Electron Spin Resonance Studies of Sulphur in Oleum. The Ion S₈⁺

By M. Stillings, M. C. R. Symons,* and J. G. Wilkinson

(Department of Chemistry, The University, Leicester LE1 7RH)

Summary E.s.r. studies of blue solutions of sulphur in oleum using sulphur enriched in ³³S show that in the liquid phase S_8^+ has eight effectively equivalent sulphur atoms while at 77 K, the electron is largely confined to one atom.

CONSIDERABLE attention has recently been focussed on the cations of elemental sulphur, selenium, and telurium.¹⁻⁵ One of us⁶ has shown that two of the components of the solutions of sulphur in oleum were paramagnetic, having

These ideas have been extended,⁷ and apparently confirmed,¹ but Gillespie and his co-workers contend that the blue species is in fact the diamagnetic S_8^{2+} cation.^{2,3} Giggenbach maintains that it is S_4^+ , which dimerises to S_8^{2+} on cooling. The present situation for the sulphur cations, together with optical band assignments, is summarised in Table 1.

We have identified the species responsible for the e.s.r. absorption in concentrated oleum solutions, and studied its

TABLE 1

Optical parameters and assignments for various cations of sulphur

Species		S_{4}^{2+}	S_4^+	S ₈ ²⁺	S ₈ +	S ₁₆ ²⁺
Average optical band	••	30,000	17,000	17,000 (i)	17,000	23,500
Maxima (cm ⁻¹)				23,500 (ii)		
Ref	••	3, 4	1	3 (i)	a	3
				1 (ii)		

^a This work: based on the optical studies of Giggenbach,¹ and our earlier assignment.⁶

characteristic e.s.r. spectra, and it was suggested that the blue species ($E_{\max} ca. 17,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) might be one of them.

electronic structure using sulphur enriched with 10% and 25% ³³S. The liquid-phase spectra (see Figure) support a

structure with eight equivalent sulphur atoms, i.e., S₈⁺. A reconstruction shows that the alternative, $S_4^{+,1}$ is excluded, even allowing for considerable error in the analyses.

 $2S_{8^+} \rightleftharpoons S_{16^{2+}}$, with a weak σ -bonding between the rings comparable with that between the sulphur atoms in the dithionite ion, $S_2O_4^{2-}$ is possible.

TABLE 2

E.s.r. data assigned to S_8^+ at room temperature and 77 K

g-Values	 ••	g sol 2.013	g ₁₁ 2·004	g⊥ 2·020		
³³ S Hyperfine coupling constants	 	A _{sol} (G) 7·2 ± 0·4	$\begin{array}{c} A_{\parallel} (S_{1}) (G) \\ ca. 46 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} A_{\perp} (S_1) (G) \\ ca. 20 \end{array}$	A_{\parallel} (S ₂) (G) ca. 16	A_{\perp} (S ₂) (G) ca. 10.5

This conclusion is based particularly on the intensities of bands associated with species containing two and three equivalent 33S atoms.

However, a symmetrically delocalised structure is not expected for S_8^+ , and we suggest a rapid charge-transfer mechanism around the ring to explain the results. Such a structure is supported by the e.s.r. spectra of frozen solutions. Although the ³³S hyperfine features are poorly defined, and spectral interpretation consequently is difficult, the relative intensities of the parent ³²S features are undoubtedly many-fold greater than in the liquid phase and the possibility of eight equivalent sulphur atoms per radical is excluded. The results suggest either one or possibly two equivalent atoms and the large number of poorly resolved features suggests at least two types of sulphur atoms. We tentatively offer the following model. The electron is largely confined to one sulphur atom (S_1) with slight delocalisation on to its two immediate neighbours (\tilde{S}_2 and S_3). The data based on this analysis are given in Table 2. If the orbital on S is pure $3p(\pi)$, then the magnitude of the isotopic coupling suggests nearly unity spin-density, whilst the anisotropic coupling indicates only about 30%. Since the spin-density on S₂ and S₃ is low, the most probable explanation for this result is a fairly large libration or vibration such as to reduce the measured anisotropy at S₂. Another possibility, of course, is that the hyperfine and g-tensors are not coaxial.

Giggenbach has established that this species is in equilibrium with a diamagnetic species. The dimerisation,

- ¹ W. F. Giggenbach, Chem. Comm., 1970, 852.

- ² W. F. Giggenbach, Chem. Comm., 1970, 852.
 ³ J. Barr, R. J. Gillespie, and P. K. Ummat, Chem. Comm., 1970, 264.
 ³ R. J. Gillespie and J. Passmore, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1333.
 ⁴ P. J. Stephens, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1496.
 ⁵ R. K. McMullan, D. J. Price, and J. D. Corbett, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1438.
 ⁶ M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc., 1957, 2440; D. J. E. Ingram and M. C. R. Symons, *ibid.*, p. 2437.
 ⁷ D. A. C. McNeil, M. Murray, and M. C. R. Symons, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1967, 1019.
 ⁸ D. J. Gibbons and W. E. Spear, J. Phys. and Chem. Solids, 1966, 27, 1917.
 ⁹ D. J. Gibbons, Mol. Cryst. and Liq. Cryst., 1970, 10, 137.

Since S_8^+ is the parent cation of elemental sulphur, it plays a role in conduction mechanisms in solid and liquid sulphur;8



FIGURE. E.s.r. spectrum of a dilute solution (ca. 3×10^{-4} M) of sulphur enriched to 25% in ³³S in oleum (65%) at room temperature. Outermost features assigned to a radicals containing three equivalent ³³S atoms, b two equivalent ³³S atoms, c one ³³S atom, d radicals containing no 33S and two equivalent 33S atoms.

a similar structure has previously been suggested by one of us for the hole carrier.9

(Received, February 8th, 1971; Com. 125.)