137

## Non-rigid Carbon–Carbon Double Bonds: Conformational Assignments of Keten Methyl Trimethylsilyl Acetals

By YU-NENG KUO, FRANCIS CHEN, and C. AINSWORTH\*

(Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80521)

Summary An n.m.r. study of keten methyl trimethylsilyl acetals shows that substitution effects can permit free rotation of the carbon-carbon double bond at room temperature.

THE preceding communication reported<sup>1</sup> the preparation of the title compounds  $R^{1}R^{2}C=C(OMe)OSiMe_{3}$  (I;  $R^{1}=R^{2}$ = H, Me and Ph, and  $R^{1}$  = Ph,  $R^{2}$  = H and Me). We find that the related compounds (II; R = H, Me, Et, Ph, and CO<sub>2</sub>Me) can be readily prepared from sodium salts of dimethyl malonate<sup>2</sup> or monosubstituted malonates with trimethylchlorosilane (Me<sub>3</sub>ClSi). The stereochemistry of

$$\stackrel{-}{\operatorname{RC}}(\operatorname{CO}_{2}\operatorname{Me})_{2} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{Me}_{3}\operatorname{CISi}} \operatorname{R}(\operatorname{MeO}_{2}\operatorname{C})\operatorname{C} = \operatorname{C}(\operatorname{OMe})\operatorname{OSiMe}_{3}$$
(II)

(I) and (II) is established from a consideration of the spectral data in the Table.

The data show several unusual features. First is the equivalency of the OMe and  $CO_2Me$  n.m.r. signals for compounds (II; R=H and Me) and for the major isomer when R=Ph. We attribute this to a low energy tautomerism<sup>†</sup> (see Scheme) for the isomer designated *cis*, *i.e.*,

with  $\rm CO_2Me$  and  $\rm OSiMe_3$  on the same side of the carbon-carbon double bond. Our findings are similar to those of



Pinnavaia<sup>3</sup> who observed a rapid rearrangement of one isomer of trimethylsilylacetylacetonate. For (II; R=H and Me) only the *cis*-isomer was obtained but for (II; R=Ph) about 10% of the *trans*-compound was present.

 $\dagger$  N.m.r. temperature studies at -60° failed to resolve the OMe equivalency of (II; R = Ph).

For the *trans*-isomer the OMe and  $CO_2Me$  n.m.r. signals are different.

the plane of the phenyl group. Thus the 3Me of the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> group are in the positive shielding region of the

				1		•	•	•			
			N.n	n.r. (CCl <sub>4</sub> )	$\delta$ values at amb	ient temperat	turea		I.r. $(C=C)$	U.v.	(hexane)
	Compour	nd	OSiMe <sub>3</sub>	OMe	CMe	Ph	CO <sub>2</sub> Me	н	stretch) (cm <sup>-1</sup> )	$\lambda_{\max}$	$\epsilon  imes 10^4$
(I; R	$^{1} = R^{2} = Me$	••	 0.18	3.48	1·48, 1·54q				1708 <sup>b</sup>		
$i : \mathbf{R}$	$^{1} = R^{2} = Ph$		 0.03	3.50		7.20			1630 <sup>b</sup>	267	1.32
(I; R)	$^{1}=H, R^{2}=Ph$	) (a) <sup>c</sup>	 0.29	3.62		6.9 - 7.4m		4.62	16401660 <sup>b</sup>	<b>268</b>	1.42
		(b)	 0.26	3.60		6.9 - 7.4m		4.52			
(I; R	$^{1}$ = Me, R <sup>2</sup> = Pl	h) (a) ª	 0.25	3.40	1.86	7.0 - 7.4m			1650—1670 <sup>b</sup>	260	0.840
、 <i>·</i>		(b)a	 -0.05	3.60	1.90	7.25				200	0.040
(II: F	R = H (b)	′	 0.27	3.58			3.58	4.25	1600		
(II; F	R = Me) (b)		 0.29	3.52	1.66		3.52		1600 br		
(11: H	$R = Ph(a)^{f}$		 0.37	3.50		7.15	3.50		1560 br		
( )	í (b) t		 0.02	3.55		7.20	3.75		1000 DI		
(II; F	$R = CO_{\bullet}Me$		 0.35	3.62			3.65		1530 br		
	- /										

Spectral measurements for $R^{T}R^{2}C = C(OMe)OSIME$	Spectral	measurements	for	$R^{1}R^{2}C = C(OMe)OSiMe_{2}$
---	----------	--------------	-----	---------------------------------

<sup>a</sup> Spectra recorded using a Varian HA-60A Spectrometer equipped with a variable temperature probe.

