

## Intramolecular Rearrangement in Some Bis(chelate)titanium(IV) Complexes

By J. F. HARROD\* and K. TAYLOR

(Chemistry Department, McGill University, Montreal, Canada)

**Summary** The rate of molecular rearrangement of bis-(phenoxy)bis(chelate)titanium(IV) complexes increases with decreasing  $pK_a$  of the parent phenol and the activation entropies for the rearrangement are large and negative.

SINCE Bradley's original application of  $^1\text{H}$  n.m.r. methods to the study of molecular rearrangement in bis(alkoxy)bis-(acac)titanium(IV) complexes,<sup>1</sup> several similar studies have been reported.<sup>2-4</sup> None of these studies has thus far succeeded in establishing unequivocally which mechanism is responsible for the observed coalescence of the non-equivalent methyl proton signals of the *cis* acac ligands.

Three types of mechanism have been proposed, each involving an intermediate in which the methyl groups of the acac ligands become equivalent. (i) A torsional twist to produce a six-co-ordinate, trigonal prismatic transition state; (ii) a reversible heterolytic dissociation of the monodentate ligand to produce a five-co-ordinate, cationic intermediate, and (iii) a reversible dissociation of one end of the chelate ligand to produce either a neutral, or a cationic, five-co-ordinate intermediate.

We have applied the Bradley technique to many bis-(phenoxy)bis(chelate)titanium(IV) complexes. Some of our results, together with those of other workers, are summarized in Table 1. Two features should be noted. Firstly, the large negative  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  values observed by Bradley for the alkoxy-complexes are real and are also typically observed with the phenoxy-analogues. Secondly, there is a strong correlation between the electron-withdrawing power of the monodentate ligand and the coalescence temperature for the acac methyl resonance ( $T_c$ ). This correlation has some obvious anomalies, such as the relative positions of the 2-iodophenoxy- and 4-chlorophenoxy-complexes relative to the parent phenoxy-complex. In these cases the small changes in electronic effects (as reflected in the relatively minor changes in  $pK_a$ ) are probably less important than steric effects, or ground-state dipole effects. In spite of these anomalies, there is a correlation over a wide range.

We believe that the anomalously large negative  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  values typical of the phenoxy- and alkoxy-complexes cannot be reconciled with a mechanism involving only torsional twisting of the co-ordination faces of a pseudo-octahedral complex. Such  $\Delta S^\ddagger$  values indicate a large difference in polarity between the ground state and the

transition state, a condition that cannot result from converting a pseudo-octahedron into a pseudo-trigonal prism. On the other hand, Bradley could not detect n.m.r. line

bis(isopropoxy)bis(acac)titanium(IV) were identical. In the complex bis-(2,6-di-isopropylphenoxy)bis(acac)titanium(IV) the isopropyl groups are apparently unhindered and give

TABLE 1

| Coalescence temperatures and activation parameters for bis(acac)TiX <sub>2</sub> complexes |                      |                      |                                 |                                 |           |                 |  |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------------|--|
| Ligand (X)                                                                                 | E <sub>a</sub> /kcal | ΔS <sup>‡</sup> e.u. | T <sub>c</sub> /°C <sup>b</sup> | Solvent                         | Ref.      | pK <sub>a</sub> |  |
| MeO .. .. .                                                                                | 10.1 ± 0.4           | -19 ± 2              | +13                             | CCl <sub>4</sub>                | 1         | —               |  |
| Cl .. .. .                                                                                 | 11.2 ± 0.6           | -10 ± 2              | -25                             | CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> | 2         | —               |  |
| PhO .. .. .                                                                                | 10.1 ± 2.1           | -20                  | +10                             | CS <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>    | This work | 9.98            |  |
| <i>p</i> -Me-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                      | 9.9 ± 2.2            | -20                  | +14                             | "                               | "         | 10.26           |  |
| <i>p</i> -AcC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                       | —                    | —                    | +1                              | CHCl <sub>3</sub>               | "         | 8.47            |  |
| <i>p</i> -MeO-CO-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                  | —                    | —                    | -5                              | "                               | "         | 8.05            |  |
| <i>p</i> -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                       | 6.7 ± 1.6            | -31                  | +12                             | "                               | "         | 9.42            |  |
| <i>p</i> -NO <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                        | 6.7 ± 1.1            | -29                  | -12                             | "                               | "         | 7.15            |  |
| <i>o</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                       | 7.6 ± 1.3            | -29                  | +18                             | "                               | "         | 10.28           |  |
| <i>o</i> -Pr <sup>i</sup> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                         | —                    | —                    | +35                             | CS <sub>2</sub> <sup>a</sup>    | "         | —               |  |
| <i>o</i> -IC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                        | 8.1 ± 1.1            | -25                  | +5                              | CHCl <sub>3</sub>               | "         | 8.51            |  |
| <i>o</i> -ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub> -O .. .. .                                       | 6.0 ± 1.3            | -32                  | -12                             | "                               | "         | 8.48            |  |
| 2,6-Cl <sub>2</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>3</sub> -O .. .. .                              | —                    | —                    | -58                             | "                               | "         | 7.00            |  |
| 2,4,6-Cl <sub>3</sub> -C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>2</sub> -O .. .. .                            | —                    | —                    | -65 <sup>c</sup>                | "                               | "         | —               |  |
| C <sub>6</sub> F <sub>5</sub> -O .. .. .                                                   | —                    | —                    | -80 <sup>c</sup>                | "                               | "         | —               |  |

<sup>a</sup> No change in T<sub>c</sub> was observed using CHCl<sub>3</sub>. <sup>b</sup> Temperature at which CH<sub>3</sub> resonances of the acac ligand coalesce. <sup>c</sup> Upper limits.

