¹J(¹³C³¹P) Sign Variation in Phosphorus(111) Heterocycles: Existence of Both

Positive and Negative ${}^{1}J({}^{13}C{}^{31}P)$ to the Same Phosphorus

By George A. Gray*

(Varian Associates Instrument Division, 25 Route 22, Springfield, New Jersey 07081)

and SHELDON E. CREMER

(Department of Chemistry, Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233)

Summary A series of phosphorus heterocycles of various ring sizes has been studied with particular attention paid to the sign of the ${}^{13}C_{-}{}^{31}P$ nuclear spin couplings; both positive and negative values are found along with the first reported cases of different signs of one-bond couplings to a central atom, of atoms in the same oxidation state.

ALL determinations of directly bonded ¹³C-³¹P^{III} nuclearspin coupling constant signs have resulted in negative signs.^{1a-d} Theoretical treatments have also rationalized the negative sign, although in a qualitative manner.² This coupling varies widely in magnitude depending on the particular type of compound observed. Trialkyl-substituted P^{III} compounds have ¹ J_{CP} 's ranging from (-)10.7 to (-)33.9 Hz.³ The ¹ J_{CP} for the substituted phenyl carbon in mixed phenyl and alkyl phosphines has been reported to vary from (-)14.1 to (-)17.9 Hz.³ In previous work⁴ we have recorded J_{CP} 's in substituted four-membered phosphorus(III) heterocycles, compounds that showed very large differences in ${}^{1}J_{CP}$'s within the same molecule. For example, in 1-phenyl-2,2,3,4,4-pentamethylphosphetan the two observed ${}^{1}J_{CP}$'s were 41.3 (phenyl) and 5.9 (ring) for the *trans*-(C-3 methyl and phenyl) isomer, and 42.8 (phenyl) and 2.6 (ring) for the *cis*-isomer.⁴ It is clear that these ${}^{1}J_{CP}$'s are unusual, based on the acyclic models.

In order to explore this behaviour further we have examined compounds (2)—(6). Unfortunately, the unsubstituted analogue of (6) has not yet been synthesized, but the ring methylene in (6) can provide an analogue for those in (2)—(5). Signs of couplings were determined using the techniques developed by Jackobsen and coworkers^{1b-d} which relate the sign of the ³¹P_1³C coupling to that of the ³¹P_1^H coupling for the proton on the carbon atom. For ⁿJ_{CP} and ⁿ⁺¹J_{PH} of the same sign, a high (low) frequency ¹H decoupling field will enhance (or sharpen) the

high (low) frequency component of the ¹³C-³¹P doublet under conditions close to, but not at, perfect decoupling. The very large dihedral-angle dependence for ${}^{2}J_{PCH}$ in phosphines⁵ gives well-separated spin states in the proton spectrum. This coupling is large and positive for a proton cis to the PIII lone pair, much smaller and negative for a proton trans to the lone pair. The only cyclic phosphine for which a sign determination has been reported is compound (7).1d

The published ^{1b} signs and magnitudes for (1) provide a starting point which, in effect, allows confidence in sign assignment for the phenyl carbons in (2)—(6). The smooth progression of the phenyl ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ from -12.51 Hz to $(-)35\cdot 4$ Hz in (6) hardly permits any change of sign. The ortho carbon retains its positive coupling (also primarily determined by its dihedral angle dependence with the lone pair).6 These are the first experimental indications of positive I_{CP}^{III} . To our knowledge this is also the first demonstration of different signs of one-bond coupling to a central atom, of atoms in the same oxidation state.

The large ${}^{1}\!J_{CP}$ magnitudes seen earlier⁴ in the phosphetans are now seen to be a natural consequence of ring formation and contraction. As the C-P-C interior angle contracts to the ca. 90° in (6) it is possible that a redistribution of s-character within the bonding phosphorus orbitals occurs, leading to a greater portion within that orbital directed toward the phenyl substituent. Dominance of the Fermi-contact mechanism would then predict a larger associated coupling, consistent with the experimental results.

(Received, 25th February 1974; Com. 237.)

¹ (a) W. McFarlane, Proc. Roy. Soc. A., 1968, 306, 185; (b) T. Bundgaard and H. J. Jakobsen, Acta Chem. Scand., 1972, 26, 2548; (c) H. J. Jakobsen, T. Bundgaard, and R. S. Hansen, Mol. Phys., 1972, 23, 197; (d) T. Bundgaard and H. J. Jakobsen, Tetrahedron Letters, 1972, 3353.

Letters, 1912, 3303.
² C. J. Jameson and H. S. Gutowsky, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1969, 91, 6232.
³ B. E. Mann, J.C.S. Perhin II, 1972, 30.
⁴ G. A. Gray and S. E. Cremer, J. Org. Chem., 1972, 37, 3470.
⁵ J. P. Albrand, D. Gagnaire, and J. B. Robert, Chem. Comm., 1968, 1469; J. P. Albrand, D. Gagnaire, J. Martin, and J. B. Robert, Bull. Soc. chim. France, 1969, 40.
⁴ G. A. Gray and S. E. Cremer, I. C. Chem. Comm., 1976, 527.

⁶G. A. Gray and S. E. Cremer, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 367.

