Reactive Tertiary Amide Nitrogen in Nonrigid Systems

By John L. Wong* and Danny O. Helton

(Department of Chemistry, University of Louisville, Louisville, Kentucky, 40208)

Summary Tertiary amide nitrogen participation competes significantly with amide oxygen attack in mono- and acyclic systems in substitution and elimination reactions. ALTHOUGH a carboxamide is considered to be an ambident functional group, its reactivity has been usually associated only with the oxygen atom.¹ The reports² of nitrogen

participation in the rigid isoquinuclidine tertiary-amide system raise the question of how general is this phenomenon. This nitrogen effect has now been observed in the reactions of two nonrigid systems, viz. the monocyclic N-acetyl-3piperidinol (1a) and the acyclic 2-(2-oxo-N-pyrrolidinyl)propanol (2a) in displacement and elimination reactions. Together they define the general scope of this oftenunnoticed property of a carboxamide.



Solvolysis of the tosylate (1b) in acetic acid (0.1M, 1 equiv. NaOAc, 85°) led to the isolation of (1c) and the pyrrolidine (3) in a ratio of 1.83:1.1 These acetates were identified by comparison with authentic synthetic materials.[†] Thus, both the tosylate (1b) and the acetate (1c) were prepared from the known piperidinol $(1a)^3$ by treatment with NaH-TsCl and Et₃N-Ac₂O respectively. The ring-contraction product (3) was prepared by reduction of proline $(LiAlH_a)$ followed by acetylation.⁴ Its formation in the acetolysis of (1b) implicates the intermediacy of the cation (4) as shown in Scheme 1.



For confirmation, the intermediate (4) was generated independently by treating 1-azabicyclo[3,1,0]hexane⁵ with Ac_2O in hexane at -78° . Solvolysis of this material, as before, yielded quantitatively the acetates (1c) and (3) in a ratio of 1.86:1, thus confirming the amide nitrogen participation in the solvolysis of the monocyclic tosylate (1b).

A similar nitrogen effect probably prevails in the stereospecific elimination of p-toluenesulphonic acid from (1b). Thus, heating (1b) neat in the range 100-150° gave rise to the piperideines (5) $(96\%)^6$ and (6) $(4\%)^7$ (Scheme 2), which were identified by comparison with synthetic materials. It is inconceivable why this elimination reaction should involve preferential loss of the 4-hydrogen in (1b). However, if prior displacement of the tosylate by the amide nitrogen to form (4) preceeded the hydrogen removal, the predominance of the 3-piperideine (5) resulting from (4)

finds a good analogy in the stereospecific eliminations shown by some aziridinium salts.8



In order to estimate the competitiveness of N- vs. Oattack in neighbouring amide participation, the acyclic propanol system (2) is used. 2-(2-Oxo-N-pyrrolidinyl)propanol (2) was prepared by reacting γ -butyrolactone with α -aminopropanol⁹ according to a known method.¹⁰ Upon treatment of (2) with pyridine and tosyl chloride in carbon tetrachloride at 28°, the expected tosylate (2b) was not found. Instead, two chlorine-containing products, viz. the primary propyl chloride (7) (59.2%) and the rearranged secondary chloride (8) (16.7%), were isolated in a ratio of 3.56:1. It appears most likely that the elusive propyl tosylate (2b) was produced, but had reacted further with the neighbouring amide moiety to form the intermediate (9) and perhaps (10), resulting from N- and O-attack respectively (Scheme 3). Since the rearranged chloride (8) can be



derived only from the N-acylaziridinium ion (9), the extent of the amide nitrogen involvement as manifested in the formation of (9) should be at least 22% of the total pyrrolidone participation.

These results reveal the high competitiveness of amide nitrogen vs. oxygen attack even in nonrigid systems under a variety of reaction conditions. This reactive property, hitherto unnoticed, makes the carboxamide a truly ambident function.

(Received, 26th February 1973; Com. 256.)

† Satisfactory elemental analysis and n.m.r. and i.r. spectra were obtained for all new compounds. Reaction products were quantitated by gas chromatography.

¹ B. Capon, Quart. Rev. (London), 1964, 18, 45.

² (a) G Buchi, D. L. Coffen, K. Kocsis, P. E. Sonnet, and F. F. Ziegler, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 3099; (b) J. W. Huffman T. Kamiya, and C. B. S. Rao, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 700.
 ³ J. M. McManus, J. W. McFarland, C. F. Gerber, W. M. McLamore, and G. D. Lauback, J. Med. Chem., 1965, 8, 766.
 ⁴ M. D. Mehta, B.P. 833,820 (1960); Chem. Abst., 1961, 55, 1654b.
 ⁵ D. C. Gereman and A. E. Fortimura. Long. Chem., 1967, 22, 2989.

- ⁵ P. G. Gassman and A. F. Fentiman, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 2388.
- ⁶ W. H. Davis and L. L. McGee, J. Chem. Soc., 1950, 678.
- ⁷ C. Schopf, A. Komzak, F. Braun, and E. Jacobi, Annalen, 1948, 599, 1.
- ⁸ D. R. Crist and N. J. Leonard, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1969, 8, 962.
 ⁹ S. F. Torf, Med. Prom. S.S.S.R., 1965, 19, 26.
- ¹⁰ M. F. Shostakonskii, F. P. Sidel'konskaya, and M. G. Zelenskaya, Izvest. Akad. Nauk S.S.S.R., Otdel Khim. Nauk, 1959, 738.