Synthesis of Model Aryltetralin Lignans: Adducts of o-Methylbenzophenone with Unsymmetrical Dienophiles

By Elliott Block and Robert Stevenson*

(Department of Chemistry, Brandeis University, Waltham, Massachusetts 02154)

Summary Photoirradiation of o-methylbenzophenone in the presence of unsymmetrical dienophiles yields aryltetralin adducts of defined configuration and structurally related to natural lignans.

SINCE the demonstration¹ that some *o*-alkylbenzophenones may undergo photoenolization, there has been considerable interest in the mechanism of formation and nature of transient intermediates.²⁻⁵ Recently, evidence for the formation of both enols Z-(1) and E-(1) from (1) has been provided, the former being shorter lived in aprotic solvents owing to internal ketonization.^{3b} Chemical evidence for the longer lived enol E-(1) exists from the structure of the product (2) obtained by irradiation of (1) in the presence of maleic anhydride.⁶

There has been little exploitation of this reaction in synthesis,^{6,7} and only symmetrical dienophiles have been used as Diels-Alder traps of the photoenols. The synthesis of lignans of the arylnaphthalene (e.g. helioxanthin⁸), aryldihydronaphthalene (e.g. collinusin⁹), and aryltetralin (e.g. otobain¹⁰) classes prompted a study with particular regard to stereospecific lactone formation. We have found



that when o-methylbenzophenone (1) is irradiated (Hanovia 8A-1 high-pressure lamp, Pyrex filter) in benzene under reflux with 4-hydroxybut-2-enoic acid lactone¹¹ (an unsymmetrical dienophile previously reported as rather unreactive¹²) the only isolated product (50% yield) was an adduct, m.p. 144-145° to which we attribute the structure (3a) (i.r. and n.m.r. spectra). Chemical evidence, excluding structure (3b), was obtained by treatment of the adduct with hydrochloric acid in methanol to effect rapid and quantitative dehydration to the known aryldihydronaphthalene lactone (4).¹³ The relative configurations at the three asymmetric carbon atoms were established by reduction of the adduct with lithium aluminium hydride to yield a triol (5), m.p. 132-136°, identical with that obtained by similar reduction of the anhydride (2) of established stereochemistry.6 The same carbonyl orientation in adduct formation was observed in irradiation of (1) in the presence of the unsymmetrical and trans-dienophile, crotonaldehyde, to give as the sole isolated product (27%) the aldehyde (6), m.p. 156-157°, which also was readily dehydrated by heating with florisil in benzene, to yield the conjugated aldehyde (7), m.p. 115—116°, v_{max} (KBr) 1656 cm⁻¹.

With regard to general aspects of lignan synthesis, 1-hydroxyphenyltetralins are readily aromatized to phenylnaphthalenes $[e.g. (3a) \rightarrow (4) \rightarrow (8a)]$, and lactones of type (8a) can be conveniently converted into the isomeric lactones (8b) by reduction with lithium aluminium hydride followed by silver carbonate-Celite oxidation.8

Satisfactory elemental analyses were obtained for all compounds.

We thank the National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases for a research grant (to R.S.) and the Gillette Company for a fellowship (to E.B.).

(Received, April 14th, 1971; Com. 558.)

¹ (a) N. C. Yang and C. Rivas, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 2213; (b) E. F. Zwicker, L. I. Grossweiner, and N. C. Yang, ibid., 1963, 85, 2671.

³ J. N. Pitts, jun., H. W. Johnson, jun., and T. Kuwana, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1962, 66, 2456. ³ (a) G. Porter and M. R. Topp, *Proc. Roy. Soc.*, 1970, *A*, 315, 163; (b) G. Porter and M. F. Tchir, *Chem. Comm.*, 1970, 1372; (c) A. Beckett and G. Porter, *Trans. Faraday Soc.*, 1963, 59, 2051.

4 (a) W. A. Henderson, jun. and E. F. Ullman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 5424; (b) E. F. Ullman and K. R. Huffman, Tetrahedron Letters, 1965, 1863; (c) K. R. Huffman, M. Loy, and E. F. Ullman, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1965, 87, 5417; (d) E. F. Ullman, Accounts Chem. Res., 1968, 33, 3469; (e) K. R. Huffman, M. Loy, W. A. Henderson, jun., and E. F. Ullman, Tetrahedron Letters, 1967, 931.
3469; (f) K. R. Huffman, M. Loy, W. A. Henderson, jun., and E. F. Ullman, Tetrahedron Letters, 1967, 931.

⁶ (a) M. Pfau, N. D. Heindel, and T. F. Lemke, Compt. rend., 1965, 261, C, 1017; (b) N. D. Heindel, E. W. Sarver, and M. A. Pfau, Tetrahedron Letters, 1968, 3579; (c) M. Pfau, E. W. Sarver, and N. D. Heindel, Compt. rend., 1969, 268, C, 1167; (d) N. D. Heindel, J. Molnar, and M. Pfau, Chem. Comm., 1970, 1373. • F. Nerdel and W. Brodowski, Chem. Ber., 1968, 101, 1398. ? S. M. Mellows and P. G. Sammes, Chem. Comm., 1971, 21.

* T. L. Holmes and R. Stevenson, Tetrahedron Letters, 1970, 199; J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1971, in the press.

* E. Block and R. Stevenson, Chem. and Ind., 1970, 894.

¹⁹ I. Maclean and R. Stevenson, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1966, 1717.

¹¹ R. Palm, H. Ohse, and H. Cherdron, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1966, 5, 994.

- 12 J. J. Leavitt, Ph.D. Dissertation (Harvard University, 1942), quoted by H. L. Holmes, Org. Reactions, 1948, 4, 72.
- ¹⁹ L. H. Klemm, D. Hsu Lee, K. W. Gopinath, and C. E. Klopfenstein, J. Org. Chem., 1966, 31, 2376.