## Heats of Oxidation of the Isomeric 3-t-Butylthietan 1-Oxides

By SHAFIQ A. KHAN, T. MCALLISTER, and H. MACKLE\*

(Department of Chemistry, The Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast BT9 5AG)

Summary The cis and trans geometrical isomers of the 3-t-butylthietan 1-oxides have been isolated in quantity, and their enthalpies of oxidation to 3-t-butylthietan 1,1-dioxide have been measured directly.

EQUILIBRATION of the cis (I) and trans (II) 3-t-butylthietan 1-oxides at 170-175°C in decalin solution gives an 82-85: 18-15 ratio of the two isomers,<sup>1</sup> corresponding to a freeenergy difference of  $ca. 6.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ .

The isomers (I) and (II) have now been separated via fractional crystallisation, from ethanol, of their mercury 11 complexes and identified by dipole moment and other measurements.<sup>1</sup> Their enthalpies of oxidation to the same sulphone (III) were measured by allowing ca. 1 mmol of each to react with a ten-fold excess of perlauric acid<sup>2</sup> in benzene in the semi-micro reaction calorimeter previously described.<sup>3</sup> The results refer to reaction (1). Heats of

 $\overbrace{CH_{2}CHBu^{t}CH_{2}SO_{(1)} + RCO_{3}H_{(soln)}}_{-\rightarrow CH_{2}CHBu^{t}CH_{2}SO_{2(soln)} + RCO_{2}H_{(soln)} (1)}$ 

oxidation (- $\Delta H$ , kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) at 298 K are 315.34  $\pm$  3.7 and  $321.76 \pm 1.5$  for (I) and (II) respectively. The uncertainties are expressed as twice the standard deviation of the mean.

The difference,  $\Delta(\Delta H)$ , between the enthalpies of oxidation of (I) and (II) to (III) is  $6.4 \pm 3.7 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  which overlaps the previously reported<sup>1</sup> free energy difference between the two isomers,  $\Delta G = ca. 6.7 \text{ k} \text{ mol}^{-1}$ .

The assignment of a puckered ring structure to (I) and (II) has been suggested by Johnson and Siegl.<sup>4</sup> It is true that spectroscopic and thermodynamic evidence<sup>5</sup> indicates that the unsubstituted thiacyclobutane ring is planar, but small rapid ring inversion might be difficult to detect. Furthermore, the presence of the bulky t-butyl group in (I) and (II) may well make a particular ring conformation more rigid and the n.m.r. studies<sup>4</sup> of Johnson and Siegl lead to this conclusion.

Swain et al.6 have estimated the heat of dissociation of peroxylauric acid in the gas phase to be  $83.7 \pm 12.5 \text{ kJ}$ mol<sup>-1</sup>. Thus the enthalpies of oxidation of the sulphoxides (I) and (II) are respectively  $-238 \pm 14$  and  $-231.8 \pm 14$ kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>. These refer to reaction (2) and may be compared

$$\overrightarrow{\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CHRCH}_{2}\mathrm{SO}_{(1)}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{O}_{2(g)} \longrightarrow \overrightarrow{\mathrm{CH}_{2}\mathrm{CHRCH}_{2}\mathrm{SO}_{2(\mathrm{soln})}}$$
(2)

with Douglas' result,  $^7 - 224 \cdot 3 \pm 8 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , for reaction (3)

$$Me_2SO_{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}O_{2(g)} \longrightarrow Me_2SO_{2(aq)}$$
 (3)

Similar studies on the corresponding six-membered ring isomers are envisaged, and we consider this approach to geometrical enthalpy differences to be widely applicable in situations where the isomers can be quantitatively converted to a common product.

We thank Professor H. B. Henbest for much helpful discussion.

(Received, 27th November 1972; Com. 1979.)

<sup>1</sup>C. R. Johnston and D. McCants, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 2935; J. E. Martin and J. J. Uebel, *ibid.*, 1964, 86, 2936; C. R. Johnson and W. O. Siegl, *ibid.*, 1969, 91, 2796.

<sup>2</sup> L. Silbert, D. Siegel, and D. Swern, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1962, 27, 1336.
<sup>3</sup> M. A. Frisch and H. Mackle, J. Sci. Inst., 1965, 42, 186.
<sup>4</sup> C. R. Johnson and W. O. Siegl, Tetrahedron Letters, 1969, 1879.
<sup>5</sup> D. W. Scott, H. L. Finke, W. F. Hubbard, J. P. McCullough, C. Katz, M. E. Gross, J. F. Messerly, R. E. Pennington, and G. Waddington, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1953, 75, 2795.
<sup>6</sup> H. A. Suraja, L. A

<sup>6</sup> H. A. Swain, L. A. Silbert, and J. G. Miller, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1964, 86, 2563.
 <sup>7</sup> T. B. Douglas, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 1072.