The Reactions of a Mercurial Dichlorocarbene Precursor with Benzophenonet

By CHARLES W. MARTIN and JOHN A. LANDGREBE*

(Department of Chemistry, University of Kansas, Lawrence, Kansas 66044)

Summary Evidence is presented that the reaction of phenyldichlorobromomethylmercury with benzophenone results in the formation of carbon monoxide, dichlorodiphenylmethane, and chlorodiphenylacetyl chloride, and that the reaction may involve the intermediacy of a complex between the mercurial and the benzophenone in addition to a carbonyl ylide and/or a dichloro-oxiran.

CONTRARY to published data indicating there is no reaction between benzophenone (1) and phenyldichlorobromomethylmercury (2) in hot benzene,¹ we report observations on at least two reactions which do occur and which may have some generality. Treatment of (1) (0.11 mol) with (2)(see Scheme 1) (0.066 mol) in benzene at 80° for 4 h resulted in the evolution of a 4.9% yield^{\ddagger} of carbon monoxide. That a g.l.c. peak from the crude product mixture matched the peak from a sample of chlorodiphenylacetyl chloride (10) and that treatment of the product mixture with a solution of sodium methoxide in methanol for several hours at reflux resulted in a mixture of methyl chlorodiphenylacetate (3)and methyl methoxydiphenylacetate (4) in the same mole ratio (ca. $1:4\cdot3$) as that obtained from an identical treatment of authentic (10), strongly suggests the presence of (10) as a product. However, the evidence does not conclusively eliminate the possibility that 2,2-dichloro-3,3-diphenyloxiran (7) may be present. Yields of esters (3) and (4)were 4.5 and 19.2%, respectively.§ In addition, a 4.4% yield of dimethoxydiphenylmethane (5) was found which implied

the presence of dichlorodiphenylmethane (9) in the original product mixture. The observed products together with unchanged benzophenone account for essentially all of (1) used in the experiment.¶

$$Ph_{2}CO + PhHgCCl_{2}Br \xrightarrow{C_{6}H_{6}} CO \uparrow + PhHgBr \downarrow + [?]$$
(1)
$$(2) \qquad MeOH MeO-$$

$$Ph_{2}CClCO_{2}Me + Ph_{2}C(OMe)CO_{2}Me + Ph_{2}C(OMe)_{2} \leftarrow$$
(3)
$$(4) \qquad (5)$$

$$SCHEME 1$$

$$Ph_{2}C \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigcirc} C - Cl_{2} \qquad Ph_{2}C \stackrel{\bullet}{\bigcirc} CCl_{2}$$

$$Ph_{2}CClC_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + CO \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} + Cl_{2} \leftarrow Ph_{2}CclOCl_{2} + Cl_{2} +$$

Scheme 2 shows a possible method of formation of these products. Carbonyl ylide (6),² formed initially, could break down to the alkoxyhalogenocarbene (8) which on the

† Presented in part at the 160th National Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Chicago, Illinois, September 14-18, 1970.

‡ All stated yields are based on (2).

 $\frac{1}{28\%}$ In a separate reaction of (1) and (2) in which the initially formed products were hydrolysed with aqueous sodium hydroxide, a 28% yield of benzilic acid was produced.

 \hat{T} The reaction of benzaldehyde with (2) in benzene has led to the identification of carbon monoxide and benzal chloride (in yields of *ca*. 27% each) in addition to evidence which suggests the presence of a small amount of chlorophenylacetyl chloride.

basis of previously published data³ would be expected to lose carbon monoxide and form (9) or rearrange to the acid chloride (10). (10) could also arise from (7), a reaction which has been previously observed.1 No evidence could be found for the presence of ketone (11).

Examination of the i.r. spectrum of a mixture of (1) and (2) in benzene revealed an additional reaction, that of reversible formation of a complex which has a separate carbonyl stretching frequency 16 cm⁻¹ less than that of the parent compound. Measurements of the band intensities (relative to calibrated standards) for mixtures of (1) and (2) in mole ratios of 2.75-0.55 together with the assumption of a 1:1 molar ratio in the complex results in an equilibrium constant for complex formation of $0.56 \pm 0.01.11$

Seyferth¹ has previously shown that the reaction between (2) and ketones containing extensive fluorine substitution adjacent to the carbonyl group results in an isolable dichloro-oxiran. Although it was suggested that the fluorine substituents on the ketone may be required for a reaction to occur, our results imply that such reactions may take place with a variety of ketones (and aldehydes), but that only those with very strong electron-withdrawing groups result in an oxiran with little tendency either to rearrange to an *a*-halogenoacid chloride or break down to carbon monoxide and a corresponding gem-dichloride.

Furthermore, the existence of a discrete mercurialcarbonyl complex, coupled with the observation that benzaldehyde and (2) evolve carbon monoxide at 25° , a temperature well below that at which (2) must be maintained to generate dichlorocarbene in the presence of olefins,⁴ suggests the possibility that a relatively free dichlorocarbene need not be an intermediate in the aldehyde or ketone reactions.

We acknowledge support from the National Institutes of Health in the form of a predoctoral fellowship to C.W.M.

(Received, October 12th, 1970; Com. 1760.)

 \dagger The corresponding constant for benzaldehyde complex formation with (2) was 0.57 \pm 0.05.

¹ D. Seyferth and W. Tronich, J. Organometallic Chem., 1969, 18, P8. ² For recent information on carbonyl ylides, see: Do-Minh, A. M. Trozzolo, and G. W. Griffin, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1402; D. R. Arnold and L. A. Karnischky, *ibid.*, p. 1404.

J. A. Landgrebe, Tetrahedron Letters, 1965, 105.

⁴ D. Seyferth, J. M. Burlitch, R. J. Minasz, J. Y.-P. Mui, H. D. Simmons, jun., A. J. H. Treiber, and S. R. Dowd, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 4259.