<sup>b</sup> Strong band at 860—870 cm<sup>-1</sup> with overtone at 1720—1740 cm<sup>-1</sup>. See refs. 2 and 6 for related compounds.

<sup>c</sup> Obtained as a pure isomer. The stereochemistry of (Ia) and (Ib) is not assigned.

<sup>d</sup> Obtained as a mixture.

• Contains 30% of isomer (a).

<sup>1</sup> The crude isomer mixture was used since the product underwent change on distillation at reduced pressure.

(II;  $R=CO_2Me$ ) is even more unusual in that all of the OMe signals are equivalent in both carbon tetrachloride and benzene. On cooling a CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution to  $-40^{\circ}$ , the OMe singlet signal broadened while the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> signal was essentially unchanged. Thus, at room temperature, a major contributor to the structure appears to be the ionic one (III) that permits rotation<sup>4d</sup> and with tautomerism explains the equivalency of the OMe.



The data in the Table show that whereas (I;  $R^1 = R^2 = Me$ ) has two methyl signals, (I;  $R^1 = R^2 = Ph$ ) has a single phenyl signal. Heating to 140° failed to change the spectrum of the methyl compound, whereas cooling to  $-60^{\circ}$  did not separate the phenyl signals. This is consistent with a stabilization contribution to the dipolar form

 $Ph_2C-C(OMe)OSiMe_3$  by the phenyl group permitting free rotation and equivalency. Recently,  $Shvo^{4c}$  has shown that the vinyl ether  $(MeO_2C)_2C=C(Bu^{\dagger})OMe$  has a particularly low energy barrier of rotation (18.3 kcal mol<sup>-1</sup>) about the carbon-carbon double bond.

Analysis of the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> chemical shift data is the key to assigning the stereochemistry of unsymmetrical compounds in the Table. For many of the compounds the chemical shift value is in the  $\delta$  0·20—0·35 range but for the diphenyl compound (I) the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> shift at  $\delta$  0·03 is at relatively high field. This is inconsistent with the dipolar structure. However, in this molecule other factors related to the n.m.r. shift values of OSiMe<sub>3</sub> are important. Steric hindrance prevents the phenyl groups from being coplanar with the ene system. This is shown in the n.m.r. spectrum by the phenyl signal appearing as a singlet.<sup>5</sup> The OSiMe<sub>3</sub> group is *cis* to the non-coplanar phenyl substituent and Dreiding models show that these methyl groups are above phenyl ring and the chemical shift is at the high field value of  $\delta$  0.03.1

The isomer assignments in the Table are related to the analysis of the n.m.r. spectrum of the diphenyl compound (I). For form (a) of (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = Me$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = Ph$ ), the phenyl signal is a multiplet, indicating a high degree of electronic



interaction (coplanarity) with the ene system, whereas in form (b) the phenyl signal is a singlet, indicating noncoplanarity and upfield shifting of the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> signal by the phenyl group. For (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = H$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{P}h$ ) the methyl signals of the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> group of both isomers are at relatively low field values of  $\delta$  0.29 and 0.26. We believe this is due to the phenyl being co-planar with the ene system in both isomers. Consistent with this explanation is the finding that the phenyl signal of each isomer is a multiplet. Thus a subtle difference in coplanarity of system (I) exists between (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = H$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{P}h$ ) and (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = Me$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{P}h$ ) due to the steric effect of the methyl group compared with hydrogen.