TABLE 2

Coalescence temperatures and activation parameters for isopropoxy and isopropyl-substituted phenoxy complexes of titanium(IV)

| Chelate           | Ligand                  | E <sub>a</sub> <sup>‡</sup> /kcal | ΔS <sup>‡</sup> e.u. | T <sub>c</sub> /°C <sup>c</sup> | Solvent           |
|-------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|
| acac              | Isopropoxy              | 9.2 ± 0.9                         | -33.0                | +12                             | CHCl <sub>3</sub> |
| acac              | Isopropoxy              | 10.2 ± 1.0                        | -20                  | +17 <sup>e</sup>                | CCl <sub>4</sub>  |
| oxin <sup>a</sup> | "                       | 9.4 ± 1.8                         | -37.0                | +55                             | Dichlorobenzene   |
| quin <sup>b</sup> | "                       | —                                 | —                    | < -70                           | CHCl <sub>3</sub> |
| acac              | 2-Isopropylphenoxy      | —                                 | —                    | -45                             | CHCl <sub>3</sub> |
| oxin              | "                       | —                                 | —                    | < -35 <sup>d</sup>              | Pyridine          |
| quin              | "                       | —                                 | —                    | +35                             | CHCl <sub>3</sub> |
| acac              | 2,6-Di-isopropylphenoxy | —                                 | —                    | < -70                           | CHCl <sub>3</sub> |
| oxin              | "                       | 12.2 ± 0.2                        | -34.7                | +120                            | Dichlorobenzene   |
| quin              | "                       | 8.0 ± 1.1                         | -44.1                | +75                             | Dichlorobenzene   |

<sup>a</sup> 8-Hydroxyquinolate. <sup>b</sup> 2-Methyl-8-hydroxyquinolate. <sup>c</sup> Coalescence of resonances owing to inequivalent methyl protons on isopropyl groups. <sup>d</sup> Insolubility of this complex precluded exact measurement of T<sub>c</sub>. <sup>e</sup> Reference 1.

broadening with the parent alcohol as solvent for bis-(alkoxy)-complexes, suggesting that intermolecular exchange of alkoxy-groups was slower than the racemization process. We have observed that powerful donor ligands, such as pyridine, have virtually no effect on the coalescence temperatures of the present bis(phenoxy)-complexes. Such observations render an ionic dissociation mechanism unlikely.

In our opinion, the only type of mechanism that fits the large body of data now available would be one involving a transition state, or reactive intermediate, resembling a tightly bound ion-pair. Molecular rearrangement would occur *via* migration of the anion across the surface of the cationic complex, followed by collapse of the ion-pair to a neutral six-co-ordinate species.

We have also investigated the coalescence of non-equivalent methyl group resonances in isopropoxy- and 2,6-di-isopropylphenoxy-complexes. Bradley observed that the activation parameters for coalescence of the acac methyl resonances and the isopropyl methyl resonances of

only a single doublet down to -70 °C. However, in the oxinate and quinaldinate analogues, the isopropyl groups give rise to two separate doublets of equal intensity at room temperature and these coalesce to a single doublet at 120 and 75 °C, respectively. The activation parameters for these coalescence phenomena are strikingly similar to those observed with acac complexes, particularly with respect to the large negative ΔS<sup>‡</sup> values. Some data for these types of complex are in Table 2. The similarity of the activation parameters suggests that the coalescence of the methyl resonances in the isopropylphenoxy-complexes probably occurs as a result of a similar process to that operating in the acac complexes. The large variations in actual coalescence temperatures in Table 2 for the various types of complex reflects the gross differences in molecular properties of the complexes and cannot be assigned any special significance at present.

This work was supported by a grant from the National Research Council of Canada.

(Received, April 7th, 1971; Com. 517.)

<sup>1</sup> D. C. Bradley and C. E. Holloway, *Chem. Comm.*, 1965, 284; *J. Chem. Soc. (A)*, 1969, 282.

<sup>2</sup> R. C. Fay and R. N. Lowry, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1967, 6, 1512; N. Serpone and R. C. Fay, *ibid.*, p. 1835.

<sup>3</sup> H. Weingarten, M. G. Miles, and N. K. Edelman, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1968, 7, 879.

<sup>4</sup> J. E. Brady, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1969, 8, 1208.