Mono-olefin Chelate Complexes of Iron(0) and Ruthenium(0) with an Olefinic Tertiary Phosphine

By M. A. BENNETT,* G. B. ROBERTSON, I. B. TOMKINS, and P. O. WHIMP

(Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Box 4, P.O., Canberra, A.C.T. Australia)

Summary Reaction of 2-vinylphenyl(diphenyl)phosphine, o-CH₂: CH·C₆H₄·PPh₂ (sp), with $M_3(CO)_{12}$ (M = Fe or Ru) gives monomeric complexes $M(CO)_3(sp)$ and $M(CO)_2(sp)_2$; the former are chelate mono-olefin complexes containing bidentate (sp), while Fe(CO)₂(sp)₂ is shown by our X-ray structural analysis to contain a bidentate (sp) ligand, and a monodentate P-bonded (sp) moiety, in a configuration approximating to a trigonal bipyramid.

MONO-OLEFIN substituted derivatives of $Fe(CO)_5$, e.g. $C_2H_4Fe(CO)_4$, are thermally unstable and air-sensitive,^{1,2} except when the double bond carries strongly electronwithdrawing substituents.^{3,4} The analogous ruthenium derivatives are unknown. The ligand 2-vinylphenyl-(diphenyl)phosphine, $o-CH_2: CH \cdot C_6H_4 \cdot PPh_2$ (sp) (I), which can form either monodentate (P-bonded) or bidentate derivatives, stabilises mono-olefin co-ordination for Cr⁰, Mo⁰, W⁰, Mn^I, and Re^I,^{5,6} and we have now extended this series to include Fe⁰ and Ru⁰. respectively. Both are air-stable and soluble in organic solvents. The ruthenium analogues, $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CO})_3(\operatorname{sp})$ (3) and $\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{sp})_2$ (4), are obtained similarly from $\operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$, the respective yields depending on the $\operatorname{Ru}_3(\operatorname{CO})_{12}$: (sp) ratio. Complexes (1) and (3) show three terminal $\nu(\operatorname{CO})$ i.r.



bands (Table 1), and they also show strong parent-ion peaks in their mass spectra. Co-ordination of the double bond is indicated by the marked upfield shifts of the olefinic protons relative to those of the free ligand, and also by strong ³¹P coupling to all three olefinic protons [*cf.* Cr(CO)₄

TABLE 1

Properties of Fe^o and Ru^o complexes of (sp)

Complex	Colour	M.p.	$v(CO) (cm^{-1})^{a}$
Fe(CO) _{\$} (sp) (1)	Yellow-brown glassy solid	4547° ¹	2039, 1972, 1945
$Fe(CO)_{2}(sp)_{2}(2)$	Yellow crystals	decomp. $> 175^{\circ}$	1960, 1893
$Ru(CO)_{\mathbf{s}}(sp)$ (3)	Orange crystals	6364	2060, 1990, 1968 ^b
$\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CO})_2(\operatorname{sp})_2(4)$	Yellow crystals	170° (decomp. >175°)	1978, 1917

^a All bands quoted are very strong; measured in cyclohexane except where stated.

^b Measured in n-octane.

TABLE 2

$r_1 n.m.r.$ specira of (sp) and its complexes	Ή	n.m.r.	sbectra	of	(sÞ)	and its	complexes	, 1
--	---	--------	---------	----	------	---------	-----------	------------

