## Homogeneous Transfer-hydrogenation of Olefins Catalysed by Fe<sup>II</sup>, Co<sup>II</sup>, and Ni<sup>II</sup> Complexes: o- and p-Dihydroxybenzene as Hydrogen Donors

By Takeshi Nishiguchi\* and Kazuo Fukuzumi

(Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Japan)

Summary In the homogeneous hydrogenation of eightmembered cyclo-olefins, o- and p-dihydroxybenzene were superior to alcohols as hydrogen donors, and FeCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> and FeBr<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> were the most active catalysts of the complexes MX<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, where M is Fe<sup>II</sup>, Co<sup>II</sup>, and Ni<sup>II</sup>, and X is Cl<sup>-</sup>, Br<sup>-</sup>, and I<sup>-</sup>.

In almost all catalytic hydrogenations of olefins, molecular hydrogen has been used as the hydrogen source. However, transfer-hydrogenation in which organic compounds are employed as hydrogen donors has the advantage of milder reaction conditions. Although several investigations of heterogeneous transfer-hydrogenation in which sixmembered cyclo-olefins1 and alcohols2 are used as the hydrogen source, have been carried out, few studies of the homogeneous reaction of olefins have been reported. Itatani and Bailar<sup>3</sup> have reported that the formation of an olefin from methyl linoleate is effected by dihalogenobis(triphenylphosphine)nickel(II) in tetrahydrofuran or C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub> under N<sub>2</sub>. We also found that the olefin linkages of methyl linoleate were reduced in PriOH in the presence of Ni(acac)2,4 but the reproducibility of the reaction was discouragingly bad, perhaps because the catalyst decomposed to give an insoluble substance.

We now report the transfer-hydrogenation of cyclo-octa-1,5-diene (1) and -1,3-diene (2) to cyclo-octene (3) and cyclo-octane (4), and that of (3) to (4). In the reaction of (1) or (2), the isomerization to other cyclo-octadienes including cyclo-octa-1,4-diene (5) also took place. The

olefin (0.5 m), hydrogen donor (2.0 m) and catalyst (0.05 m) in dioxan, were heated under vacuum for 6 h. The fact that the pressure in the reaction vessel was unchanged throughout the reaction suggested that no molecular hydrogen was formed during the reaction.

Table 1

Transfer-hydrogenation of cyclo-octa-1,5-diene catalysed by FeCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>

			D	Yield of products (%)				
Hydrogen	dono	r	Reaction temp. (° C)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(5)	
None			180	3	5	1	5	
$o-C_6H_4(OH)_2$			,,	22	8	6	10	
,,			200	54	12	20	5	
,, a.			,,	3	5	2	5	
			240	71	14	13	2	
$p-C_6H_4(OH)_2$			,,	68	15	12	3	
n-C <sub>7</sub> H <sub>15</sub> OH			,,	6	3	3	10	
cyclo-C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>11</sub> O	H		,,	37	10	23	8	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Triphenylphosphine (0.2m) was added.

As hydrogen donors, o- and p-dihydroxybenzene were better than cyclohexanol which, in turn, was superior to heptan-1-ol. It may be inferred that the hydroxy compounds are dehydrogenated to give o- and p-benzoquinone, heptanal, and cyclohexanone. The aldehyde and the ketone were identified by i.r. analysis, but the quinones were not, presumably because they are unstable at the high

temperatures. In fact, they decomposed to form a tarry material on heating in dioxan at 200°. The solvent, dioxan, was considered to be a poor hydrogen donor on the grounds activity of  $FeX_2(PPh_3)_2$  and  $CoX_2(PPh_3)_2$  was  $X = Cl^- \ge$  $Br^- > I^-$  for the nonconjugated olefins (1) and (3) and  $I^- > Br^- > Cl^-$  for the conjugated diene (2) while that of

Transfer-hydrogenation catalysed by various complexes

				(1)		(2)			(3) Hyd. <sup>b</sup>
Catalyst		Hyd.b	Sel.c	Iso.d	Hyd.b	Sel.c	Iso. d		
$FeCl_2(PPh_3)_2$			77	16	98	17	71	<b>2</b>	26
$\operatorname{FeBr}_{2}(\operatorname{PPh}_{3})_{2}$			79	15	98	18	67	9	23
$\operatorname{FeI}_{2}(\operatorname{PPh}_{3})_{2}^{3}$			62	7	55	35	96	8	8
CoCl <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ),			35	11	40	2	е	1	7
$CoBr_2(PPh_3)_2$			32	10	28	2	e	0	3
$CoI_2(PPh_3)_2$			11	30	9	3	e	1	2
NiCl <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>			14	20	21	3	e	0	2
$NiBr_2(PPh_3)_2$			35	26	69	6	78	1	3
NiI <sub>2</sub> (PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>			43	69	91	31	94	55	5

- <sup>a</sup> The reactions were carried out at 240° and o-dihydroxybenzene was used as the hydrogen donor. <sup>b</sup> Percentage of hydrogenation: it is defined as  $[(3) + 2 \times (4)]/2$  for the hydrogenation of (1) and (2) where the reduction to (4) may require two moles of hydrogen donor, and as the yield of (4) from (3).
  - Selectivity: percentage of (3) in the hydrogenated products.
- <sup>d</sup> Percentage of isomerization: percentage of isomerized cyclo-octadiene from the reactions.
- <sup>c</sup> The yield of (3) and (4) was too low to evaluate selectivity.

that in a blank experiment little hydrogenation took place. Cyclo-octatrienes which would result from the disproportionation of cyclo-octadienes were not detected.

The fact that the addition of triphenylphosphine retarded the hydrogenation suggests that there is reversible dissociation of the ligand from FeCl<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> as in the case of RhCl(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>5</sup> The results shown in Table 2 may be summarized as follows: (a) the order of the susceptibility of the olefins to reduction was (1) > (2) > (3) and this is similar to their affinity to metal ions; 6 (b) the order of the catalytic  $NiX_2(PPh_3)_2$  was  $I^- > Br^- \ge Cl^-$  for all the olefins, though the reverse order has been reported in the reduction of methyl linoleate by hydrogen;3 (c) the selectivity was high for the conjugated diene (2) but low for the nonconjugated diene (1).

The metal complexes isolated from the reaction mixture were not identical with the original ones and their i.r. spectra showed several peaks characteristic of the dihydroxybenzenes, e.g., a strong broad peak at 3340 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

(Received, November 11th, 1970; Com. 1951.)

- <sup>1</sup> E. A. Braude, R. P. Linstead, and P. W. D. Mitchell, J. Chem. Soc., 1954, 3578, and references therein.

- K. Braude, R. P. Linstead, and F. W. D. Mitchell, J. Chem. Soc., 1984, 3678, and references at K. Sakai, T. Ito, and K. Watanabe, Bull. Chem. Soc., Japan, 1966, 39, 2230.
   H. Itatani and C. Bailar, jun., J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 1600.
   S. Nanya, M. Hanai, and K. Fukuzumi, J. Chem. Soc., Japan, Ind. Chem. Sect., 1969, 72, 2005.
   J. A. Osborn, F. H. Jardine, J. F. Young, and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1966, 1711.
   M. A. Muhs and F. T. Weiss, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 84, 4679.
   L. H. Pignolet, D. Forster, and W. D. Horrocks, Jun., Inorg. Chem., 1968, 7, 828.