The Electron Affinity of Tungsten Hexafluoride

By J. BURGESS, I. HAIGH, and R. D. PEACOCK*

(Department of Chemistry, University of Leicester, Leicester LE1 7RH)

Summary From the measured heat of hydrolysis of KWF₆ in alkaline hypochlorite $(-123.6 \pm 2.6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, the heat of formation of KWF₆, the electron affinity of WF₆, and the fluoride ion affinity of WF₅ are estimated to be -541 ± 3 , 120 ± 5 , and $145 \pm 6 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ respectively, at 25° .

Few electron affinities of compounds have been reported. From the reactions of transition metal hexafluorides with oxidisable molecules such as O_2 , NO, and NO₂, Bartlett¹ has made qualitative estimates of the minimum values of the electron affinities of PtF_6 (156), IrF_6 (135), OsF_6 (108) and ReF_6 (90 kcal mol⁻¹), but so far these have not been confirmed quantitatively.

The hydrolysis of $\overline{KW^{v}}F_{e}$, by alkaline hypochlorite occurs quantitatively according to equation (1).

$$\begin{array}{l} {\rm KWF}_6({\rm S}) \,+\, \frac{1}{2}{\rm OCl}^-({\rm aq}) \,+\, 70{\rm H}^-({\rm aq}) \rightarrow \\ {\rm K}^+({\rm aq}) \,+\, {\rm WO}_4{}^{2-}({\rm aq}) \,+\, \frac{1}{2}{\rm Cl}^-({\rm aq}) \,+\, \frac{7}{2}{\rm H}_2{\rm O} \end{array} \tag{1}$$

A frangible glass bulb, containing a known mass of the compound, was broken into a solution of sodium hydroxide glass Dewar calorimeter. The temperature change of the contents of the calorimeter was followed using a thermistor whose changing resistance was monitored by a Kipp BD2 recorder incorporated in a Wheatstone bridge. The recorder was calibrated by supplying a known amount of heat into the calorimeter through a constantin wire heater.

Using the measured heat of hydrolysis $(-123\cdot 6 \pm 2\cdot 6)$ kcal mol-1) and the known standard enthalpies of forma $tion^{2,3,4}$ (in kcal mol⁻¹) of OCl⁻(aq) (-26.2), OH⁻(aq) (-54.97), K+(aq) (-60.04), WO₄²⁻(aq) (-266.6), Cl⁻(aq) (-39.95), F⁻(aq) (-79.50), and H₂O(aq) (-68.315), the enthalpy or formation of KW^vF_6 is estimated to be -541 ± 3 kcal mol⁻¹. KW^vF₆ has a structure which is a slightly distorted tetragonal variant of the CsCl type;⁵ the K-W distance, deducted from the lattice constants, is 4.40 Å, from which a lattice enthalpy of 122.9 kcal mol⁻¹ may be calculated (assuming an undistorted CsCl structure) using the Born-Mayer equation. Using a heat of formation

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{K}^{*}(g) + \mathsf{WF}_{6}(g) \xrightarrow{\Delta H_{\mathsf{E}}} \mathsf{K}^{*}(g) + \mathsf{WF}_{6}^{-}(g) \\ + 123\cdot1 & -421 & -122\cdot9 \\ \mathsf{K}(s) + \mathsf{W}(s) + 3\mathsf{F}_{2}(g) \xrightarrow{-541\cdot2} \mathsf{KWF}_{6}(s) \end{array}$$

SCHEME 1. (Enthalpies in kcal mol^{-1})

(N or 0.01N) and sodium hypochlorite (1.5%) contained in a \cdot of gaseous WF₆ (-421 kcal mol⁻¹) estimated from heat of hydrolysis measurements⁶[†] the electron affinity $(-\Delta H_{\rm E})$ of gaseous WF₆ is estimated to be 120 ± 5 kcal mol⁻¹ at 25° from the appropriate thermochemical cycle (Scheme 1). From the known heat of oxidation of solid WF_5 to gaseous

 WF_6 (-65.63)⁷ and the heat of sublimation of WF_5 (23.4 kcal mol⁻¹),⁸ the fluoride affinity of WF₅ ($\Delta H_{\rm F}$) is estimated (Scheme 2) to be 145 ± 6 kcal mol.⁻¹

$$WF_{5}(g) + \frac{1}{2}F_{2}(g) \xrightarrow{-89} WF_{6}(g)$$

+64.7
$$WF_{5}(g) + F^{-}(g) \xrightarrow{\Delta H_{F}} WF_{6}(g)$$

SCHEME 2. (Enthalpies in kcal
$$mol^{-1}$$
)

Bartlett's data suggest a minimum value for the electron affinity of WF₆ of 75-80 kcal mol⁻¹, but this can be reconciled with the present estimate if allowance is made for the probable exothermic nature of the gas reactions and for entropy changes in them. The only other estimate of fluoride ion affinity is that for the reaction $BF_3(g) + F^-(g)$ $\rightarrow BF_4^{-}(g) (-\Delta H_F = 76 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}).$

(Received, June 21st, 1971; Com. 1018.)

† There is disagreement between this and the fluorine bomb calorimeter value of 411.7 ± 0.5 kcal mol^{-1.7}

- ¹ N. Bartlett, Angew. Chem., 1968, 7, 433.

- ¹ J. E. McDonald, J. P. King, and J. W. Cobble, J. Phys. Chem., 1960, 64, 1345.
 ³ F. D. Rossini, D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, S. Levine, and I. Jaffe, Circular NBS 1952, 500.
 ⁴ D. D. Wagman, W. H. Evans, I. Halow, V. B. Parker, S. M. Bailey, and R. H. Schumm, NBS Technical Note 1965, 270-1.
- ⁵ G. B. Hargreaves and R. D. Peacock, J. Chem. Soc., 1957, 4212.
- B. Magicards and R. Brady, J. Phys. Chem., 1960, 64, 591.
 G. E. Myers and A. P. Brady, J. Phys. Chem., 1960, 64, 591.
 J. Schröder and F. J. Sieben, Chem. Ber., 1970, 103, 76.
 J. Schröder and F. J. Grewe, Chem. Ber., 1970, 103, 1536.
 T. C. Waddington, Adv. Inorg. Chem. Radiochem., 1959, 1, 159.