Electron Spin Resonance of Transient Radicals During Photoreactions of Aliphatic Ketones

By H. PAUL and H. FISCHER*

(Physikalisch-Chemisches Institut der Universitat Zürich, Rämistr. 76, CH-8001 Zürich)

Summary During u.v.-irradiation of aliphatic ketones in solution, e.s.r. spectra are observed of radicals due to photoreduction, Norrish Type I cleavage, and other reactions of excited ketones.

We report an e.s.r. study on photoreactions of aliphatic ketones in methylcyclopentane and trichlorofluoromethane. The solutions (10% ketone v/v) were irradiated in the cavity of an e.s.r. spectrometer as they slowly flowed (0.05 ml/min) through a flat quartz cell, the temperature of which could be varied (-110 °C < T < +70 °C) by a flow of gaseous nitrogen. Filtered light (230 nm < λ < 340 nm) from a 1 kW high-pressure mercury arc lamp (Philips SP 1000 W) was used.

During irradiation of acetone, ethyl methyl ketone, and methyl propyl ketone in methylcyclopentane, e.s.r. spectra of radicals produced in photoreductions¹⁻³ Me₂COH, EtMe-COH, and PrMeCOH were observed. In all cases further lines were present, part of which (quartet of quintets) are attributed to the solvent radical $CH_2 \cdot [CH_2]_3 \cdot C \cdot Me$.

Isopropyl methyl ketone, methyl t-butyl ketone, and

benzyl methyl ketone in methylcyclopentane gave intense and well resolved e.s.r. spectra of the radicals Me₂CH, Me₃C, and PhCH₂, respectively, which are formed by Norrish Type I cleavage of the excited ketones.¹⁻³ The lines of the radicals MeC(OH)COMe⁴ were also present in all three spectra. Acetyl radicals or photoreduction intermediates of the ketones were not observed. Me₂CH was found also during photolysis of di-isopropyl ketone in the same solvent. The Figure shows the spectrum of Me₂CH (A) and the Me₃Clines (B) from di-isopropyl ketone and methyl t-butyl ketone, respectively at -70 °C. Second order splittings are nearly fully resolved.

Irradiation of acetone, ethyl methyl ketone, and methyl propyl ketone in CCl_3F gave rather complex e.s.r. spectra from which $\cdot CCl_2F$ could be identified in all three cases. Other lines were in part due to $\cdot CH_2 \cdot COMe$, MeCHCOMe, and EtCHCOMe, respectively.

Photolysis of pure CCl₃F yielded no e.s.r. spectrum. Therefore under our conditions the \cdot CCl₂F radicals cannot be formed in appreciable amounts by direct photolysis of CCl₃F to give \cdot Cl and \cdot CCl₂F. It is known, however,^{5,6} that CCl₄ cleaves into \cdot Cl and \cdot CCl₃ via exciplex formation with

1039

TABLE

Coupling constants and g-factors

Radicals from reduction

				Coupling	constants (to $+0$	•05 G)		
Radicals		$T/^{\circ}C$	$a_{\rm H}(\beta)$	$a_{\rm H}(\gamma)$	$a_{\rm H}({\rm OH})$	$g \pm 0.0001$	Ref.	
Me ₂ ĊOH	••	••	-13	19·46 (CH ₃)			2.0031	8
EtMeĊOH	••	••	-13	19.50 (CH ₃)	0·35 (CH ₃)	0.35	2.0031	
PrMeĊOH	••	••	-43	19.70 (CH ₃)	0·78 (CH ₂)		2.0031	
			-13	$16.38 (CH_2)$ $22.22 (CH_3)$			2.0027	
$CH_2 \cdot [CH_2]_3 \cdot C \cdot Me$		••		32·87 (CH ₂)				

Radicals from Norrish Type I cleavage

	Coupling constants (to ± 0.02 G)							
				$a_{\mathbf{H}}(\mathbf{\alpha})$	$a_{\mathbf{H}}(\beta)$	$a_{\rm H}({\rm PL})$		
Me₂ĊH			-70	21.94	24.69		2.0026	9-11
Me _s Ċ	••	••	-70		22.74		2.0026	9—11
PhĊH ₂	••	••	+30	16-28		5.15(o)	2.0026	10
						6.17 (p)		

Radicals formed in CCl₃F

			Coupling constants (to ± 0.1 G)					
				$a_{\mathbf{H}}(\boldsymbol{\alpha})$	$a_{\rm H}(\beta)$	a _H (COMe)		
•CH ₂ COMe			-80	19.7			2.0044	8
MeCHCOMe	••	••	-30	19.0	22.2	0.9	2.0043	12
EtCHCOMe		••	- 4	18.8	19.9	0.9	2.0043	
•CCl ₂ F	••	••	-80	$a(^{35}Cl) = 10.4$	$a(\mathbf{F}) = 84 \cdot 0$		2.0069	13
				$a({}^{\circ}CI) = 8.7$				



FIGURE. E.s.r. spectrum of Me₂ĊH (A) and e.s.r. lines of Me₃Ċ (B) at -70°C.

- J. G. Calvert and J. N. Pitts, jun., 'Photochemistry,' Wiley, New York, 1966.
 P. J. Wagner and G. S. Hammond, Adv. Photochem., 1968, 5, 21.
 J. C. Dalton and N. J. Turro, Ann. Rev. Phys. Chem., 1970, 21, 499.
 H. Zeldes and R. Livingston, J. Chem. Phys., 1967, 47, 1465.
 J. B. Birks, 'Photophysics of Aromatic Molecules,' Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970.
 R. Kaptein Thesis, University of Leiden, Netherlands, 1971.
 J. E. Gregory and M. H. J. Wijnen, J. Chem. Phys., 1963, 38, 2925.
 H. Zeldes and R. Livingston, J. Chem. Phys., 1966, 45, 1946.
 R. W. Fessenden and R. H. Schuler, J. Chem. Phys., 1963, 39, 2147.
 P. J. Krusic and J. K. Kochi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 7155.
 H. Fischer, J. Phys. Chem., 1969, 73, 3834.
 H. Fischer, Z. Naturforsch., 1965, 20a, 428.
 A. J. Bowles, A. Hudson, and R. A. Jackson, Chem. Phys. Letters, 1970, 5, 552.

excited molecules. We presume therefore that in solutions containing ketones CCl₃F can fragment in a similar process. The radicals **RCHCOMe** are probably then formed via reaction (1). This agrees with studies on the photolysis of

$$RCH_2COMe + \cdot Cl \longrightarrow RCHCOMe + HCl$$
 (1)

gaseous mixtures of diethyl ketone and carbon tetrachloride' in which •Cl and not •CCl₃ radicals were found to undergo reaction (1).

Coupling constants and g-factors of the observed radicals are summarized in the Table.

Support by the Swiss National Foundation for Scientific Research is gratefully acknowledged.

(Received, June 18th, 1971; Com. 1026.)