Ab Initio Molecular Orbital Calculations on Aminophosphine, H₂NPH₂. The Stereochemistry at Phosphorus

By Imre G. Csizmadia*

(Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada)

Alan H. Cowley*

(Department of Chemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712)

MILBURN W. TAYLOR

(Department of Chemistry, Texas Lutheran College, Seguin, Texas 78155)

and SAUL WOLFE*

(Department of Chemistry, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada)

Summary Barriers to pyramidal inversion of directly bonded three-co-ordinate atoms exhibit an interdependence which is determined principally by the differences in the electronegativities of these atoms; thus the phosphorus inversion barrier in H_2NPH_2 is higher than that in PH_3 .

WE have noted previously¹ the stereochemical consequences that result from the uneven charge distribution in aminophosphine in comparison to its symmetrical congeners hydrazine² and diphosphine.³ The calculated charge distributions in these three compounds are summarised in the Figure. The finding that nitrogen adopts a trigonal planar geometry in H₂NPH₂ is consistent with the calculated charge distributions and must correspond to inductive electron release from the PH, to the NH, fragment. This result is the opposite of that predicted by a $(p \rightarrow d)\pi$ model; indeed our calculations reveal that the addition of d-type polarisation functions to the basis set causes no significant change in either the geometry at nitrogen or the charge distribution in the molecule.

It is now well established⁴ that electron release to a threeco-ordinate atom causes relative stabilisation of the planar structure; conversely, electron withdrawal from a threeco-ordinate atom is accompanied by an increased barrier to pyramidal inversion. Thus, it was expected that replacement of a hydrogen ligand of PH₃ by the electron-withdrawing NH2 group would lead to an increase in the phosphorus pyramidal inversion barrier. We therefore investigated the barrier to pyramidal inversion at phosphorus in H₂NPH₂.



Computed charge distributions in H2N-NH2, H2N-PH2, FIGURE. and H_2P-PH_2 (the group charges of ± 0.37 in H_2N-PH_2 have been published previously1).

As before,¹ calculations were performed on a CDC 6600 computer using a modified version⁵ of POLYATOM 2,⁶ using N-H and P-H bond lengths of 1.02 and 1.42 Å, respectively; the HNH plane was located on the bisector of the HPH angle as shown (A). The HNH (=HNP) angles were maintained at the previously optimised value of 120°. The P-N bond length was then optimised with the HPH

and HPN angles fixed at 97.0° and, using this result (P-N = 1.68 Å), the pyramidal angle at phosphorus (\angle HPH = /HPN) was then varied. The basis set which was employed comprised 12^s , 9^p , 2^d on phosphorus, 9^s , 5^p , 1^d on nitrogen, and 6^s, 1^p on each hydrogen. These 117 primitive gaussian-type functions were contracted to 69 basis functions which consisted of 5^s , 4^p , 2^d on phosphorus, 5^s , 3^p , 1^d on nitrogen, and 2^s , 1^p on each hydrogen.



For the dihedral angle shown (A) this basis set afforded an inversion barrier of 42.6 kcal/mol, with the minimum at $\angle HPH = \angle HPN = 99.4^{\circ}$. With the same basis set for the P and H atoms, a fixed P-H bond length of 1.42 Å, and / HPH = 93·8°, the inversion barrier of PH₃ was computed to be 41.4 kcal/mol.[†] Thus, the increase in the barrier to inversion at phosphorus caused by attachment of the electron-withdrawing NH₂ group is 1.2 kcal/mol. Such a result is compatible with the view that the barriers of pyramidal inversion of directly bonded three-co-ordinate atoms exhibit an interdependence which is determined principally by the difference in the electronegativities of these atoms.⁷ We expect this interdependence to be general, with significant implications upon the stereochemical properties of, inter alia, sulphonium and phosphonium ylides.

We thank N.A.T.O., the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund administered by the American Chemical Society, the National Science Foundation, and the Robert A. Welch Foundation for financial support, and the University of Texas at Austin Computer Center for computer time.

(Received, 11th March 1974; Com. 282.)

† This calculated barrier is slightly larger than that reported by J. M. Lehn and B. Munsch, Mol. Phys., 1972, 23, 91, who performed geometry optimisations of both the pyramidal and planar conformations.

- ¹ I. G. Csizmadia, A. H. Cowley, M. W. Taylor, L. M. Tel, and S. Wolfe, J.C.S. Chem. Comm., 1972, 1147.
- ² E. L. Wagner, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1971, 23, 115.
 ³ E. L. Wagner, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1971, 23, 127.
- ⁴ J. M. Lehn, Topics Current Chem., 1970, 15, 311; A. Rauk, L. C. Allen, and K. Mislow, Angew. Chem. Internat. Edn., 1970, 9, 400; J. B. Lambert, Topics Stereochem., 1971, 6, 19.
 - ⁵ A. J. Duke, Chem. Phys. Letters, 1971, 10, 631.
- POLYATOM (version 2), Quantum Chemistry Program Exchange, Indiana University, Bloomington, Indiana, No. 199.
 S. Wolfe, Accounts Chem. Res., 1972, 5, 102.