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Summary The existence of octahedral solvates of the $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ cation with trimethyl phosphate, dimethyl methylphosphonate, and dimethyl phosphite and a tetrahedral solvate of hexamethylphosphoramide, is shown by their ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ n.m.r. spectra in nitromethane.

The aluminium perchlorates $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{TMP})_{6}{ }^{3+}, 3 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}(\mathbf{1})$, Al$(\mathrm{DMMP})_{6}{ }^{3+}, 3 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}(2)$, and $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{DMP})_{6}{ }^{3+}, 3 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}(\mathbf{3})[\mathrm{TMP}=$ $\left.\mathrm{PO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3} ; \mathrm{DMMP}=\mathrm{MePO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2} ; \mathrm{DMHP}=\mathrm{HPO}(\mathrm{OMe})_{2}\right]$ can be prepared in the solid state ${ }^{1}$ by standard methods, and their high resolution n.m.r. spectra can be measured in nitromethane as inert solvent. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of (1) has been obtained under these conditions, ${ }^{2}$ but it does not yield such detailed information as may be obtained from ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}^{3,4}$ or ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}^{5}$ spectroscopy.

The ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ n.m.r. spectra of compounds (1)-(3) were accordingly examined in nitromethane solution. A sharp heptet is obtained at 22.63 MHz and $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, using a Bruker HX-90 spectrometer operating at variable field, and equipped with a Nicolet 1080 Fourier Transform unit. The number of lines, and their relative intensities ( $1: 6: 15$ : $20: 15: 6: 1$ ), demand an octahedral arrangement of six equivalent ligands around the aluminium cation, with a coupling constant between phosphorus and the solvated metal nucleus, $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{O} \cdots \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ of $19.5,15 \cdot 0$, and 13.4 Hz respectively for (1), (2), and (3). The cubic symmetry of these solvates ensures exceptionally sharp lines. Upon
addition of small quantities of water mixed octahedral solvates, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{i}} \equiv \mathrm{AlX}_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{8-1}^{3+}$, are produced, as shown by the appearance of broad signals superimposed upon the previous multiplet. Up to two extra signals are obtained in this way, from $\mathrm{S}_{5}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{4}$. The other solvates: $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ [i.e. $\left.\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}\right], \mathrm{S}_{1}, \mathrm{~S}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ have already been described under quite different conditions, ${ }^{5}$ from a mixture of water, organo-

Table. ${ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}$ chemical shifts for $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ solvates, $\mathrm{S}_{1} \equiv \mathrm{AlX}_{\mathrm{i}}$ $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6-1}^{3+}$ [p.p.m., upfield from $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{3+}$ ].

| X | $\mathrm{S}_{0}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{\mathbf{2}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{3}}$ | $\mathrm{S}_{4}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{5}$ | $\mathrm{~S}_{6}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TMP | 0 | $\mathbf{3 \cdot 7} 7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $6 \cdot 7^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $10 \cdot 0^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $14 \cdot 0$ | $17 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 5$ |
| DMMP | 0 | $\mathbf{3 \cdot 5}$ | $6 \cdot 8$ | $10 \cdot 1$ | $14 \cdot 8$ | $17 \cdot 5$ | $20 \cdot 2$ |
| DMHP | 0 | $\mathbf{3 \cdot 3}$ | $6 \cdot 6$ | $9 \cdot 1$ | $14 \cdot 0$ | $15 \cdot 9$ | $17 \cdot 7$ |

${ }^{a}$ From ref. 5.
phosphorus solvent, and aluminium perchlorate. The chemical shifts, $\delta$, obtained from the two methods (see Table) show an important point: the additivity of $\delta$ when substituting a water molecule by an organic ligand, which does not depend upon the solvent (ca. $3 \cdot 5$ p.p.m. per substitution). These results also show that the phosphoryl oxygen is a better electron-donor to the aluminium cation than the water oxygen, since all shifts are upfield relative to $S_{0}$.

Similar experiments with $\mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{HMPA})_{4}^{3+}, 3 \mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}(4)(\mathrm{HMPA}$ $=$ hexamethylphosphoramide) yield very different results. A
sharp quintet is obtained at $-32^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, with intensities in the ratio 1:4:6:4:1. This is clear evidence for a tetrahedral arrangement of four HMPA ligands around $\mathrm{Al}^{3+}$, as already found with the $\mathrm{Be}^{2+}$ cation. ${ }^{4}$ In support of this structure, ${ }^{2} J\left({ }^{31} \mathrm{P}-{ }^{27} \mathrm{Al}\right)$ increases considerably ( 30 vs. $19 \cdot 5$ Hz for TMP); this is in agreement with the classical dependency upon the proportion of $s$ character in the aluminium atomic orbitals, i.e., $1 / 6$ for $d^{2} s p^{3}$ in (1) and $1 / 4$ for $s p^{3}$ in (4). Further, the chemical shift is downfield ( $-34 \cdot 11$ p.p.m.) relative to $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}$, as may be expected for the lower total number of ligands. The addition of water is not accompanied by the appearance of other signals. Rather the multiplet broadens and shifts upfield; it completely disappears for a ratio of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{Al}^{3+}$ of $c a .10: 1$. However, one broad signal is obtained for an aqueous solution of

HMPA and $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3}$, at 4 p.p.m. upfield from $\mathrm{Al}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}^{3+}$, corresponding to solvation numbers of $c a .0 .5$ and 5.5 for HMPA and $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, i.e., to an octahedral mixed solvate $\mathrm{S}_{\mathbf{1}}$. This clearly shows the possibility of octahedral co-ordination for water-rich solvates, and of a tetrahedral solvate: $\mathrm{S}_{4} \equiv \mathrm{Al}(\mathrm{HMPA})_{4}^{3+}$ in anhydrous $\mathrm{MeNO}_{2}$. Mixed tetrahedral solvates seem to be precluded, and addition of water probably results in the production of octahedral mixed solvates, rapidly exchanging their ligands with $S_{4}$ (tet.).
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