## New Compounds of Rhenium: Preparation and Crystal Structures of $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_{s} \operatorname{F} \cdot \operatorname{Re}F_{5}$ and $[\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_{6}]^{+}[\operatorname{Re}_{2}F_{11}]^{-}$

By DONALD M. BRUCE, JOHN H. HOLLOWAY, and DAVID R. RUSSELL\* (Department of Chemistry, The University. Leicester LE1 7RH)

Summary  $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_{\mathfrak{s}}F.\operatorname{Re}F_{\mathfrak{s}}$  and  $[\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_{\mathfrak{s}}]^+[\operatorname{Re}_2F_{11}]^-$  have gen fluoride to give a green solution from which approxi-

As part of a systematic effort to prepare metal carbonyl fluorides,<sup>1,2</sup> we have studied the reaction of rhenium hexa- blackening rapidly on exposure to the atmosphere. Comfluoride with rhenium carbonyl in anhydrous hydrogen fluoride.

been obtained from the reaction of  $\operatorname{ReF}_6$  with  $\operatorname{Re}_2(\operatorname{CO})_{10}$  mately equal amounts of two solids separated as orange in anhydrous hydrogen fluoride; the structures of the prisms (1), and green platelets (2), both in high yield. No compounds have been determined by X-ray diffraction. detectable carbon monoxide evolution occurred and no evidence for  $\operatorname{Re}_2(\operatorname{CO})_{10}$ ,  $\operatorname{ReF}_6$ , or  $\operatorname{ReF}_5$  was obtained.

Compounds (1) and (2) are highly sensitive to moisture, plex, but reproducible i.r. absorptions can be obtained from Nujol mulls in the  $2200-1900 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  and the  $750-500 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ In a typical reaction 1.08 mmol of  $\text{ReF}_6$  was condensed regions. Suitable crystals were mounted in a dry box for stepwise on to 0.75 mmol of  $\operatorname{Re}_2(\operatorname{CO})_{10}$  in anhydrous hydro- X-rav, studies. Crystal data: (1),  $\operatorname{Ca}_F_a \operatorname{Qa}_{r, Rea, L} M = 626.4$ . orange orthorhombic crystals, a = 22.34(5), b = 15.51(3), c = 13.67(3) Å, U = 4737 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 16,  $D_c = 3.51$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, space group Pbca. (2)  $C_6F_{11}O_6Re_3$ , M = 935.6, green orthorhombic crystals, a = 15.30(3), b = 13.64(3), c =8·16(2) Å, U = 1703 Å<sup>3</sup>, Z = 4,  $D_c = 3.65$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, space group chosen Pnma. The data, collected by a Stoe Weissenberg diffractometer using Mo- $K_{\alpha}$  irradiation (graphite monochromator,  $\lambda = 0.7107$  Å), and corrected for absorption, consist of 2047 reflections for (1) and 763 for (2). At the present stage of refinement R-values are 0.061 and 0.064, respectively, with anisotropic Re atoms only.

The crystal structure of the orange compound (1) contains two almost identical Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>F·ReF<sub>5</sub> molecules in the asymmetric unit. Both rhenium atoms are in approximate octahedral co-ordination and are linked by a bent fluorine bridge. Some details of the molecular geometry are given in the Figure. The chemical interpretation of the molecular structure is not immediately obvious. We prefer the covalent formulation Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>F·ReF<sub>5</sub> to alternatives such as



FIGURE. Molecular geometry of Re(CO)<sub>5</sub>F·ReF<sub>5</sub>. Average bond lengths (Å) with s.d.s. taken from the two non-equivalent molecules: a = 1.91(3), b = 1.83(3), c = 1.97(2), d = 2.17(4), e = 1.89(3), f = 1.19(4); g = 1.99(4), h = 1.13(3) Å. The e.s.d.'s of individual bonds are Re-F 0.03, Re-C 0.05, C-O 0.07. Average Re-F-Re angle 141°(1).