The i.r.<sup>2,6</sup> and u.v. data are in agreement with the assigned structures. Of particular interest is the extinction coefficient values for compounds (I) shown in the Table. Data for (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{P}h$ ) and (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{H}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{P}h$ ) are in agreement with those for phenylketen acetals that have  $\lambda_{\max}$  (cyclohexane) 265 nm ( $\epsilon$  16,000–17,000).<sup>7</sup> The low  $\epsilon$  value for (I;  $\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{M}e$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{P}h$ ) is in agreement with the earlier conclusion that this molecule in form (b) (Ph and OSiMe<sub>3</sub> cis) is not planar.

Correlation of stereochemical assignment by  $OSiMe_3$ shift value and tautomerism is illustrated by (II; R = Ph). Thus, the *trans*-isomer (b) (CO<sub>2</sub>Me and OSiMe<sub>3</sub> *trans*) which does not undergo tautomerism (different shift values

<sup>‡</sup> Diphenylketen bistrimethylsilyl acetal shows the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> signal coincident with Me<sub>4</sub>Si. OSiMe<sub>3</sub> is slightly more electron donating than OMe as seen for the CMe shifts of MeCO<sub>2</sub>Me vs. MeCO<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub> ( $\delta$  1.95).

for  $CO_2Me$  and OMe) has a *cis*-relationship between phenyl and OS:Me3. This relationship where the phenyl is not coplanar (phenyl signal is a singlet) results in a diamagnetic shift of OSiMe<sub>3</sub> signal to a value near that of Me<sub>4</sub>Si. (IIa;  $R = Ph_{\gamma}$  on the other hand displays tautomerism and has the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> function trans to phenyl with concomitant downfield OSiMe<sub>3</sub> n.m.r. signal.

The final compound (I) of the series was obtained from 9-methoxycarbonylfluorene. The n.m.r. signals of the OSiMe<sub>3</sub> and OMe occur at  $\delta$  0.39 and 3.92, respectively. These are at lower field than any of the corresponding values given in the Table. This is in contrast with (I;  $R^1 = R^2 = Ph$ ) in which the phenyl groups are not coplanar and the methyl signal of OSiMe<sub>3</sub> is at high shift value. Thus, a high degree of ionic character is indicated for the molecule, as would be expected for the fluorenide form.

Spectral data for  $R_2C = C(OSiMe_3)_2$  compounds were consistent with those of compounds (I).

Spectral studies of the title compounds lead to the conclusion that freedom of rotation about a carbon-carbon double bond is influenced by the substituents attached to the double bond. The rigidity is decreased as electron-donating groups (e.g., OR) are located on one carbon atom while electron-attracting groups (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub>R, Ph) are attached to the other atom of the double bond. The reduction of carbon-carbon double bond character can result in freedom of rotation at room temperature.

Support for this work by grants from the National Institutes of Health and the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society is acknowledged. We thank Kenneth E. DeBruin for helpful discussion.

(Received, November 2nd, 1970; Com. 1897.)

<sup>1</sup>Y. N. Kuo, F. Chen, C. Ainsworth, and J. J. Bloomfield, preceding communication.

<sup>2</sup> C. R. Krueger and E. G. Rochow, J. Organometallic Chem., 1964, 1, 476. <sup>3</sup> J. J. Howe and T. J. Pinnavaia, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 5378; T. J. Pinnavaia, W. T. Collins, and J. J. Howe, *ibid.*, 1970, 92, 4544.

(a) For an early discussion of freedom of rotation about the carbon-carbon double bond, see M. Calvin and R. E. Buckles, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1940, 62, 3324; (b) S. M. McElvain, Chem. Rev., 1945, 45, 453; (c) Y. Shvo, Tetrahedron Letters, 1968, 5923; (d) See H. Kessler, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1970, 9, 219 for recent references. <sup>5</sup> L. G. Tensmeyer and C. Ainsworth, J. Org. Chem., 1966, 31, 1878.

S. M. McElvain and R. E. Starn, jun., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1955, 77, 4571, report the carbon-carbon double bond stretch frequency of tetramethylketen acetal at 1710 cm<sup>-1</sup>

<sup>7</sup> J. E. Baldwin and L. E. Walker, J. Org. Chem., 1966, 31, 3985.