A Simple Synthesis of Protoadamantene and 2,4-Dehydroadamantane

By J. BOYD and K. H. OVERTON*

(Department of Chemistry, The University of Glasgow, Glasgow, W.2)

Summary 2-Adamantyl methanesulphonate has been converted by pyrolysis in 95% yield into a mixture (2:3) of protoadamantene (4) and 2,4-dehydroadamantane (5); hydroboration of protoadamantene and oxidation afforded protoadamantan-4- and -5-ones (5:4).

WE have for some time been interested in ester pyrolyses which afford olefins by skeletal rearrangement and may proceed via a seven-membered transition state such as $(1)^{1,2}$ Such reactions have been observed with carboxylic esters,^{1,3} sulphonic esters,⁴ and xanthates.² Recorded examples include the conversion² of isobornyl methyl xanthate into camphene of high optical purity and the transformation of patchouli acetate into α - and γ -patchoulenes.³ We have used⁴ the steric requirements of this process to convert the atisane into the aconane skeleton and were struck by the rigidly defined geometry of the bonds undergoing fission and migration [structure (2)].

If this geometry is optimal, then 2-adamantyl esters e.g. (3) should lead in one step to protoadamantene (4),⁵ a substance of potential interest that is not otherwise readily accessible. The formation³ of tricyclene from bornyl methyl xanthate suggests that 2,4-dehydroadamantane $(5)^6$ might also be expected.

We have converted 2-adamantyl methanesulphonate (3), m.p. 67-68°, in 90-95% yield, into a mixture (2:3) of protoadamantene (4) and dehydro-adamantane (5). 2-Adamantyl methanesulphonate (3) (1.04g) was slowly sublimed during 20 min into a glass tube $(60 \times 3 \text{ cm})$ kept at 520 (± 10) °C and He pressure 0.5-0.7 mmHg, and partially (10 cm at exit end) packed with glass wool coated, as was the inner surface of the tube, with sodium carbonate. The pyrolysate, collected at -196° , was filtered in isopentane through alumina (grade II); removal of solvent from the eluate at $<45^{\circ}$ afforded a hydrocarbon mixture (91%), consisting of protoadamantene,⁵ dehydroadamantane⁶ and minor (< 1%) amounts of adamantane and an unidentified hydrocarbon (6) (M 134). [Retention Indices⁷ on 1%Apiezon L, 75°: (6) 1085; (4) 1100; adamantane 1108; (5) 1126]. Chromatography over AgNO₃ (15%)-Al₂O₃ afforded after solvent removal (Vigreux column) without crystallisation dehydroadamantane (56%; m.p. 197-202°,

lit.⁶ $202 \cdot 5$ — $203 \cdot 5^{\circ}$; elution with isopentane) and protoadamantene (35%; m.p. 179—182°, lit.⁵ 183—185°; elution with ether).



2-Adamantyl acetate⁸ was unchanged under similar conditions. 2-Adamantyl xanthate, m.p. $107.5-109.5^{\circ}$, afforded (4), (5), and adamantane (5% of each), dithiocarbonate (40%), and recovered xanthate (40%). 2-Adamantyl toluene-*p*-sulphonate⁸ gave a hydrocarbon product very similar in yield and composition to that obtained with (3); however, this ester was more difficult to handle because of its lower volatility.

Hydroboration (1 mol. equiv. of B_2H_6 in tetrahydrofuran at 25°) of protoadamantene (4) afforded the four isomeric protoadamantan-5- and -4-ols (7), (8), (9), and (10), in the proportions $2\cdot5:1:3:1\cdot25$ [estimated as trimethyl silyl ethers⁹ on 2% silicone elastomer 30 at 150°; R.I. (TMS ethers): (7) 1347; (8) 1364; (9) 1417; (10) 1431]. Alcohols (9) and (10) were completely identical with protoadamantan-4-exo- and -4-endo-ols.5,10 Oxidation of the alcohol mixture (RuO₂-NaIO₄¹¹) afforded protoadamantan-5- and -4-ones (11) and (12) (4:5) [R.I. on 2% silicone elastomer 30 at 160°: adamantanone 1325; (11) 1338 (12) 1364], separated by preparative t.l.c. on Kieselgel G. Protoadamantan-5-one, m.p. 222-225°, vmax (CCl₄) 1727 cm⁻¹ (unsplit, unlike protoadamantan-4-one), was further characterised by its Eu(DPM)₃-shifted n.m.r. spectrum.¹²

We thank Dr. G. B. Gill, Nottingham, for a sample of protoadamantan-4-exo- and -4-endo-ols and Professor H. Wynberg, Groningen, for a sample of dehydroadamantane.

(Received, January 4th, 1971, Com. 010.)

¹ H. Kwart and D. P. Hoster, *Chem. Comm.*, 1967, 1156 ² C. A. Bunton, K. Khaleeluddin, and D. Whittaker, *Nature*, 1961, 190, 715.

- ⁸G. Buchi, R. E. Erickson, and N. Wakabayashi, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 927; M. Dobler, J. D. Dunitz, B. Gubler, H. P. Weber, G. Buchi, and J. Padilla O, Proc. Chem. Soc., 1963, 383.
- ⁴ J. P. Johnston and K. H. Overton, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 329.
 ⁵ R. M. Black and G. B. Gill, *Chem. Comm.*, 1970, 972; C. A. Cupas, W. Schumann, and W. E. Heyd, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, 92, 3237; M. L. Sinnott, H. J. Storesund, and M. C. Whiting, *Chem. Comm.*, 1969, 1000.
- ⁶ A. C. Udding, J. Strating, H. Wynberg, and J. L. M. A. Schlatmann, *Chem. Comm.*, 1966, 657. ⁷ E. Kovats, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1958, **41**, 1915.
- P. v. R. Schleyer and R. D. Nicholas, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 182.
 E. M. Chambaz and E. C. Horning, Analyt. Letters, 1967, 1, 201.

- D. Lenoir and P. v. R. Schleyer, *Chem. Comm.*, 1970, 941.
 V. M. Parikh and J. K. N. Jones, *Canad. J. Chem.*, 1965, 43, 3452.
 J. Boyd and K. H. Overton, unpublished work.