Compound	$\delta(\mathbf{H}^1)$	$\delta(H^2)$	$\delta(\mathbf{H}^3)$	Coupling constants (Hz)
(sp)°	ca. 6.8 (c)	5·14 (d)	5.60 (t)	$ J_{13} 17.5$ $ J_{12} 11.0$ $ J_{23} 1.3$ $ J_{P} _{H^3} 1.1$
$Cr(CO)_4(sp)$	5.06 (7 lines)	3·25 (dd)	2·86 (dd)	$ J_{13} 13 \cdot 0 J_{12} 9 \cdot 0 J_{23} 0$ $ J_{8} 13 \cdot 0 J_{12} 9 \cdot 0 J_{23} 0$
Fe(CO) ₃ (sp)	4.0 (8 lines)	2·73 (7 lines)	1.94 (1:2:1 td)	$ J_{13} _{9:8} J_{12} _{8:5} J_{23} _{2:3}$ $ J_{13} _{9:8} J_{12} _{8:5} J_{23} _{2:3}$
Ru(CO) ₃ (sp)	3·96 (6 lines)	2·45 (7 lines)	1.62(1:2:1 td)	$J_{18} _{9:0} _{J_{12}} _{8:5} _{J_{23}} _{3:0} _{J_{18} _{9:0}} _{3:5} _{I_{28} _{15:0}} _{I_{28} _$
Fe(CO) ₂ (sp) ₂ free co-ordinated Ru(CO) ₂ (sp) ₂ free	e 2·98 (m) e	5.10 (d) 2.3 (m) 5.10 (d)	5·50 (d) 2·3 (m) 5·50 (d)	$ \begin{array}{c} J_{13} 17 \cdot 0 J_{12} 10 \cdot 0 J_{23} ca. \ 0 \\ f \\ J_{13} 17 \cdot 0 J_{12} 11 \cdot 0 J_{23} ca. \ 0 \end{array} $
co-ordinated	2·84 (m)	1•9 (m)	1·9 (m)	I

^a Measured in C_6D_6 , except where indicated; δ in p.p.m. downfield of Me_4Si as internal reference; protons are numbered as in (I). ^b Abbreviations: m, multiplet; d, doublet; dd, doublet of doublets; td, triplet of doublets.

^o Measured in CDCl₃; chemical shifts are considered more accurate than those in ref. 5; see also A. G. Moritz, J. D. Saxby, and S. Sternhell, Austral. J. Chem., 1968, **21**, 2565.

^d Assigned incorrectly to J_{23} in ref. 5; ³¹P decoupled spectra confirm present assignment.

e Beneath aromatic protons.

¹ Spectrum too complex to obtain coupling constants.

Reaction of (sp) and freshly prepared $Fe_3(CO)_{12}$ (1:1 mol ratio) in n-octane under reflux gives two products, $Fe(CO)_3$ -(sp) (1) and $Fe(CO)_2(sp)_2$ (2) in yields of *ca.* 20 and 60%,

(sp)⁵ (Table 2). Consequently (1) and (3) are formulated as chelated mono-olefin derivatives with the trigonal bipyramidal structure (II). A surprising feature of their n.m.r. spectra is the similarity of the magnitudes of the cisand trans-coupling constants $(J_{12} \text{ and } J_{13})$ of the coordinated vinyl group (Table 2).

Complex (2) was originally formulated as $Fe(CO)_3(sp)_2$,⁷ with two mutually cis-monodentate, P-bonded (sp) ligands. However, (2) and (4) show only two strong ν (CO) bands, and the ¹H n.m.r. spectra show signals assignable to co-ordinated



FIGURE

and unco-ordinated vinyl groups in a 1:1 ratio (Table 2). The proton resonances of the co-ordinated vinyl group appear as two complex multiplets in a 2:1 ratio, indicating strong coupling with mutually trans phosphorus atoms. These data suggest that (2) and (4) are derived from (1) and

(3) respectively by substitution of one of the axial carbonyl groups by P-bonded monodentate (sp). X-ray powder diffraction data indicate that Fe(CO)₂(sp)₂ and Ru(CO)₂-(sp)₂ are isomorphous and probably iso-structural.

The structure of $Fe(CO)_2(sp)_2$ has been determined by three-dimensional single-crystal X-ray analysis of 2780 independent reflections $[F_0^2/\sigma(F_0^2) \ge 3.0]$ measured on a Picker FACS-1 diffractometer (crystal monochromated $Cu-K_{\alpha}$ radiation). The crystals are monoclinic, space group $P2_1/c$, a = 13.62, b = 18.47, c = 24.45 Å, $\beta =$ 145.00°, Z = 4. With phenyl rings treated as rigid bodies and all atoms isotropic, refinement has converged with R = 0.088. Mean e.s.d.s of the more important bond lengths in the Figure are: Fe-P, 0.003, Fe-C, 0.01; C-O, 0.025; P-C, 0.005; C-C, 0.02 Å. No allowance has yet been made for absorption and extinction effects.

