1447

Crystal and Molecular Structure of SS-Diethyl-N-dichloroacetylsulphilimine

By A KÁLMÁN* and K SASVÁRI

(Central Research Institute for Chemistry, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest PO 114/17, Hungary)

and Á KUCSMAN

(Institute of Organic Chemistry, L Eotvös University, Budapest, Hungary)

Summary The structure of $Et_2S: N \operatorname{CO}CHCl_2$ has been determined by X-ray analysis and its electronic structure and conformation are discussed

PREVIOUSLY¹ it was assumed that the resonance (Ia) \leftrightarrow (Ib) is characteristic of *N*-halogenoacetylsulphilmines and that



the SIV-N $d\pi$ bond is somewhat weaker than that in Nsulphonylsulphilimines. These conclusions are verified by the present X-ray structure determination of $Et_2S\cdot N\cdot CO$ -CHCl₂; cf. the SN, CN, and CO bond lengths in Figure 1 and the planarity of the S,N,C(2),O,C(1) moiety.

Crystal data Colourless, mostly twinned crystals of $C_6H_{11}ONSCl_2$ (M 216.14) are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$ (No. 14) with a = 6.946(6), b = 11.323(6), c = 12.450(10) Å, $\beta = 95.29(9)^{\circ}$, U = 975.0 Å³, $D_{c} = 1.472$, $D_{0} = 1.468$ g cm⁻³, Z = 4, F(000) = 448, and $\mu(Cu-K_{\alpha}) = 74.7 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

The intensities of 963 independent reflections were recorded on integrated Weissenberg photographs.[†] The structure was solved by symbolic addition and Fourier methods. Least-squares refinement (using anisotropic thermal parameters for the non-hydrogen atoms) has reached an R factor of 0.103.

The S-N bond length determined is different from that of 1.692 Å calculated³ from i.r. data. Similarly to N-sul-



FIGURE 1. Conformation, bond lengths, and bond angles of crystalline SS-diethyl-N-dichloroacetylsulphilimine.

phonylsulphilimines, SIV-C; distances differ significantly in Et₂S: N·CO·CHCl₂ (Figure 1). The bond angles in the S(C,C,N) pyramid are similar to the corresponding ones in N-sulphoxylsulphilimines and to the S(C,C,O) angles of sulphoxides, indicating the same hybridization of the S^{IV} atom in all these compounds. The rather low $C(2)-N-S^{IV}$ bond angle can be explained by the repulsion effect of the lone pair of the sp^2 nitrogen atom.

The dichloroacetyl group is linked asymmetrically to the nitrogen atom of the Et₂SN group (Figures 1 and 2; cf.



FIGURE 2. Newman projection of the (C,C)S-N(C) group in Et₂S: N·CO·CHCl₂.

asymmetric conformation of the S-symmetric N-sulphonylsulphilimines²). It is characteristic of the arrangement around the C(2)N group that the carbonyl oxygen and the lone pair of the nitrogen atom are trans, owing to repulsion. The relatively large value of the N-C(2)-O bond angle $(130 \cdot 1^{\circ})$ may be explained by the *cis* arrangement of the sulphur and oxygen atoms and by the repulsion between the C(2)-N and C(2)-O π -bonds.

We thank Dr. I. Kapovits for the crystals and Mr. K. Simon for help with some of computations.

(Received, July 19th, 1971; Com. 1234.)

† Crystals (A. Kálmán and G. Argay, J. Sci. Instr., 1965, 42, 483; A. Kálmán, ibid., 1967, 44, 643.) were changed after each layer in order to diminish the errors caused by decomposition during exposures.

¹ Å. Kucsman, F. Ruff, I. Kapovits, and J. G. Fischer, Tetrahedron, 1966, 22, 1843; Å. Kucsman, F. Ruff, and B. Tanács, Spectrochim. Acta, submitted for publication; P. Mezey, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 1970, 65, 389. ² A. Kálmán, Acta Cryst., 1967, 22, 501; Á. Kucsman, A. Kálmán, and I. Kapovits, Acta Chim. Acad. Sci. Hung., 1967, 53, 97;

A. Kálmán, B. Duffin, and A. Kucsman, Acta Cryst., 1971, B27, 586.

³ A. J. Banister, L. F. Moore, and J. S. Padley, Spectrochim. Acta, 1967, 23A, 2705.