## **Regiospecificity of Phenol Chromenylation**

By DAVID G. CLARKE, LESLIE CROMBIE,\* and DONALD A. WHITING\* (Department of Chemistry, The University, Nottingham NG7 2RD)

extended to other situations.

pyridine catalysis, leads to chromens and 1,3,8-axially leads us to propose that the stability of the transition state bridged p-menthanes, and was first reported in connexion leading to the dienone intermediate is a major factor in

Summary Proposals are made which account for the with the synthesis of deoxybruceol (1).<sup>18</sup> It has since regiospecificity observed in the pyridine-catalysed reac- found considerable application in the synthesis of natural tion between certain aldehydes and phenols; they can be compounds, and has been extended to a range of phenols, together with  $\alpha$ -unsaturated aldehydes or acetals (or their hydrated counterparts).<sup>1,2</sup> The reaction is frequently THE reaction between citral and appropriate phenols, under highly regiospecific and consideration of existing data determining the orientation of chromenylation once the triggering phenolate anion is formed. Relative acidities of phenol groups are of importance in selection of the latter feature.

In a simple case  $(2; \mathbb{R}^1 = OH) \rightarrow (6; \mathbb{R}^1 = OH)$  only one chromen is possible, but with  $\mathbb{R}^1 = n$ -pentyl, two chromens (6) and (7) are formed (together with other chromenderived products) and the reaction is not regiospecific.<sup>1</sup> With 2,4-dihydroxyacetophenone, important regioselecting factors come into play. As already pointed out else-



where,<sup>22,c</sup> chromenylation is triggered by the acidic, unchelated hydroxy-group, and 'citrylidene' formation is inhibited by chelation of the second hydroxy-group. A choice of site still remains for chromenylation. Orientation A allows retention of the stabilisation energy of the chelate system in the dienone forming reaction: in B it is lost. Chromen (10) is the product when  $R^1 = Me_2C = CH \cdot CH_2 \cdot CH_2 -$ (84% yield), H (75%), or Me (59%);<sup>2</sup> no isomeric (13) was found. Use of the acetals (14) in the pyridine-catalysed reaction leads regiospecifically to (10), via (16), with



Orientation B

 $R^1 = Me_2C = CH \cdot CH_2 \cdot CH_2 - (88\%)$  or Me (60%), and similar regiospecificity is found with the hydrated acetal (15) which gives (10;  $R^1 = Me$ ).<sup>2b,d</sup> A related case is chromenylation of (17) by (15) which gives (18) (68%) and not the isomer;<sup>†</sup> other examples accord with this view.<sup>2b,d,3</sup>

In a further group of chromenylations, retention of the delocalisation energy of a fused ring during formation of the dienone intermediate governs the regiospecificity. On analysis, this factor will be found to be implicit in the formation of only natural (1), and not its isomer, in the deoxy-



bruceol synthesis;<sup>1</sup> here the coumarin ring controls the situation. Simpler examples are the chromenylation of 2-naphthol at the 1-position only, giving (19), and the chromenylation of 3-hydroxycarbazole at position 2 giving (21) via (20), and not the 4-isomer.

In more complex systems two potentially controlling features may conflict and it is in such systems that mixtures of chromens have been found. Thus the chromenylation of (22), initiated by the 3-hydroxy-group, can lead to dienone (23) with loss of 4-pyrone delocalisation, and hence to (24);



or to (25) with loss of chelate stabilisation and hence to (26). Experimentally both (24; jacareubin) and (26) are formed.<sup>2b,d</sup> The 1,3,5- and 1,3,7-trihydroxyxanthones<sup>5</sup> and 1,3-dihydroxyacridones<sup>2b</sup> provide further illustration of the diminished regiospecificity with both linear and angular

 $<sup>^{+}</sup>$  A useful indicator<sup>4</sup> of the ability of a phenol to chromenylate, and its regiospecificity, is provided by heating (88°; 1 h) in [<sup>2</sup>H<sub>5</sub>]pyridine-D<sub>2</sub>O in an n.m.r. tube, followed by survey of the aromatic proton exchange [diluting with (D<sub>3</sub>C)<sub>2</sub>CO as necessary].



products being formed. In some cases, however, one energetic factor may be dominant, e.g. chromenylation of 2-methyl-5,7-dihydroxychromone.2a,c

The considerations discussed above appear to be germane to regiospecificity in certain other phenol reactions. Thus Claisen rearrangement of (27; R = OMe) gives (28; R =OMe) exclusively, whilst (27; R = H) gives (28; R = H) (74%, along with 14% of 6-isomer).<sup>6</sup> The acetylene (29) gives (33) with no linear isomer,<sup>7</sup> presumably by the mechanism shown.<sup>8</sup> An illuminating case is (34) which, when R = H, gives only (10;  $R^1 = Me$ ): when the chelated hydroxy-group is blocked by acetylation, the effect ascribable to bond fixation is lost and both the acetates of (10;  $R^1 = Me$ ) and (13;  $R^1 = Me$ ) are formed.<sup>9</sup> Further examples fall into line.10

(Received, 30th May 1973; Com. 773.)

<sup>1</sup> (a) L. Crombie and R. Ponsford, Chem. Comm., 1968, 368; (b) ibid., p. 894; (c) Tetrahedron Letters, 1968, 4557; (d) J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1971, 788; (e) ibid., p. 796. <sup>2</sup> (a) W. M. Bandaranayake, L. Crombie, and D. A. Whiting, Chem. Comm., 1969, 58; ibid., p. 970; (c) J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1971,

<sup>(a)</sup> W. J. Donnelly and P. V. R. Shannon, Chem. Comm., 1971, 76.
<sup>8</sup> W. J. Donnelly and P. V. R. Shannon, Chem. Comm., 1971, 76.
<sup>4</sup> E. S. Hand and R. M. Horowitz, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 2084.
<sup>5</sup> J. R. Lewis and J. B. Reary, J. Chem. Soc. (C), 1970, 1662.
<sup>6</sup> R. D. H. Murray, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and K. P. Mathai, Tetrahedron, 1971, 27, 1247; R. D. H. Murray, T. C. Hogg, M. M. Ballantyne, and tyne, and P. H. McCabe, Tetrahedron Letters, 1971, 3317.
 <sup>7</sup> J. Hlubucek, E. Ritchie, and W. C. Taylor, Austral. J. Chem., 1971, 2347.
 <sup>8</sup> J. Zsindely and H. Schmid, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1968, 57, 1510; R. Hug, H.-J. Hanson and H. Schmid, Chimia (Switz), 1969, 23, 108.

<sup>9</sup> F. Bohlmann and U. Bühmann, Chem. Ber., 1972, 105, 863.

10 S. K. Mukerjee, S. C. Sarkar, and T. R. Seshadri, Indian J. Chem., 1972, 10, 374; A. C. Jain and M. K. Zutshi, Tetrahedron Letters, 1971, 3179.