The configuration about the central iron atom approximates to a trigonal bipyramid. Two carbonyl groups and the vinyl substituent of one (sp) ligand occupy the three equatorial positions, while the phosphorus of the π -olefin bonded (sp) ligand and a second P-bonded monodentate (sp) group occupy the two axial positions. The shortening of Fe-P(1) [2.207(3) Å] compared with Fe-P(2) [2.234(3) Å] reflects the marked chelating ability of (sp).

The co-ordinated olefin is symmetrically bonded to the iron atom and subtends an angle of 6° with the equatorial plane. The bond distances Fe-C(117) and Fe-C(118) are equal $[2 \cdot 10(1) \text{ Å}]$ and comparable with Fe-C(olefin) distances in Fe(CO)₄(CH₂:CHCN) [2.10(1)],⁸ Fe(CO)₄ $(CH \cdot CO_2H)_2$ [2.04(3)],⁹ and $Fe(CO)_4(C_2H_4)$ [2.12(3) Å],¹⁰ each of which has the olefin in an equatorial site of a trigonal bipyramid. The C-C distance in the co-ordinated olefin [1.45(2) Å] is comparable with those reported for the acrylonitrile⁸ and ethylene complexes¹⁰ [1.40(2)] and 1.46(6)Å, respectively], and is significantly longer than the C=Cdistance in the unco-ordinated vinyl group [1.33(2); cf.1.335 Å expected¹¹]. The average Fe–C (carbonyl) distance [1.75(1) Å] is significantly shorter than the equatorial Fe–C (carbonyl) distances found in $Fe(CO)_5 [1.833(4)]^{12}$ and in $Fe(CO)_4(C_2H_4)[1.84(4) Å]^{10}$ by electron diffraction, presumably owing to the strong σ -donor and weak π acceptor phosphorus ligands in place of CO in the axial positions.

We thank Dr. J. D. Wild for preliminary work at University College, London (1966-1967).

(Received, November 23rd, 1970; Com. 2022.)

- ¹ H. D. Murdoch and E. Weiss, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **1963**, **46**, 1588.
 ² E. Koerner von Gustorf, M. C. Henry, and C. Di Pietro, Z. Naturforsch., 1966, **21b**, 42.
 ³ E. Weiss, K. Stark, J. E. Lancaster, and H. D. Murdoch, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 1963, **46**, 288.
- ⁴G. O. Schenck, E. Koerner von Gustorf, and M. J. Jun, Tetrahedron Letters, 1962, 1059; E. Koerner von Gustorf, M. J. Jun, and G. O. Schenck, Z. Naturforsch., 1963, 18b, 503.
 - ⁵ M. A. Bennett, R. S. Nyholm, and J. D. Saxby, J. Organometallic Chem., 1967, 10, 301.
 - ⁶ L. V. Interrante and G. V. Nelson, Inorg. Chem., 1968, 7, 2059.
 - ⁷ J. D. Wild, Thesis, University of London, 1968.
- ⁹ A. R. Luxmoore and M. R. Truter, Acta Cryst., 1962, 15, 1117.
 ⁹ C. Pedone and A. Sirigu, Acta Cryst., 1967, 23, 759; Inorg. Chem., 1968, 7, 2614.
 ¹⁰ M. I. Davis and C. S. Speed, J. Organometallic Chem., 1970, 21, 401.
 ¹¹ "Interatomic Distances," Chem. Soc. Special Publ., No. 18, 1965.
 ¹² R. Baceley, D. W. L. Cryicksheark, R. M. Pinder, A.C. Behictte, and C. M. Shele.

- ¹² B. Beagley, D. W. J. Cruickshank, P. M. Pinder, A. G. Robiette, and G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst., 1969, B25, 747; A. Almenningen,
- A. Haaland, and K. Wahl, Acta Chem. Scand., 1969, 23, 2245.