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Summary Octaethylhemin(I) reacts rapidly with $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{2}$ to produce meso-tetranitromethyloctaethylhemin(II), a high-spin iron(III) complex with a porphyrin ligand fully substituted in all $\beta$ - and meso-positions.

The meso-reactivity of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins has recently been under study ${ }^{1}$ and iron(III) porphyrins have been shown to be rather unreactive to meso-oxidation. ${ }^{1 a}$

We report that octaethylhemin(I) reacts rapidly with $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ ( $\mathrm{l}: 10$ ) in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at room temperature in air, producing meso-tetranitromethyloctaethylhemin(II) in $48 \%$ yield. Compound (II) is an iron(III) complex with a porphyrin ligand having total $\beta$ - and meso-substitution. A large excess of $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ was used in order to reduce the amount of partially substituted products and the separation problems that would result. Impurities were removed from com-
pound (II) by dissolving it in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and using columns of Sephadex LH-20 and talc. Compound (II) gave a satisfactory analysis, and its i.r. spectrum in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ shows strong bands at 1537 and $1362 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ indicative of covalently bound $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ groups. The same solution has visible absorption bands at 642 (3800), 540 infl (7400), 510 (8300), and


(I)
(II)
$375 \mathrm{~nm}(38,600)$ (molar absorbances in parentheses)-a spectrum typical of iron(iII) porphyrins. A saturated $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ solution of (II) has an ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum displaying large paramagnetic shifts which would be expected for a high-spin iron(III) complex: $\delta\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}\right) \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Me}-40 \cdot 1,-37 \cdot 5$, $-35 \cdot 2$, and $-33 \cdot 2$; $\mathrm{Me}-4 \cdot 4$; and $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ (meso) $-1 \cdot 4,+0 \cdot 2$, $+1 \cdot 3$, and $+3 \cdot 2$. These assignments are based upon peak areas and a comparison with the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ n.m.r. spectrum of (I) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}: \mathrm{CH}_{2}-33.4$ and $-41 \cdot 6 ; \mathrm{Me}-4.8$; and meso- H , $+55 \mathrm{br} .^{2}$ The signals from (II) at $-1 \cdot 4$ and $+3 \cdot 2$ are broad ( $c a .300 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) and of low intensity, while the other two
meso $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals are sharp with about ten times the intensity of the broad peaks.

The splitting of the $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ peaks is a result of the asymmetric nature of the square-pyramidal porphyrin complex and the distortion of the porphyrin ring by the meso-substituents. Models show that the four pyrrole rings with $\beta$-ethyl groups will undergo skeletal 'ruffling' in order to accommodate the four bulky $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ groups. This forces the meso $-\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ groups out of the porphyrin ring plane and either close to the out-of-plane iron or away from it, on the opposite side of the porphyrin plane. The variation in the line shapes of the meso- $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ signals may be due to the nonequivalency of the groups or a difference in their rotational correlation times.
meso-Substitution of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins may be achieved by either electrophilic or free-radical attack. ${ }^{1 a}$ The path of the meso-nitromethylation reaction is not known and is now under study. Both $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ are present in the solution, but $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}$, present in high concentration at room temperature ${ }^{3}$ is probably involved in the nitromethylation reaction. $\mathrm{NO}_{2}$, since it is known to abstract hydrogen atoms from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2},{ }^{5}$ is less likely to be involved.
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