obtained at a Beckman RDE.

^c Solution contained 2,6-lutidine (10 mM).

of the electrode in radians/sec and C is the concentration), is constant with a value close to 30 in every case (see Table).

Under the same conditions, two compounds which undergo one-electron oxidation to give stable cation-radicals, 9,10-diphenylanthracene and thianthrene, exhibited constant $i_L/\omega^{1/2}C$ values of 12.2 and 15.5, respectively. When rapid chemical reactions are coupled between the electron transfers for a two-electron oxidation process, at low rotation rates $i_L/\omega^{1/2}C$ corresponds to the transfer of two electrons and if the chemical reaction can be "outrun" in the range of accessible rotation rates, one-electron behaviour will be observed at higher rotation rates.⁵ The range of rotation rates used was such that coupled chemical reactions having rate constants ranging from 0 to 1000 sec⁻¹ would have readily been detected. The constant values observed for $i_L/\omega^{1/2}C$ corresponding to a two-electron process show that there are no detectable complications prior to or between the two charge-transfer steps.

The voltage sweep rates necessary to detect the transient intermediate during the oxidation of hydroquinone² predicts a rate constant for the chemical step well within the range detectable by RDE voltammetry. In fact, the cyclic voltammetric data has been interpreted in terms of

formation of a one-electron oxidation product, a quinone hemiacetal, which has a half-life of about 0.1 sec.⁶ In the presence of 2,6-lutidine¹ or pyridine,⁶ the reduction wave assigned^{2,3} to this intermediate can be detected at slow sweep rates. The latter observation has been interpreted⁶ to indicate that the quinone hemiacetal is stable under the more basic conditions. If this interpretation is correct, the RDE behaviour in the absence of the base would be two-electron at low rotation and approach one-electron behaviour at high rotation rates. In the presence of the base, one-electron behaviour would be expected at all rotation rates. Since two-electron behaviour was observed at the RDE under all conditions, we conclude that the reduction peak observed² and interpreted as reduction of one-electron oxidation products, quinhydrone² or a quinone hemiacetal,^{3,6} is due to some form of quinone as previously suggested.¹ Our data do not distinguish between possible ECE and EEC mechanisms for the generation of the two-electron oxidation product if the chemical step, deprotonation, is extremely rapid.

(Received, April 20th, 1970; Com. 578.)

¹ V. D. Parker, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 716.

² B. R. Eggins and J. Q. Chambers, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 232.

³ B. R. Eggins, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 1267.

⁴ M. E. Peover and J. D. Davies, *J. Electroanalyt. Chem.*, 1963, 6, 46.

⁵ R. N. Adams, "Electrochemistry at Solid Electrodes," Marcel Dekker, New York, 1969.

⁶ B. R. Eggins and J. Q. Chambers, *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, 1970, 117, 186.