J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1973

## Synthetic Route to 8-Substituted Camphor Derivatives

By Charles R. Eck, Roy W. Mills, and Thomas Money\*
(Department of Chemistry, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada)

Summary Bromination of 3,3-dibromocamphor followed by selective debromination provides a new stereospecific route to 8-bromocamphor.

The importance of 8-substituted camphor derivatives as intermediates in organic synthesis¹ and as mechanistic probes in rearrangement studies² has been widely recognised. However, no simple synthetic route to these compounds exists. The well-known bromination and sulphonation reactions on camphor provide only 9- and 10-substituted

compounds<sup>1a,2a</sup> and indirect methods have been necessary to achieve synthetic entry into the 8-substituted series. A combination of a reaction sequence devised by Corey et al.<sup>1a</sup> and some appropriate transformations reported by Rodig et al.<sup>1c</sup> enabled us recently to obtain (-)-8-iodocamphor (4) in 12 steps from (+)-camphor (1).<sup>1b</sup> Attempts to shorten this reaction sequence have failed<sup>3a,b</sup> and our own efforts to take advantage of the proximate relationship between the C-8 Me and C-2 OH group in isoborneol were also unsuccessful.<sup>3a,c</sup>

The ease of 9-bromination of camphor and the absence of 8-bromination can be explained in terms of the accepted

J.C.S. CHEM. COMM., 1973

mechanism for this reaction since 9-bromination involves exo-methyl migration in the bicyclic intermediate,  $(5a) \rightarrow$ (5c), while 8-bromination may require a rare endo-methyl migration,  $(6a) \rightarrow (6c)$ .<sup>4</sup> Thus, we considered the possibility of reversing this preference for exo- over endo-methyl migration by placing a bulky group in the 7-syn position of the bicyclic intermediate, e.g. (6a). This required the use of a 3-exo-substituted camphor as starting material and since the group had to be capable of subsequent removal in the event of success we synthesised 3,3-dibromocamphor (3)† from commercially available (+)-3-bromocamphor (2)in 95% yield and subjected it to the usual bromination conditions (Br<sub>2</sub>-CISO<sub>3</sub>H). The crude reaction product (8) was treated with Zn-HBr and provided (+)-8-bromocamphor (9); m.p. 83—85° {[ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub> +76·7° (c 4·08, 95% EtOH), [ $\alpha$ ]<sub>D</sub>  $+59^{\circ}$  (c 1·42, CHCl<sub>3</sub>),  $\nu_{\rm max}$  (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 1740 cm<sup>-1</sup>,  $\tau$ (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 9·09 (3H, s), 8.85 (3H, s), and 6.87 (2H, s) in 77% overall yield. Although the physical constants of our reaction product differed from those previously reported12 for 8-bromocamphor they are in complete agreement with values recently obtained by Meyer and his co-workers.‡ Moreover, our structural assignment was confirmed by conversion of (9) into (+)-8-iodocamphor (10)§ and by X-ray crystallographic analysis.5

A solution to the long-standing problem of direct 8-substitution of camphor has therefore been found and the implication of this result in terms of our general synthetic route to sesquiterpenes<sup>6</sup> will be described in a future report. The mechanism of our 8-halogenation reaction is unknown: as an alternative to the unusual endo-methyl migration considered above one could envisage a rearrangement mechanism involving 2,6-hydride shifts and more favourable 2,3-exo-methyl shifts.4a

We thank Prof. W. Parker (University of Stirling) for helpful discussions.

(Received, 11th September 1973; Com. 1270.)

† Treatment of 3-endo-bromocamphor (2) with Br<sub>2</sub>-ClSO<sub>3</sub>H provides (+)-3,9-dibromocamphor (7; X = H, Y = Br) with retention of configuration.

We thank Prof. Walter Meyer (University of Arkansas) for providing us with the spectral data and physical constants of a sample of (-)-8-bromocamphor prepared by the twelve-step sequence previously used for the synthesis of optically active 8-substituted camphor derivatives.1

§ Spectroscopic data in complete agreement (except for sign of rotation) with (—)-8-iodocamphor (4) synthesised previously.1b

<sup>1</sup> (a) E. J. Corey, M. Ohno, S. W. Chow, and R. A. Scherrer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1959, 81, 6305; (b) G. L. Hodgson, D. F. Mac-Sweeney, R. W. Mills, and T. Money, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1973, 235; (c) O. R. Rodig and R. J. Sysko, J. Org. Chem., 1971, 36, 2324.

<sup>2</sup> (a) A. M. T. Finch, jun., and W. R. Vaughan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 1416; (b) W. L. Meyer, A. P. Lobo, and R. N. McCarty, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 1754; (c) O. R. Rodig and R. J. Sysko, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 6475; cf. G. C. Joshi and E. W. Warnhoff, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 2383.

<sup>3</sup> (a) W. Carruthers, 'Some Modern Methods of Organic Synthesis,' Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1971, pp. 184—185; (b) E. C. Woodbury, 'Intramolecular Functionalisations in the Camphane System,' Ph.D. Thesis, Harvard University, 1967, pp. 11–15; (c) E. Sigurdson, B.Sc. Thesis, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, 1973.

4 (a) Cf. J. A. Berson, J. H. Hammons, A. W. McRowe, R. G. Bergman, A. Remanick, and D. Houston, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 2590; (b) C. W. David, B. W. Everling, R. J. Kilian, J. B. Stothers, and W. R. Vaughan, ibid., 1973, 95, 1265 and refs. cited.
<sup>6</sup> C. A. Bear, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, unpublished results.
<sup>6</sup> G. L. Hodgson, D. F. MacSweeney, and T. Money, J.C.S. Perkin 1, 1973, in the press.