## Interactions of Aromatic Hydrocarbons with Heavy-metal Halides in the Solid State Studied by Electron Spin Resonance

By N. M. D. BROWN\* and D. J. COWLEY

(School of Physical Sciences, The New University of Ulster, Coleraine, Northern Ireland)

Summary Very stable e.s.r. signals have been observed in solid aromatic hydrocarbon-heavy metal halide mixtures and their origin is discussed.

AROMATIC hydrocarbons have previously been shown to give radical cations on oxide and related surfaces,<sup>1</sup> with group VIII metal chlorides,<sup>2,3</sup> and other Lewis-acid catalysts including  $CdCl_2^4$  in solid mixtures, some examples of which required heating to give the e.s.r. signal.

While studying the photo- and thermo-chemical behaviour of naphthalene, anthracene, phenanthrene, tetracene, thianthrene, acridine, and anthraquinone with, or in the presence of, heavy-metal halides,  $MX_2$  (where M = Cd, Hg, or Pb and X = Cl, Br, or I) we have found very stable e.s.r. signals in solid mixtures of organic and inorganic components. Such mixtures when heated (with or without prior evacuation of the samples) below the melting point of the hydrocarbon, ground by hand, or compressed become paramagnetic. The observed e.s.r. signal intensity is found to depend more on the treatment of the sample than its composition. No detectable paramagnetic species is generated in identically treated pure hydrocarbon or metal halide.

In general the observed spin concentration (estimated to be  $\leq 6\%$  of the hydrocarbon molecules present in mixtures with composition ranges 100:1-1:5 hydrocarbon:halide) increases with temperature and duration of heating, or with duration of grinding. The signal intensity of the compressed samples increases with pressure applied (so far  $\leq 10$  kbar) and also incrementally by successive compression to a given pressure.

Samples treated as described have retained their paramagnetism for at least six months even if left open to the atmosphere. Soxhlet extraction of the paramagnetic mixtures ( $CH_2Cl_2$ , 48 h) gives a quantitative recovery of the organic component and leaves the residual inorganic halide with an e.s.r. signal of somewhat reduced intensity. In suitable cases the inorganic halide has been similarly extracted with water to leave the hydrocarbon weakly paramagnetic. A  $CH_2Cl_2$  solution of this residue also gives an e.s.r. signal but unless the solution is kept sealed under vacuum it decays slowly. Similarly mixed and treated samples have also had the hydrocarbon present sublimed out to leave the metal halide paramagnetic.

In almost all instances the e.s.r. signal is a symmetric isotropic relatively narrow single line with a g-factor very close to the spin-only value. A few examples of low spinconcentration cases do give a line which is marginally asymmetric but none show any hyperfine structure. The e.s.r. line-shapes formed are of mixed type, i.e. Gaussian in the wings of the line changing to Lorentzian as the line centre is approached. As expected<sup>4</sup> for those samples with higher spin-concentrations the line is progressively more Lorentzian in character as exchange narrowing increases.

Some representative g-values and peak-to-peak linewidths are given below for ground and compressed anthracene: halide samples; heated aliquots of the same mixtures give very similar e.s.r. data.

TABLE. E.s.r. resonances in anthracene: MX<sub>2</sub> mixtures

1:5 mixture	g-value ( $\pm 0.001$ )	line-width <sup>a</sup> /mT
Anthracene : CdCl.	2.001	0.32
Anthracene : CdI,	2.001	0.37
Anthracene : PbI.	2.001	0.35
Anthracene : HgBr,	2.002	0.38
Anthracene: HgI2	2.001	0.34

<sup>a</sup> These are virtually insensitive to temperature over the range 77-490 K as is the line intensity.

The heterogeneous nature of the systems suggests that the observed behaviour of the mixtures involves interaction of the hydrocarbon with inorganic halide at contiguous microcrystalline surfaces during grinding, compression, or heating. Such an interaction, presumably of chargetransfer Lewis acid-Lewis base type (e.g. the pale yellow anthracene: PbI<sub>2</sub> mixture turns orange when treated as indicated) could give a chemisorbed hydrocarbon radical cation more than usually stabilised by its surface environment.

The inorganic halides used have crystal structures which suggest that unless radical cation formation occurs only at exposed metal ions or other active sites chemisorption will occur on a two-dimensional array of halogen atoms. The transferred electron may in turn be trapped in the inorganic lattice with a spin-only g-value. Hence a superposition of radical cation and trapped electron resonances may be present, each to be affected to a greater or lesser extent by the extraction sequence or sublimation described.

In the present work preliminary analyses of anthracene or naphthalene: CdI<sub>2</sub> or PbI<sub>2</sub> mixtures have failed to show any iodination. In contrast, chlorination<sup>3</sup> of naphthalene by PtCl4 has been reported. It would seem also that the process producing the e.s.r. signals in the hydrocarbon: MX<sub>2</sub> mixtures is confined to the solid since cyclohexane solutions of anthracene in contact with CdI<sub>2</sub> or PbI<sub>2</sub> have been found to be spin free, as are solutions of HgCl, HgBr, and HgI, in methylbenzenes which do show<sup>5</sup> u.v. chargetransfer bands. The observed behaviour is perhaps more akin to that in the solid charge-transfer complexes:--tetracene:  $I_2^6$  or perylene and pyrene:  $I_2$  complexes<sup>7</sup> in which marked pressure effects have been observed.8

We thank the S.R.C. for an equipment grant.

(Received, 6th September 1973; Com. 1255.)

<sup>1</sup> B. R. T. Garrett, I. R. Leith, and J. J. Rooney, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1969, 222 and references therein.

<sup>2</sup> J. L. Garrett, A. Rainis, and W. A. Sollich-Baumgartner, Austral. J. Chem., 1967, 22 and reference.
<sup>3</sup> J. L. Garrett and A. Rainis, J. Catalysis, 1972, 26, 141.
<sup>4</sup> P. B. Ayscough, 'Electron Spin Resonance in Chemistry,' Methuen, London, 1967, p. 110.
<sup>5</sup> I. Eliezer and P. Avinur, J. Chem. Phys., 1972, 55, 2300.
<sup>6</sup> P. A. Stunzhas, V. A. Benderskii, and L. A. Blyumenfel'd, Zhur. Strukt. Khim., 1967, 8, 393.
<sup>7</sup> J. K. Garrett, B. Holl, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 1961, 24, 120.

- J. Kommandeur and F. R. Hall, J. Chem. Phys., 1961, 34, 129.
- <sup>8</sup> M. I. Huhlman and H. G. Drickamer, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1972, 94, 8325.