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Summary Direct irradiation of 2 -methyl-2-(cyclopent-1enyl)cyclopentanone (1) gives the hydroazulene (2), whereas the tricyclic ketone (4) is produced upon sensitized irradiation in acetone.

We report a method for the construction of the hydroazulene ring system which utilizes the photochemical $1,3-$ acyl shift rearrangement typical of $\beta \gamma$-unsaturated ketones. ${ }^{1}$ In the case examined, this photochemical rearrangement produces a hydroazulene derivative containing several of the structural features characteristic of some of the hydroazulene class of sesquiterpenes, e.g., bulnesol.

Compound (1) $\dagger$ was prepared by methylation of 2 -cyclopentylidenecyclopentanone with potassium t-butoxide and methyl iodide. Photolysis of (1) in hexane with $3000 \AA$ light produced a single photoproduct in approximately $50 \%$ yield based upon recovered (1). The structure of the new product was established as (2) [ $\nu_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 1705 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; $\delta 1.61(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.80(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$ and $1.95-2.45(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})]$. The photolysis of a pure sample of (2) in hexane produced the same mixture of (1) and (2) as in the direct photolysis of (1) indicating that the reaction is readily reversible. In contrast to the above results, photolysis of (1) in acetone
gave the tricyclic ketone (4) $\left[\nu_{\max } 1670 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \delta 1.07(3 \mathrm{H}\right.$, s), $2.50-2.90(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}) ; \lambda_{\max }$ (iso-octane) $\left.278 \mathrm{~nm}(\epsilon 26)\right]$.


The photolysis of the hydroazulene (2) in acetone also gave (4) as the only detectable product. However, the relative rate of this transformation was lower than the rate of conversion of (1) into (4). From the results of these
$\dagger$ All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical results and had the expected spectral data.
experiments it appears that (1) behaves according to the predictions based upon an increasing number of studies of the photochemistry of $\beta \gamma$-unsaturated ketones. ${ }^{1-4}$ The separation of singlet-triplet reactivity into the $1,3-\mathrm{ac} y \mathrm{l}$ migration versus oxa-di- $\pi$-methane rearrangement is maintained. ${ }^{1}$ The oxa-di- $\pi$-methane rearrangement in the sensitized reaction of (2) is in accord with recent results of Hancock and Grider, ${ }^{2}$ Engel and Schexnayder, ${ }^{3}$ and others in that the excited state triplet energy cannot be simply dissipated by cis and trans isomerization of the cyclopentene double bond. The third type of photochemical reaction, $\delta$-hydrogen abstraction, sometimes observed with $\beta \gamma$-unsaturated ketones is not found in this system. ${ }^{4}$ Finally, the interaction of the two chromophores in (1), a requirement suggested by Williams and Sarkisian, ${ }^{5}$ is
demonstrable by u.v. analysis of the starting ketone $\left[\lambda_{\max }\right.$ (iso-octane) 323( 633 ), 313(120), 311(120), 302(129), 287(105), 258(342)].
Of particular interest is the oxa-di- $\pi$-methane rearrangement of the seven-membered hydroazulene (2). This reaction proceeds smoothly under the conditions used for the $(1) \rightarrow$ (4) rearrangement and contrasts with the recent results by Williams and Sarkisian ${ }^{5}$ on a cyclohept-3-en-1-one system for which only the 1,3 -acyl migration was found.
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