 $\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_{\mathbf{5}}\cdot\operatorname{ReF}_{\mathbf{6}}$  which implies an unlikely acid-base behaviour for the two components of the adduct, or the ionic formulation  $[Re(CO)_5]^+[ReF_6]^-$ . Certainly the Re-F(bridging) distances lend little support to any significant contribution from the ionic alternative when allowance is made for the

different covalent radii of Re<sup>I</sup> and Re<sup>V</sup>. A covalent formulation is also favoured for the related adduct  $[Re(CO)_{5}-$ Cl]<sub>2</sub>SbCl<sub>5</sub>.<sup>3</sup> Other distances are directly comparable with those of the only known metal carbonyl fluoride structure  $[\operatorname{Ru}(\operatorname{CO})_3F_2]_4.^2$ 

It is remarkable that an adduct can form between components containing metal atoms in two so dissimilar formal oxidation states. Presumably this is possible as a consequence of the differing stabilising influences of the two ligands, carbon monoxide and fluoride ion, which tend to equalise the net charge on the separate metal atoms by redistribution of  $\pi$ -electron density.

The green compound (2) contains discrete  $[Re(CO)_6]^+$  and  $[\operatorname{Re}_2F_{11}]^-$  ions. Four carbon monoxide groups of the  $[Re(CO)_{6}]^{+}$  cation lie on a crystallographic mirror plane and the  $[Re_2F_{11}]^-$  anion is required to be centrosymmetric, consequently the single fluorine bridge is linear and the two linked  $\operatorname{ReF}_6$  octahedra are eclipsed. Average bond lengths are, Re-C 2.01(4), C-O 1.13(3), Re-F(terminal) 1.84(2), Re-F(bridge) 2.01(1) Å. In this compound there is no doubt of the ionic formulation. There are no close contacts between fluorine atoms and the rhenium cation (closest contacts of F to the atoms of the cation are, to Re = 4.13 Å, to O = 2.85 Å, to C = 3.19 Å). The Re- $(\rm CO)_6^+$  cation is well known, the hexafluoroarsenate being the closest related analogue.^ The  $[\rm Re_2F_{11}]^-$  anion is afurther example of the series  $[M_2F_{11}]^-$  established for a variety of metals.<sup>5</sup> What is again remarkable is the mutual coexistance of Re<sup>I</sup> and Re<sup>v</sup> in the same lattice.

In a recent study of the reaction of  $\operatorname{ReF}_6$  with  $\operatorname{Re}_2(\operatorname{CO})_{10}$ the products were reported<sup>6</sup> to be a dark brown solid, formulated as  $Re(CO)_3F_3$ , a green solution assumed from its colour to contain ReF5, and carbon monoxide. Our results provide no evidence for any of these compounds but the i.r. and X-ray powder data reported for Re(CO)<sub>3</sub>F<sub>3</sub> closely resemble those we have obtained for [Re(CO),]+-[Re<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>-</sup>.

We thank the S.R.C. and the Royal Society for financial support.

(Received, 19th March 1973; Com. 372.)

<sup>1</sup> R. D. W. Kemmitt, R. D. Peacock, and I. L. Wilson, Chem. Comm., 1968, 772.

<sup>2</sup> C. J. Marshall, R. D. Peacock, D. R. Russell, and I. L. Wilson, Chem. Comm., 1970, 1643.

 <sup>3</sup> R. B. King, J. Inorg. Nuclear Chem., 1967, 29, 2119.
<sup>4</sup> E. W. Abel, R. A. N. McLean, S. P. Tyfield, P. S. Braterman, A. P. Walker, and P. J. Hendra, J. Mol. Spectroscopy, 1969, 30, 29. <sup>b</sup> See for example, V. M. McCrae, R. D. Peacock, and D. R. Russell, Chem. Comm., 1967, 62; J. H. Holloway and J. G. Knowles, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1969, 756; R. D. Peacock, Adv. Fluorine Chem., 1973, 7, 113.

<sup>6</sup> T. A. O'Donnell and K. A. Phillips, Inorg. Chem., 1972, 11, 2563.